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THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning. We're all set to go in MR GLOVER: Yes, Commissioner. The first witness today is Mr Josh Carey, and he's in the witness box. I'm told he will take an oath.
<JOSHUA MICHAEL CAREY, sworn:
[9.30am]
<EXAMINATION BY MR GLOVER:
MR GLOVER: Q. Mr Carey, could you state your full name for the record, please?
A. Yes, Joshua Michael Carey.
Q. You are the executive director of service delivery for the Western NSW LHD?
A. Yes.
Q. And in preparation for giving your evidence today, you have prepared an outline of your evidence; is that right?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. I will just have that brought up on the screen. It is [MOH.9999.1195.0001]. You may have a hard copy there.
Feel free to use the hard copy rather than the screen, should you wish. Have you had a chance to read it again before giving your evidence today?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Are you satisfied that its contents are true and correct?
A. Yes.

MR GLOVER: Commissioner, that will be tendered at some stage during the course of the day.

THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
MR GLOVER: Q. Mr Carey, if you take up your outline, and in paragraph 4 you give us a little bit of an overview of your service delivery portfolio, but just in general terms, can you describe your area of operations and responsibility in that portfolio?
A. So the service delivery directorate basically brings together all of our front line services across the health district. That includes our acute hospital services across the 38 different facilities, our district-wide mental
health services, which includes the large mental health hospital in Orange, and also some of our community-based services, so that would be community health nurses, child and family services, other examples of those, aged care services in terms of access and moving patients into the residential aged care space.
Q. And that portfolio was established with your appointment in about February of last year; is that right? A. Yes. That's correct. So prior to that we had a slightly different executive make-up across the district and a lot of the community-based services or programmatic services were managed by another executive portfolio, and we had more a discrete acute service focus within the director of operations. The decision was to integrate those two portfolios and therefore creating the service delivery directorate.
Q. In paragraph 5 of the outline, Mr Carey, you tell us in the last sentence that your role has significant input into the development and oversight of strategic and business plans, et cetera. We've heard a little bit of evidence about the planning function within the district this week, but can you just describe to us how your function plugs into that process?
A. Sure. So the district planning team takes the lead role in this particular space, particularly for our strategic planning and longer-term health service planning across the organisation. My role and that of my team would be to participate as part of that, so subject matter experts would largely come from my broader team, senior clinical leaders, other senior service leads across the district would participate in that planning. They would also, on the other side of what might be the data-based element of the planning, look at the different models of care that we would implement post the planning process as well, so how we would actually operate some of these services into the future.
Q. So as part of that planning process, is there an assessment, with the assistance of your team, of the services that are needed in various areas of the district? A. Yes, definitely. So an example, a recent example that we're currently working through is the clinical service planning for Orange Health Service. That is a large referral hospital in this district, has a broad reach in terms of the services and the populations that it supports
and, equally, with the mental health service located on the same campus there, we do have a full clinical service planning approach under way that incorporates those two discrete business units and I say that because they have different accountable leads through to me, so the general manager of the acute Orange Health Service as well as the director of mental health services participate in that along with their clinical leadership teams. A number of working groups have been established for the different specialty areas, where we will consult very broadly with those individual groups on their thoughts and experience with the current service and where the demand pressures are, innovation into the future, where services could evolve, the conversations under way, obviously supported by strong data and evidence that would back up some of the points that could be made going forward.
Q. That example involves an assessment of service needs in what I might describe as a larger regional centre. What about for the more rural and remote areas of your district, what does your team plug into that process?
A. So that would occur in the small community the same way. A lot of the time it will be off the back of what might be an infrastructure development process. That has tended to be more of the planning process, particularly through the NSW Health arena, your capital funds to redevelop the hospital, Blayney Multi Purpose Health Service would be an example of that, so that is an outcome of that particular planning process but, equally, the same principles would apply that we would source the data. My service leads, as a part of that particular facility, would participate in that, other district-wide services that would interact with a smaller service, because we will have a lot more of that occurring at a smaller site where you have visiting services.

So the same principles would certainly apply. I think the evidence has been given prior to me there's certainly a lot of community input into that, and you would say that that also occurs at an Orange Health Service level as well, you would collaborate and consult with the relevant health parties within any community, but I guess you are asking me specifically around my team and so my service leads and senior clinicians that form part of that service would participate in those planning conversations.
Q. And what is the particular perspective that they
bring, you described them as subject matter experts. So if we just focus on those more rural and remote service areas. A. Sure.
Q. What does your team bring to that discussion?
A. So they would complement what data and evidence is put in front of them. So if you think about Blayney, that would be projecting out what the population demands might look like over the next 5,10 years, obviously the ageing factor that would accompany some of that and, for a service like Blayney, which is a multi-purpose health service, they have a residential aged care component to their business and they would be experiencing quite significant demand in that space, and certainly within the Blayney planning process we could clearly see that there was a growing demand for aged services in that particular region.

The outcome of that process, though, would have suggested that there should have been more aged care beds built potentially through the MPS model. However, given a site like Blayney where it actually has quite a connected community, it's not far from big centres like Bathurst and Orange, there is a private market there for aged, and that certainly did see us then retrench back to what is our core services, being the acute, sub-acute service response.

Obviously we wouldn't abandon the residential aged care component of that, but we didn't see an expansion, but I guess it was a known comment, as part of that process, that there was definitely an ageing demand in that particular community but we were using the market to support the expansion of those services.
Q. Is it your team that's involved in the assessment of the availability of services delivered by the private market in that context, or is that within the remit of another area?
A. They would comment on that, given that they would be local, largely living in those communities, they would be aware of the private parties that operate in those communities. The broader assessment though would certainly happen at a more of a corporate level through the planning function.
Q. Can you go to paragraph 7, please, and in this paragraph you describe some key principles that have guided the evolution of your portfolio over the last almost

18 months. Can I just ask you about some of them. In paragraph $7(b)$ you describe service delivery's natural referral networks. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you just explain what you mean by that?
A. So the size of this district is extensive, as you are probably all aware. There is two quite distinct areas, and we talk about the northern referral network, which is very much Dubbo and to the north, where we have a lot of our small rural communities. There is about 25 hospitals and services that would then feed into Dubbo for access to that next level of care.

Then we have the southern referral network, which is Orange is the major referral service. Bathurst equally is a referral service but slightly smaller than Orange in terms of its specialised services that it offers.
Q. What you are describing is pathways for patients in more rural or remote areas of the district being referred to one of the major centres; is that right?
A. That's right, yes.
Q. When you describe them as "natural", are these things that have developed over time, or are they structured and defined within the policies of the LHD?
A. They have evolved over time is how I would respond. That has become more formalised, though, in a sense in recent times. It follows the pathways that people may travel for their other access to services. So
particularly - so if you use Dubbo as a example, yes, people will need to travel in here for the more specialised nature of health care; equally, they may need to travel here to access other goods that they may require that aren't serviceable in their local communities, other government agencies that may exist here in town that they do not have access to as well.

So that's why I say "natural". There is a natural movement of the population just across our region. We haven't attempted to adjust that in any formal sense other than where services may require, so prior to the Dubbo redevelopment in the recent time, where we now have greater access to oncology, radiation oncology services, and our cardiology services, some of that referral would have gone into Orange from a district point of view, but we now have
a more established northern and southern footprint for some of those specialised services to take that pressure off just one particular hospital.
Q. Is the identification and support of these networks part of the input that your team would have into the service delivery planning process?
A. Yes. I think that is an area that I am particularly focusing on since being appointed to the role. It has certainly been there, but having more cooperation between our services that sit as part of those referral networks is a focus for me. And the reason I say that is that a service like Dubbo is overwhelmed on most days in terms of the demand for that acute acquired need. We have only a certain number of beds, or we're constrained by workforce at different times in Dubbo equally as other sites across this district, and having patients received and managed appropriately and also returned in a timely manner back to community is important, and there is a lot of logistics that go into that where we need cooperation between our health services to ensure that that patient movement happens seamlessly.
Q. Is it the case that that cooperation that you are speaking of is on the pathway to maturation but isn't yet there?
A. Yes, it certainly exists. I think it could be more improved, and that's some of the reason for the reshaping of service delivery to ensure that we establish that to be more seamless for individuals, so personalities potentially could have played more of a role previously and we're having a more structured way forward.
Q. Is it a focus of yours because - tell me if you disagree - ensuring these referral networks are optimised is important to the district being able to efficiently utilise its resources, both people and facilities and financial?
A. Yes. Yes. Definitely. I think, you know, we know that we have a number of unused bed capacity outside of our base hospitals and trying to best utilise that where it's safe to do so for patients is really important. So yes.
Q. Can I ask you to go to subparagraph (d), where you say that clinical streams should evolve into clinical networks. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Why is that a particular focus of your work?
A. The clinical streams or clinical networks, as they wil1 be known into the future, are the glue to connect our hospital services mainly, but you could argue the broader health services. When I talk about this, it's more of a specialised nature in some sense where we do have three referral hospitals in this district. That may not necessarily be the case in other districts where you have a very clear more tertiary or senior service provider. We need to have those clinicians connecting as peers leading the future clinical service evolution. Having consistency, standardisation of practice is really important.

The clinical streams perform that function. However, just thinking about the last 10 years, so I've been with the organisation for over 10 years, when we started with clinical streams, for the same purpose that I've described, we were probably less structured in our approach with that and partly because it was the first time that we had introduced that type of work in this organisation, and there was multiple specialty areas that popped up as a result of that, some of which you could argue are more programmatic or already established business units in the organisation. So a lot of work has been done in the last 12 months to reconsider what those clinical networks look like, being more targeted with their clinical specialty areas, which are mentioned further on, that are very clearly aligned to those three referral hospitals, however, acknowledging that other services would need to interact with those clinical specialties and we would have necessary representation from our small rural partners in some of that space as well.

So it - that's the intent. The headline of some of this, though, is to improve clinician engagement, so having clinical leadership at the forefront of where service delivery should evolve is highly important and we believe this network model will see that improve.
Q. It is important not only for clinical standardisation but from a workforce perspective, would you agree?
A. Definitely. I think that is an area that we will see evolve. Workforce planning historically has been done more so at a hospital level, potentially at a regional level with our small hospitals because we do have a general management structure over multiple small hospitals that
assists, largely nursing based, but we do see the clinical networks providing some form of engagement of how we can improve that workforce planning, particularly when we need to consider future workforce models that may not actually be in existence yet and what we know to be the workforce pressures in all disciplines.
Q. But also clinicians being engaged with their professional peers as best they can in their locality is important for attracting and retaining them in your district, would you agree?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you see this work as being one of the means that may be deployed to address some of the workforce challenges that we will come to in due course?
A. Yes.
Q. Can I take you --

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Can I just ask, paragraph 21 of your statement --

MR GLOVER: That's where I'm going.
THE COMMISSIONER: Q. -- where you are talking about population and demographic changes.
A. Yes.
Q. And internal migration, and Bathurst, Dubbo, Mudgee and Orange growing. Tell me if you don't know. Are Bathurst, Dubbo, Mudgee and Orange growing through this internal migration, or are people also coming from outside the LHD?
A. Well, it would be a combination of both, is probably where I should --
Q. When you say it would be, is that an assumption or is that known from data?
A. It would be an assumption of mine.
Q. Then, in paragraph 23, you talk about - you say the existing funding models do not adequately acknowledge the fixed cost of services for some of the EDs, and you talk about the 38 EDs that the LHD runs across the region. We were told yesterday that you have got seven EDs that have less than 1,000 presentations a year. Did you hear that,
or you know that?
A. Yes.
Q. And of those thousand presentations a year, certain1y the impression, and I think the evidence was, most of them are in triage category 5 or 4 . You are aware of that?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. And we were told, by contrast, there are EDs with something 1 ike 45,000 presentations a year.
A. Yes.
Q. And I assume - I don't think it was in evidence but I assume that those hospitals that are having 45,000 presentations a year are the larger hospitals like Dubbo, Orange, Bathurst; would that be right?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. I assume you have got solid data on al 138 EDs about how many presentations there are per year?
A. Yes.
Q. And the triage levels of the patients that are presenting themselves to the EDs; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. With the seven with less than 1,000 per year - and I'm not sure whether it goes beyond this - do you know if there have been any representations by the LHD to the ministry that perhaps some of these EDs should be closed?
A. Not in recent time, no.
Q. What does that mean, that in the past --
A. In my time in the organisation, there are examples of this in our district. So two small facilities that operate purely as multi-purpose health services would have previously, and this is prior to my time joining the organisation, would have operated an ED, and possibly acute beds. However, they no longer - people can still go to those facilities, obviously, but you would not be triaged, you would be rediverted to another service. So it has been, done but not in my tenure with the organisation.
Q. You see in the last sentence of paragraph 23 you have said:

Funding models do not acknowledge this
increased fixed cost ...
I take it that's because it's based on activity?
A. Wel1, yes and no. So the small hospital funding mode1, it still features on activity but there's been from a national level, there was a framework developed that stil1 considers what might be a broad activity component and therefore what they would expect a fixed cost baseline to be. That hasn't kept up with the changes in the workforce space. That model would have been established, you know - wel1, obvious1y it's national, so multiple different medical remuneration arrangements exist across the country, but certainly in New South Wales, we would have had a fee for service basis. That would have been reflective of the activity that is part of that small hospital funding model.

As that has evolved where we have now either locums in place or what might be alternative remuneration arrangements in place to access our acute medical input, that hasn't kept up with the model, in my view.
Q. Is it your opinion, or is it the opinion of, to your knowledge, others in the LHD, that rather than having to keep open EDs that see less than 1,000 presentations a year at triage level 4 and 5 , that money would be better spent either not having those EDs or offering a different service?
A. Definitely. I would definitely agree with that. I think as soon as you have the ED, signage, whatever, ambulances can arrive, you need to have triage trained nurses, which we do struggle to retain and, therefore, you are accessing agency at an extreme rate - so the list goes on. So I would definitely agree that that particular funding for any community could be rediverted to what is more appropriate for that community, and it wouldn't mean that people couldn't access that next level of care, they would just be clearer pathways for them to do that.
Q. Do I take from that answer, if the community could be brought along --
A. Mmm.
Q. -- which obviously involves proper communications as to what might be the best use of funds to provide medical services that are needed, and if there wasn't political pressure, there might be a different design about how
things are done in relation to the 38 EDs?
A. I think so.
Q. Is that right?
A. I personally think that most communities want access to a health service. I don't think they would necessarily understand the confusion that sometimes exists when we start to get into definitions about what these services are. We hear it very often, a lot of community would expect us to also have that doctor in town to be the GP. That's not our role. You have heard that this week and I'm aware from others. The community don't think like that. They just want to access healthcare services and be supported as they navigate through the different layers.

So I personally think the conversation with community could be quite open as we take that forward, when we're not looking to completely exit, which is some of the concern. This is about alternative investment in community, not disinvestment.
Q. This is about EDs that are in hospitals or infrastructure that are providing other services as we11; correct?
A. Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thanks.

MR GLOVER: Q. Mr Carey, if we stay at around paragraph 21, there are a few other things I want to ask you, and I will try and do this without covering the same ground?
A. Sure.
Q. In paragraph 22, building from the challenge you describe in paragraph 21 about the changes in the demographics, you describe there being a challenge to matching the funding streams to those services. About halfway down paragraph 22 you say that:

Drivers of the current funding model are population and demand weighted, and in theory are appropriate, however, the existing funding model ...

And then you set out two particular issues in (a) and (b). Can you just tell us in practical terms what those
challenges mean for the district in attempting to not only plan for the delivery of services but fund those services where they are needed?
A. Sure. So the comment I made earlier today and in the submission around that internal migration, that is accurate where we can see populations shrinking and others growing within our footprint. However, like we just discussed, maintaining that ED and other services at that hospital level in small community, fixed cost remains, so you can come back to 1,000 a year, 200 a year, that cost will remain.

And the current funding model considers district-wide boundary, population increase. For us, that isn't material when you compare it to a metro. So, you know, 2 , 3 per cent on average in a given year, which is what the funding model would reflect across the region, is not the same as 6 or 7 per cent that might be being experienced in Dubbo or Orange or Bathurst, and therefore creating that demand on services.

A district like ours also under that same funding arrangement operates with a small funding hospital and the true ABF funding arrangements, where you are matching activity, therefore funding, to demand. That's very difficult for that to continue to coexist in my mind, because there is suggestion that the small hospital funding model has the variable nature to it. That doesn't happen in practice, because you have very much got a fixed cost. There is very little cost that will be reduced by that population movement into a larger centre. Equally, the expansion of an ED to cope, such as Dubbo, far outweighs what you would actually extract from a variable cost from a small facility.

So it is significant impact from a funding point of view, because where we need to invest in services - ie, the large base hospitals across the district - we don't have the funding to support that.
Q. I take it that the challenge is also that you are not necessarily able to redeploy the funding that you do have because of the need to maintain and fund the fixed costs in those other services across the district?
A. That's exactly right.
Q. Aside from realigning services in the manner that you
have discussed with the Commissioner this morning, do you have any views as to how those challenges might be overcome?
A. I do think it is quite an open conversation with community about what we are wanting to provide. You know, there is an example in here and you have heard a lot of it previously, the Four Ts is an example of where we have engaged well with community and obviously stepped into an area of service that we wouldn't have previously, but it's been to the benefit of that particular community to have access to the right care that they require. But, equally, that's shared amongst multiple communities, so the GP that we employ is not in every community every day. That's structured across those four communities.
Q. That requires planning and engagement from service providers in the community in addition to the LHD; correct?
A. Yes. So there was a huge amount of consultation, community input through that whole process. I wasn't in the role when that particular decision and service was created, but it was well considered, it had a lot of community input, obviously support of ministry, other layers of government.
Q. That's an example of the LHD stepping into a space that it otherwise wouldn't have to provide a service that wasn't available; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's to the benefit of the community; you agree?
A. Yes.
Q. And, also, it has positive benefits for the maintenance of the health of the community at large; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And, in time, do you perceive that projects like that will also assist in meeting some of the challenges that the LHD faces in ensuring that the demand for its acute services is not if not overwhelmed, at least strained or stretched by the lack of primary care in the community? A. Yes.
Q. So that's another example of the way service delivery can be tailored to meet the funding challenges. Is there any changes to the funding model or approach that you
perceive would also assist?
A. I think that if there was greater acknowledgment within the system that there are alternative funding sources and that those avenues are a little easier to navigate. So the Four Ts, we have 19(2) exemption in that particular community. The process to obtain 19(2)
exemptions is quite significant. There was supportive sponsorship for that to go through as a holistic group, because of the Commonwealth engagement and other government input at the time. We have had multiple 19(2) exemptions granted across the district. However, it's done on an individual community basis where you are going through every single process at the same time. So I'm not suggesting that you wouldn't need to engage locally. However, when you have got PHN, it might be multiple groups that are actually managed by the same organisation, so if we think in our north west, where we do have a more corporate medical practice structure in place, where they manage the different practices, why can't we engage on a regional basis where we know that the same factors are influencing the issues in those particular communities to either obtain that 19(2) exemption - it would be much more efficient to look at it on a regional basis where you have common factors across all - to have access to that particular funding. That's one way I think that would make that a lot easier, because right now, we would have to do it 35 times in order to obtain that exemption if it was appropriate.
Q. Your district has taken a number of steps into what might be considered the primary care space; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Four Ts is one. The virtual service is another?
A. Yes.
Q. And the district has also engaged a provider to operate primary care clinics in certain parts of the district; is that right - Ochre Health, I think?
A. We haven't engaged them to provide general practice; we have engaged them to provide the acute medical input. So we engaged them to provide the acute medical input, so they would come through our ED for appropriate medical intervention as required, or service our acute beds. And also they would provide support to our RAC beds. That's the contract. Essentially, though - and that's at a higher rate than you would have previously paid a fee for service

GP VMO in those communities.
Q. But there isn't one in those communities?
A. That's right. There was none. We have, therefore, contracted - what happens as a by-product of that contract is that particular organisation set up general practice so they are independent running those general practices that obviously complements our services, but we're then purchasing those acute medical services.
Q. I take it the point you are making is that the district has done that because it sees a need to step into that space; correct?
A. Yes, otherwise there would not have been any service.
Q. And the current funding models do not necessarily recognise that the district is doing so or --
A. Not at all. That's a significant multi-year contract that we went to market for through a strong procurement process. However, there was no funding granted to support any increase in that cost of service.
Q. And would you agree that going into the future, it's likely that the district would need to do more of this type of work - that is, stepping in to what might be traditionally considered the primary care space due to what you have described as the failure of that market?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And in addition to $19(2)$ exemptions, is there anything in particular that you would like to see changed about the funding model to support the district to do so?
A. I think - so $19(2)$ is an obvious path, if we are truly stepping into that primary care space. That's the nature of the Medicare funding. You also heard yesterday that there is unaccessed Medicare funding across the footprint. And the question I sometimes ask myself is that, you know, we have activity based funding, of which the Commonwealth contribute a percentage of, and then we have Medicare funding, ultimately still two both Commonwealth funding streams. Whether we do need to completely jump into whether it's Medicare pays or the activity based funding is a question for me because ultimately Commonwealth are paying at some point for both and whether there are other ways of capturing the activity if we were to look at an activity-based scenario where ultimately the Commonwealth is supporting that particular service.

Now, you could argue that the state has to input into that, and that is accurate, but we already are through the high costs that we are establishing services to be maintaining these communities. So I haven't answered your question - I think the funding model needs to evolve to support that and I personally think that we need to make it less complicated rather than more, and wanting to continue to look for alternative funding sources, when in fact, we just need to be clear about what services we are going to provide in these towns, what is the demand, and how do we create some of the structures in order for that to happen when we've got multiple opportunities, activity based funding or the like. I am not convinced that 19(2) is the sole solution.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Can I just ask you something so that I make sure I understand what you meant when you were talking earlier about the LHD having multiple 19(2) exemptions across the district, then you said:
... it's done on an individual community basis where you are going through every single process at the same time. So I'm not suggesting that you wouldn't need to engage locally. However, when you have got PHN, it might be multiple groups that are actually managed by the same organisation.

What did you mean by "multiple groups that might be managed by the same organisation"?
A. Sure, what I was referring to there is in our north west, where we do have a partner that is running all of those practices, to engage once rather than multiple times. Does that make more sense?
Q. Can I also ask you, just on the Four Ts project, in 28 of your statement you said:

The model has recently been reviewed by the Sax Institute.

I assume that was a review in writing?
A. Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Is that in the material?

MR GLOVER: You will be receiving it shortly.
THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Can I just ask you what you mean by the next two sentences, it is clear enough, the next sentence:

> The review found that the project had a generally positive impact on patient care outcomes.

That's obviously your summation based on what the review said. Then, the next sentence:

However, it may not be economically viable
to expand this project across the whole
of . . .
the LHD. Is that based on something in the review, or is that an opinion based on something else?
A. It was part of the review in the sense that the review highlighted the loss that the organisation is making on that particular service. And I think you heard that in previous evidence. We are making a loss. So the state --
Q. So if you multiplied that loss multiple times, that's what you mean by it may not be viable if we expanded this much further?
A. Yes, that's correct.

MR GLOVER: Q. Mr Carey, in paragraph 23 you make the observation that the visiting medical officer arrangements are now somewhat limited. This is perhaps related to some of the ground we've covered earlier today, but do I take it from that observation in that paragraph that the traditional model of the local GP providing services into the MPS is not something that is sustainable going forward in your view?
A. Yes. Where we have reducing general practice in town and the current remuneration arrangements, I think, more GPs are electing not to provide that acute medical service to our hospitals, and I imagine that's partly financially based, if they are only able to attract a fee for service arrangement but, equally, the demand, when they are running quite busy practices or they're looking themselves to possibly move out of those practices - that's an issue. So I don't necessarily see that improving in the short term.
Q. And that leads, as you have observed, to either the district using locums for those services; correct? A. Yes.
Q. Other innovative models like the virtual service?
A. The virtual general - the vRGS, we call it, the Virtual Rural Generalist Service, it is a very successful model, we have high calibre clinicians participating in that mode1. I think what has been attractive, though, is that it is something that people don't have to live necessarily in a community that may not benefit them or their family, we have multiple clinicians who participate in a service outside of our region. There are quite structured arrangements for them to, however, have a presence in the district, so 25 per cent of their contracted arrangements is to have some physical input into our services, which is important to have that connection to our region and services, but on the whole, the service has been quite successful and it means we now have acute intervention consistent across the organisation.
Q. The district has retained those generalists to provide that service virtually?
A. Yes.
Q. Has consideration been given to retaining generalists to provide that service in the community as well?
A. Not necessarily. We have certainly spoken about the Four Ts and whether that should be expanded, but we haven't taken any formal steps to progress any of that.
Q. In paragraph 25 you observe there's concern for ongoing funding sustainability of the vRGS service. What's that concern?
A. So the reason that we stood up vRGS was at a time and this was pre the pandemic - where we were seeing escalating locum costs across the organisation, very much in our small rural services, and the idea at the time was to, you know - when I say that, we may have been employing a locum for the thousand presentations in particular services over the course of a year, so there could be weeks where they may have done or seen not a lot of activity at all but at a high price, so the vRGS service was introduced to be a more efficient response to some of that, but the point I'm trying to make there is that we already had a high-cost service in locum, the vRGS service is still a more expensive service than the former fee for service
arrangements that we would have otherwise had in place, and we weren't funded for that. That would have been at a point in time a driver of the forecast variance for the district at that point in time. So yes, we've got now a more sustainable service, but it is a more expensive service than what our foundational funding would have otherwise supported.
Q. The foundational funding being what would have been fee for service VMO?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. Which is something I think that you have agreed with me earlier is not sustainable going forward?
A. Yes, that's right. And in addition to this, where we - if you had a GP VMO, when they were coming in to support our RAC patients, they would have billed those services through to Medicare, because essentially they are private patients in their own home, it's just that they live in an MPS. Under this model, because we're a local health district, and it's virtual, we are unable to access Medicare for that type of service. So you are effectively paying for that aged care service in the public health service. Does that make sense? So that's a double hit, in my mind.
Q. It's been suggested in earlier evidence this week that some of these challenges that derive from the fragmentation of funding between Commonwealth and state could be significantly alleviated if there was a single point of responsibility for the delivery of what I will describe as primary care using pooled funds. Do you have a view about that?
A. Look, I think that would certainly make it easier. The nature of the pooled funds would just be the question I have in that space, so exactly what does that --
Q. As a concept though?
A. As a concept, yes, I think it's something we should consider.
Q. Can I just ask you briefly about the issue of workforce you raise in paragraph 30 and, in particular, the opportunity you describe in the last sentence.
A. For the medical --
Q. Yes.
A. So I think you heard with Professor Arnold, the question was certainly asked of Professor Arnold whether we could reconsider some of our medical training programs for rotations into our smaller sites. I believe his answer was yes, we could, but there was a number of steps that we need to take in order to achieve that. I do think that's some of the solution. That won't be the solution everywhere but where there may be close proximity for our services to operate in that manner, you may well then have a more consistent pipeline and --
Q. When you say "close proximity", are you referring to smaller services --
A. Around a larger centre where we have traditionally had those training programs.
Q. Could I take you back to paragraph 10.
A. Yes.
Q. In paragraphs 10 to 13 you tell us about the mobile CT service.
A. Yes.
Q. In paragraph 11 you refer to some of the benefits that have been produced by that service.
A. Yes.
Q. Are the matters you set out there taken from some form of evaluation that was done by the district?
A. We are taking the steps for a more formal evaluation process. Some of these stats were provided from the director that is responsible for that service, just taking stock of the service now being in operation for $I$ think it would be about 12 months. So --

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. That's what you are referring to in 12, isn't it? Paragraph 12?

MR GLOVER: Q. The more formal evaluation?
A. Yes, yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: $Q$. Who is conducting that, is that internal or --
A. We likely will have some external input. We haven't made a choice on who that partner will be. They're just firming up the framework.
Q. But the savings referred to at $11(a)$, (b) and (c) and (d) are internal work?
A. Yes.

MR GLOVER: Q. In paragraph 13 you te11 us that the ongoing operating expenses are not easily considered as part of the current funding models.
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. What's the particular issue that you are drawing attention to there?
A. So at the time when we were progressed - so this business case was supported with capital funding from government to create the service. However, there was obviously an operating cost associated with that, one, the running of the truck but also the employment of the staff and other consumables associated with the service, annual maintenance to the equipment. That isn't supported in the current funding model.
Q. Ongoing funding?
A. Yes, because it's essentially a block service in some respects, because you are looking to stand up a service and there's no clear mechanism to attract block funding for a service such as this. There is a Medicare funding source. That, however, does not cover the cost of running that particular service. So similar to the Ts, where we're making a loss, we are making a loss on this service as wel 1.
Q. If I can just break this up, the service was designed, a case was put to ministry to support its establishment; correct?
A. We11, the capital funding, yes.
Q. Some capital funding was received to purchase the equipment and get the service up and going; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But no funding for the ongoing delivery of the service is provided to the district; is that right?
A. Not on the specific basis, no. So, you know, argument could be that the district's funded, full stop, but for this particular service, there is no discrete funding and
it is difficult, when it's got that mix of a private revenue source, ie being Medicare, that it is assumed that that will be the funding. It doesn't cover the cost.
Q. Do I take it that you see this as a particularly - an innovative solution to the challenges of the vast geography of your district?
A. Yes.
Q. And do we take if from the answers you have given that you see considerable benefit in there being discrete funding sources for initiatives of this kind?
A. Yes. Whether there is funding or some consideration of these types of innovation how they fit into the current funding model. So if we elect to have more of a block component, then there should be avenues for us to explore that, and you could argue that should be happening at the time of the business case for the capital, that you actually equally explore the ongoing operating costs of that particular service.
Q. That's a fairly strong argument, isn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. And I take it that, given the constraints on the budget envelope that Mr Spittal told us about yesterday, there is not a lot of head room to develop and fund innovative projects like this?
A. No, and this particular project, albeit it has been in place for 12 months, it was a very long process, so I've been with the organisation over 10 years, this was one of the first cases that $I$ recal 1 us submitting and that's how long it took to get through the system. You know, it's complex to create what we have with the equipment on the back of this truck. However, it just - innovation doesn't naturally funnel through the system, I suppose, at times.
Q. Sorry, say that last - -
A. Innovation doesn't naturally funnel through the system.
Q. Why do you say that?
A. I think because we have a very structured funding arrangement that needs to fit in the box - so we have activity based funding. Activity equals NWAU equals price, does that make sense? Outside of that, there is acknowledgment of this small hospital funding, but it's
really just an off to the side. If it is not then a program sponsored by government, so a policy decision with discrete funding, there isn't a lot opportunity in the system for an option like this, in my view.
Q. A lack of flexibility, that's what you are describing? A. Yes.
Q. Aside from the difficulties faced with the mobile CT service, has that lack of flexibility at least delayed the implementation of innovative approaches or models of care in your experience?
A. No, that's not entirely fair with this particular example. I think that the nature of being clear on what the capital cost was going to be and then aligning that up to government priorities for capital commitments was part of the issue.
Q. Sorry, perhaps I wasn't clear. Leaving aside the issues that you describe with ongoing funding about the mobile CT service, the lack of flexibility in the funding model to support or encourage the development and implementation of innovative approaches - have you seen that in your own experience within the district?
A. We do it a lot, and I think there is evidence of that. I think when there may have been a little more head room in the system, we were able to take a few more of those opportunities. Now we can't. And that is quite restrictive. And most of those innovations, while we supported, were largely supported internally and therefore are compounding the current financial situation, if that makes sense.

So when you have now got costs increases in particular clinical disciplines, that is now driving the financial results. However, where we may have been making these losses previously and could absorb, that's now quite exposed. I hope that makes sense.
Q. Yes. Can I ask you to go now to paragraph 18. In paragraphs 18 and 19 you tell us about the virtual ADHD service. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. I just want to ask you about the arrangement that's been reached with the Sydney Children's Hospitals Network. You tell us that there's been some difficulty in recruiting
a paediatrician and clinical psychologists to that service; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. The way that that has been resolved is to enter into a partnership with the children's network?
A. Yes.
Q. How did that partnership come about, firstly?
A. This was one of the first tasks that I established when I took on the role. So the service had been supported with program funding, and albeit pilot funding from the ministry. My understanding is the team had gone out to attempt to recruit multiple times for the specialist paediatrician, which is part time, and the clinical psychologist, both temporary, because the nature of the funding is temporary and advertisements went out for temporary. This skill set is quite limited in our region. So I made a call to my counterpart at Sydney Children's and there was a lot of interest in this particular project and they have supported us with the recruitment of those two clinical roles that sit within Sydney Children's and now form part of our model. It's quite successful, in my mind, and I think they - we wouldn't be operating this service right now if we weren't able to access their support to recruit those temporary services.
Q. That's the benefit of a network type arrangement?
A. Yes.
Q. And how does the funding for that project operate in particular in relation to those two positions that have been provided by the children's network?
A. Sure. So there is program money over three years provided to the district. It would have - the build-up of that would have been based on individual positions, so part-time cost of a paediatrician, clinical psychologist and other roles. We basically then are invoiced by Sydney Children's through health arrangements to cover the cost of those salaries and wages.
Q. In the last sentence of that paragraph you tell us that the ongoing funding to support the project is of a concern. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that because, at the moment, it's pilot funding
only?
A. Yes.
Q. And there is no commitment one way or the other as to whether it will be funded on an ongoing basis after that funding expires?
A. Yes. So right now, we understand that at the three-year mark, that funding would otherwise disappear. It could be renewed, it could be supported if evidence is appropriate. But I've seen too many examples where those types of funding aren't retained, they might go on to a new priority, which I do understand that. The nature of this particular service, the reason I call it out, though, is that without that funding, our avenue to support its longevity would be Medicare, because some of this would be Medicare eligible. That will not cover the cost of this service. You're talking about highly specialised clinicians. But it's the right type of service for this district.

I expect to see really strong outcomes as a result of this, and having families access for support of their children that we know is a wait list pressure across this organisation, and so it's the right service, but we will be challenged in the three-year mark about how we, ongoing, support. It is just another example, like the Ts, others that I've given you, that we are making a loss on these services if we want to continue them.
Q. In that answer you referred to having seen things on a number of occasions. Just so at least I'm clear, is what you are describing that pilot programs are funded for the initial phase, stood up, and then there is no discrete funding on an ongoing basis for those projects but, rather, it needs to be absorbed within the existing funding network of the LHD if it is to continue?
A. Yes. And I think, on some level, if there was a clean activity capture for this type of service - there will be activity, but it is outpatient by nature, so it won't be overly complex in terms of its weighting to fit in the activity based funding scenario, therefore, it won't even feature as a material increase on any growth, if that makes sense.

So I think all well mannered these particular pilot programs are great, but there is no natural connection for them into ABF, which would be how it would be considered to
work. You might have some seed funding, you establish the service, the activity would then just be rolled into your normal way of doing business. These types of services won't achieve that. So there needs to be some consideration for that. I think when we're also putting fund pilot funding down, actually, what's the longer-term funding option for this.
Q. Would you agree also an assessment of what's the capacity of the district to absorb this within its existing budget envelope?
A. Yes.
Q. Finally, Mr Carey, can I just ask you some questions about what you describe under the heading of "Opportunities" in paragraphs 31 and 32. The Commissioner has touched on some of this so, I will try not to repeat it, but in the middle of paragraph 31, you refer to - you say that whilst the MPS model works:

```
... it may be more efficient for the mix of services provided to be less focused on emergency and acute care and more directed towards aged and primary care.
```

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. The Commissioner has covered with you perhaps some opportunities to realign some of those services away from emergency departments. I want to explore with you directing the MPS model into primary care. What do you see as the particular opportunity in that space?
A. So I think, similar to what we did speak about earlier, that most - where we have a multi-purpose health service model, it will be in those very small communities and the nature of the model was to always have that focus on integration. We largely do that, and in some cases, you know, we would have collocation with general practice, I think you have heard that in previous evidence. So that's probably where I'm going.

I think if, though, there was some consideration, if the district were to explore more examples like the Ts, where we're running general practices in these communities, ensuring that it is connected to this multi-purpose model, I think, is important, so that you will continue that
integration of all types of service that are appropriate for a community. It just so happens that we have continued, in most examples, to have that ED access - what we spoke about earlier, that could be more of a community-based primary model, but you are essentially using the asset that you have available and the staff in the more appropriate health service model.
Q. And the approach that you have described in that answer would go some way to addressing the fact of the existing or perhaps historical GP VMO model becoming less and less sustainable going forward?
A. Yes. The other point that I was making in this particular example when we talk about funding, however, is that the district receives a grant from the Commonwealth for the residential aged care places, so that's the beds and, in some sense, a community package. They are quite static. So in the instance that we were at a 20 or 30 per cent occupancy rate, I guess the district wins in a sense that you've got a revenue stream that's fixed. Most of our services are at 100 per cent capacity and we have demand.

The thought is that that grant covers the cost of delivering those aged care beds. The integrated nature of the service is always difficult for us to truly highlight their costs associated with that, but we have done some work to look at that over different times, and what that has highlighted is that the grant does not cover the cost of the aged care component of activity across that particular service. That is --
Q. Has that been raised with the ministry, that issue?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you aware of whether the ministry has raised it with its Commonwealth counterparts?
A. I'm not aware if they have, but it certainly has been raised and it is connected to the small hospital model and there is work being done to reconsider that model, but I think it's important that we do really highlight this particular aspect, when we do have a funding source from the Commonwealth connected to this, the small hospital funding model, but where it's clear that that is not covering the cost of that particular service.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. If we boil everything down to its
core, your LHD doesn't seem to me to be suggesting to me there should be some radical change as to how costing or funding of acute services should be done for your large public hospitals; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. The great issue you face is, as you have said in 24 , which seems absolutely clear on the evidence, that in many locations within your LHD, primary care is either failing or non-existent, as you say; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And the difficulty with that is that the Commonwealth provides the funding stream but not the service; correct? A. Yes.
Q. And the funding stream assumes that there is a market; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And if the market is not there, neither is the funding stream; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But there has to be primary care, a system, wherever people live; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Otherwise, the health outcomes will fall off a cliff?
A. Yes.
Q. Or be nowhere near as good as they should be.
A. Exactly.
Q. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And so the problem that needs to be addressed is how we ensure that in places where primary care is failing, it is funded somehow, and the workforce issues are overcome, so that people at a minimum can at least obtain good primary care and perhaps also aged care --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and all the ancillary things that that involves;
correct?
A. Yes.

MR GLOVER: Q. If steps like that aren't taken, the burden on the acute facilities run by NSW Health will only ever continue to ever grow; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Perhaps overwhelming the system in the not too distant future; would you agree?
A. Yes.
Q. Finally, Mr Carey, in paragraph 32 you direct us or draw attention to the service agreement having a key metric associated with overall budget variance. Do I understand that the issue you are raising in that paragraph to be, firstly, that key metric, in circumstances where the budget envelope isn't at the moment sufficient, does not allow you and your team and your wider district to implement some of the innovative approaches to delivery of care that we have discussed today?
A. That - yes, that's part of it.
Q. What's the other part?
A. What I was trying to explain there is we've talked so much about all the multiple funding sources that exist - so you've got activity based, you've got small hospital, then you will have these program items. The program components are quite quarantined. That might be appropriate, so you are getting money for a virtual ADHD service, you should put that money towards that particular service. And so when they are quarantined like that, there is very little movement when you are trying to balance that zero bottom line. If you then step back to the small hospital funding or the activity based - so activity based funding is where we can get our growth. So again when you talk about a service like Orange, Dubbo or Bathurst, growing evidence to support the growth, you should therefore attract funding from the system. And, yes, we have. But, however, the inflating cost in our small sites is where we're adjusting the budget to achieve a zero result, and this district has done for 10 years, until now.

And that's the point I'm trying to make, is that you are held to a zero, when there is know cost factors that are being experienced in small sites, and this district is then hamstrung to invest in the services in our larger regional centres that we desperately need to just cope with the demand because we're propping up this fixed cost and at
times probably not what the community requires - we've talked about that, ED access for a small number, when it might be more appropriate health service. So that's the point I'm trying to make is that you are held to a zero, which I do understand. However, there is zero wriggle room at times for the consideration of a district like ours where you have got a very rural footprint and a very fixed component of cost that will escalate or deescalate, whatever, versus where there is true growth, and there needs to be some consideration of those two components in a district like ours;
Q. Let's see if I can break that up a little. Held to a zero - financial accountability is important, you would agree?
A. Yes.
Q. But by being held to a zero in circumstances where the current model doesn't take into account some of the unique features of the smaller facilities that you have described today and the ability of the district to meet those within the district envelope, perhaps the KPI isn't necessarily appropriate for a district like yours; is that the proposition?
A. Yes. Yes.

MR GLOVER: Thank you. I have no further questions for Mr Carey.

THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Cheney, do you have any questions?
MR CHENEY: No questions, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much, sir, for your time. We're very grateful. You are excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
<THE WITNESS WITHDREW
MR GLOVER: The next witness is Dr McClintock.
DR WATERHOUSE: Commissioner, we have Dr McClintock on the stand.
<COLIN KINGSLEY MCCLINTOCK, affirmed:
<EXAMINATION BY DR WATERHOUSE:
DR WATERHOUSE: Q. Dr McClintock, could you please state your full name?
A. It is Colin Kingsley McClintock.
Q. You have a copy of the outline of your evidence there, I see; is that correct?
A. I do.
Q. Have you had a chance to review that before today?
A. I have.
Q. And would you say that it is true and correct in terms of the content?
A. There are some very minor things which I will come to. I would like to - I will probably break convention here, and I know this was done at the start of the double hearings but at a personal level I have to acknowledge that we're meeting and I'm giving evidence today on Wiradjuri land and I will acknowledge Elders past, present and future and emerging, thank you.

In terms of minor typographical errors, paragraph 4, I started work full time in Dubbo on Monday, 4 February 2008, not that I'm counting the days or weeks. In paragraph 10, it notes my involvement in the district's community engagement process. It mentions that I'm - I sit on the northern sector. I'm actually on the central west sector. But that is it, otherwise very comfortable with how it looks and reads, thank you.

DR WATERHOUSE: Commissioner, that will form part of the bulk tender in due course. We have it up on the screen but for the transcript, it is [MOH.9999.1197.0001].
Q. So Dr McClintock, you are a board member and have been a board member for the past seven years; is that correct?
A. It is. I commenced in January 2017.
Q. And you are the chair of the healthcare quality complaints committee?
A. I am.
Q. I understand that you took on that role late last
year. Were you previously a member of that committee?
A. Yes, in terms of subcommittee involvement as a board member, I have always sat as a member of the HCQC. And I have not had an opportunity to dip into any of the other subcommittees. I've previously, prior to my role on the board, been a member of our MADAAC, but as a functioning full-time clinician and a board member, I think that I am exempt from attending that meeting, I think, in terms of by-laws.
Q. Does MADAAC stand for the Medical and Dental Appointments Advisory Committee?
A. It does.
Q. You are a renal physician and also I understand a general physician; is that correct?
A. Yes. I hold dual College of Physicians accreditation, dual fellowships in both renal and general and acute medicine.
Q. Have you always practised in both renal and general, or is one more recent than the other?
A. Renal medicine is my longer fellowship. My personal belief in terms of practice, when dealing with a catchment population such as Dubbo hospital, as a primary LGA and as primary catchment and its secondary catchment, which covers our rural towns, when you are faced with a population of between 120 and 140,000 catchment, actually, I feel that you - there is a requirement to practise predominantly in your sub-specialty and what I'm really talking about is at an outpatient level, but also provision of acute care, and the general medicine component is I think slightly different to what you might conventionally think of as a true general physician, where I feel trained and workplace able to provide acute general medical on call to a regional referral sized hospital, but in outpatients, I think that medicine has progressed for a population of that size far beyond traditional models of care in general medicine, and therefore I try and stick about 90 per cent to my sub-specialty of renal medicine in specialist outpatient care.

Now, I say 90 per cent because there is no question some of what I do is general medicine and some of it is incredibly complex general medicine, but into other fields through necessity, and I'm happy to expand on that further if necessary.
Q. That's okay at this stage, thank you. So in paragraph 5 of your outline, you mention that you are the director of physician education at Dubbo hospital, and that this is part of the Royal Prince Alfred Hospital network, and that you are involved in the annual recruitment of medical registrars. Could I clarify first, is that for basic physician trainees, or does it also include advanced trainees?
A. So in terms of recruitment process, that is for the Royal Prince Alfred basic physician training network, you are absolutely right. We have expanded, however, over time, College of Physicians accreditation at Dubbo hospital in advanced training, so I do have a supervisory role in two of those accreditation areas. One is advanced training in general and acute medicine and the other would be accreditation at advanced training level in renal medicine.
Q. But in terms of that network with the RPA, that only involves BPT--
A. It only involves basic physician training in large part, but we do - we have been a pilot site in New South Wales for what we call our dual training model at advanced training, so that is where we aim to train senior registrar in dual fellowship, so general and acute medicine, aiming to also have them accredited in a sub-specialty, and we those are stand-alone positions that we develop for individuals that we have, in a sense, groomed - that's a terrible word sometimes, but we have groomed through previous exposure in earlier stages of their training as individuals who are going to excel as potential future leaders or clinical leaders in the country, so we would go out of our way to try and construct a training program that will suit their needs with the eventual aim that they will come back into regional site and work full time, and therefore, on occasion, we have networked with Royal Prince Alfred Hospital in those jobs.
Q. Now, just going back to the recruitment, the annual recruitment process, if we could, does Dubbo have equal standing with the rest of the network in the sense that a trainee could be allocated to work at Dubbo hospital or Camperdown RPA or anywhere within the network, or does it rely on the trainee wanting to come and work in Dubbo? A. I would say a little bit of both, that individual basic physician trainees will, at the start of I think either each year or their contracted period - and of course
basic physician training is moving to three-year contracts at the moment - they will nominate which terms that are available within the network as their preferred choices, and Dubbo might come up in that. Alice Springs is very popular in the RPA network. But of course - and we're talking about seven rotating registrars per term, so 28 across a calendar working year, and not every single person will have nominated RPA - sorry, Dubbo hospital as a top choice, so some people will just be told "You are rotating out". That's accepted. But --
Q. Do you have ever trainees actually withdraw because they either can't leave Sydney or don't wish to come out -A. Very occasionally, people who have been rostered to rotate out to Dubbo would undertake a second level swap with someone. Usually that's for family reasons.
Q. And do you have opportunities to accommodate people with families if they move out here for a term?
A. Yes, we do, and in fact, we have a basic physician trainee currently who chose or requested - so I mentioned the terms are traditionally three months - requested a six-month allocation to Dubbo and that individual has moved their entire family out, because their spouse is also able to work from home largely, and I think that's fantastic, that we are starting to see that kind of commitment to rural period of work.
Q. So they will do three months in one location, one type of sub-specialty, and three months in another, will they?
A. Yes. So we've got the ability in Dubbo to rotate them through different experiences in terms of clinical sub-specialty, yes.
Q. You mentioned that they are three-year contracts. Could a trainee spend their entire three years in Dubbo? A. No, they can't with current accreditation of basic physician training. They can spend in Dubbo currently because our basic physician training accreditation is as a network site and as a network site I think it's sitting at six months total.
Q. So they must then rotate around?
A. Must rotate through, and I think that's - although I'm very biased towards Dubbo and regional rural training,
I think at that stage of training, there are - there will
always be a requirement to have experience in a tertiary
level or quaternary level unit, even if that individual is aiming towards regional future practice.
Q. Do they go to Bathurst or Orange at all, or are they part of separate networks?
A. So Bathurst is split between the Nepean and RPA network, so two basic physician trainees historically have rotated from Nepean and two from Royal Prince Alfred network, and Orange is entirely Westmead network.

There are some challenges around that, because of course there are three regional referral hospitals that sit within one local health district, and I think there would be some benefits from having the linkage at training level substantively with our ministry defined tertiary referral site, which is Royal Prince Alfred.
Q. And what would be required to effect that sort of a change?
A. A foundational disruption of current network training, which could be done, but would require really examining that closely to look at a Machiavellian level, whether the end would justify the means. But that is true of many parts of health care.
Q. Are there particular experiences that a trainee may be able to have in Dubbo because it is perhaps a smaller cohort, that they - would they have more autonomy to do things, get to do different things they may not be able to do at a tertiary hospital?
A. Yes, so what you are talking about is
a very-oft-mentioned and discussed part of training, that there is more autonomy, more access to pathology, more access to acute care and more access to procedural work, under supervision, of course, and so I will - I shake my head sometimes in disbelief, actually - sorry, RPA - but some of our trainees that come out, the lack of exposure is terrifying and the reliance on certain other services to provide procedural work in our tertiary/quaternary level sites.

I think we keep it real in Dubbo and we give excellent training, and you will hear that, of course, from just about any regional physician, and I really think for basic physician trainees, their term in Dubbo is when they move from a resident medical officer to becoming a registrar.
Q. We heard evidence from the chief medical officer, Professor Arnold, on Wednesday, that college accreditation had been withdrawn from Bathurst hospital. I appreciate that's not part of your own responsibility area. Were you involved at all in that, because of your role for BPTs at Dubbo hospital?
A. Yes, I was involved with probably two time points leading up to that withdrawal of accreditation because of my time - so I took on the role of director of physician education in Dubbo I think in June 2008, which would make me a very longstanding DPE in New South Wales, and I haven't seen everything, but I've seen a lot, because I've supervised a lot of medical registrars now. So probably that time scale led two different network directors of training for the RPA network to ask if I could speak to director medical services at Bathurst and the sitting director of physician education to see if I could perform a role in redirecting and improving.

So I guess what I'm saying was that there were attempts being made to prevent a catastrophe from happening, and that was the withdrawal of basic physician training accreditation. I think that was a long road that unfortunately came to pass in the end very rapidly, and I think that - you know, I don't - because, you know, I wasn't there, it's not my hospital, and perhaps a shock therapy was required because it has led to very, very significant positive change. I'm aware of that with the reintroduction of trainees and things are now going well. But it's unfortunate when these things happen and it has a knock-on effect for other regional training sites, that this can happen. I'd prefer, of course, that it hadn't happened.

I'm not answering the question very well, I know. But, yes, I did try. Look, to specifically come back and answer your question, what I was met with was a strong from a now long-gone locum director of medical services at Bathurst, a strong belief that all aspects of training for BPTs, basic physician trainees, would be sorted out by the mother ship, RPA, so that when they came to the regional hospital, they were workhorses and service oriented only, and that was a very unfortunate viewpoint to take, because that will torpedo training in the country. It was a dastardly belief, and that's what I tried to subvert, because, of course, training in a regional site must include education. It's not just work-placed learning; it
must include education support, and I think what we can do really well, and I'd like to think we do excessively well in Dubbo, is pastoral care and support.
Q. Was there any involvement from you, when it actually was withdrawn, the accreditation, given you are on the board? Was there any board engagement with this issue or was this managed entirely at a management sort of level? A. It was predominantly managed by the executive and Professor Mark Arnold had just onboarded at the time in his role as chief medical officer, so Professor Arnold was substantively involved in onsite involvement, but of course, I had unofficial involvement in discussions with what had occurred with the then director of network - the network training director at RPA and then rapidly the next person that took over that role, and certainly I gave - and I also gave some support directly to Dr Riton Das and Dr Marco Metelo, so that's the director physician education at Bathurst and the director medical services at Bathurst. I had some discussion with them around what I thought could be changed to rapidly bring trainees back.
Q. I want to move on to more board related matters now. Can you start just by telling me a bit of an outline of the professional backgrounds of the members of your board?
A. So we have backgrounds - starting with myself, I guess I'm a clinician, a full-time clinician. We have financial and accounting backgrounds in two of our members. We have allied health backgrounds, two different allied health members in two different areas of allied health. We have a local government and remote representative. We have tried to maintain a high value Aboriginal member, and that person has resigned over - I don't know why, but I assume too many other commitments, which is unfortunate, so that position's been - of the member has been unfilled for about six weeks now.
Q. Do you come from different parts of the district, or are you all based in Dubbo?
A. Different parts, and I think that's absolutely vital.
Q. I understand that boards are appointed by the minister on advice from the ministry and the board chair. Do you think that that is an effective way to ensure the right skill set for your board?
A. We11 - so I guess the process is EOIs through
advertisement of people who would like to apply and then
those EOI responses are looked at and sifted. I believe that the chair of the board has some input into that process as well. I suspect it's not the best way of doing things, and that more energy and time and resources could be put into finding better representation, because I think that there would be - I'm of a strong opinion that one voice can't be the good for everyone, so you do require broad representation. Of course, that's part of governance, I think. And I believe that putting out EOI adverts for this is not enough to - of a net to bring the best people in to guide a board.

So I think that more resources could be done to give better input for the health minister to appoint high value people, and that has nothing against any sitting board member at the moment. I just think the process is probably not involved enough for something that's so valuable. We're talking multi-billion dollar organisations, in reality.

DR WATERHOUSE: Commissioner, that might be a good moment to take a break.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, we will take a break until 20 past 11. We'll adjourn until then.

## SHORT ADJOURNMENT

THE COMMISSIONER: Whenever you are ready, we can resume.
DR WATERHOUSE: Q. Just before the break, we were talking about your role on the board, and I wanted to take you to paragraph 7 of your outline, where you have discussed about the board being responsible for effective governance, both clinical and financial, and then you go on to say that often the board is drawn into operational matters and you give the example of an acute staffing shortage resulting in service delivery failure that may be picked up by the media. What role does the board play when those situations arise?
A. I guess I could preface that by saying as a full-time clinician, you might imagine that I like talking about operational matters, and that annoys some of my other board members who want to stick with governance. So I quite like the cut and thrust of operational matters.

Where the board come into being - so a rural or MPS
level facility that has an acute staffing issue, what we can do, and what we have done, is look at an engagement process there. So an example would be last year where something like that had occurred, we nominated one of the board members to join the executive to get boots on the ground to understand the situation and to look at ways in which we could value-add, I guess, to direct, to some extent, some form of performance improvement or realign the staffing problem.

Now, I can't possibly say that we would find a magical fix, but I think that if you have applied for and you want to join a board, one would think that you are doing it for the common good of community. I know that's why I did it, and certainly if I thought that I could provide some sort of support to executive to correct this problem, which might be insurmountable in reality, but I would like to have the opportunity to do that, and if I had the time I would like to be those boots on the ground.
Q. And when you say that you are drawn into these issues, does it mean that it takes away time that you would, as a board, be wanting to spend on other matters?
A. Of course it does, and that's, you know, why some board members would lament the time that it's taken, but I think you have to discuss these things as board members, because in reality when these things occur, and they maybe absolutely out of your physical hands to resolve, but it gives, as a simple barometer, a really good understanding of the health of your organisation or the challenges you face.

So I think you have to be up-front and discuss these things, but it has to be balanced ultimately that, yes, you don't suck away all the time and that you can move on to discuss governance issues as well. So we would acknowledge that, but I can think several time points we've had very robust discussion around exactly this issue.
Q. Is it a particular element that the media is involved, that is when it tends to become something the board needs to address? Is the media the key factor --
A. I think media has a major role in it but not always, but perhaps from the executive's point of view, the risk of media involvement could be why it's being discussed. Those sort of things $I$ don't care about at all. I just care about the common good, I'm afraid. Maybe I'm a rarity in
that.
Q. When you say you don't care at all --
A. About media. To me, it's irrespective of whether media is involved in a system process. Media can be involved, there is a right of free speech of course, but if there is a problem, then it's a problem and we should deal with it, irrespective of whether media are involved.
Q. Can the media's involvement sometimes cause people to worry more than perhaps they need to in terms of what's happening?
A. Of course they could, yes, sensationalism. That's the value of media, journalism.
Q. The chief executive yesterday gave evidence about the fact that there is a forecast deficit of $\$ 48$ million for the current financial year, which is a new thing that the district is facing, new as in this year, and he said that it was almost all because of the cost of medical locums and agency staff, primarily agency nursing staff. Is premium labour a focus for the board currently?
A. I think it's probably our biggest focus. It's certainly mine. How do you think I feel in my 17th year working as a clinician in this local health district, and I think my voice would be similar to very many voices, where I'm at a point where I think there are 100 different things we can improve but I can't get anything done because we're over budget, spending on high-cost workforce, and I really do hesitate to use the words "high cost low value", because some of those workers are excellent and provide excellent care, but at the end of the day, they will never be resident advocates for regional and remote health care. They are coming in and doing a much needed job as a contractor, and if I can't get things done or we can't get things done --

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. What do you mean more fully by "I can't get anything done"?
A. So we have - basically, there is a financial freeze on everything because we're so over budget.
Q. Give me some examples, then, of what you want to get done but can't get done?
A. Specific examples, okay, great. Where do I start? So a specific example would be that - so I play the long game, Commissioner. I'm planning to complete my clinical career
in Dubbo. I'm committed. I'm here. I'm Dubbo. I'm Mr Regional, and I really want to see things improve, and so a specific example would be that we have - we're trying to capacity build in specialist workforce; we have appointed a second full-time respiratory physician who has a fellowship in sleep medicine. We, of course, have got very high rates of obstructive sleep apnoea, an obesity related hypoventilation, and we have an absolute requirement to have a public based sleep laboratory, a level 3 service, it's called. So our sleep fellowship respiratory physician, supported by myself, wrote a business case for a public sleep lab, which would then dovetail into a fledgling respiratory failure service for this region, and we got that initially approved and then, of course, it's been torpedoed. So we don't basically you know, there's no service at all.
Q. Tell me why there are very high rates of obstructive sleep apnoea in this LHD.
A. So we've heard I think yesterday from Mr Spittal, our CEO, around our obesity rates are very high, so that would be a direct input into high rates of obstructive sleep apnoea. You know, call me an idealist, but if you are going to develop - so there are very many private sleep laboratories working in this area across New South Wales, but if you want to deliver the care to the people that need it most in regional/rural, then this should be a public hospital based, state funded service that is going to be delivered without an out-of-pocket expense, otherwise you will not deliver that care actually to the people who require it most.
Q. Now, I can guess, but I'm not a clinician - and feel free to give me as much detail as you want, why there are such high rates of obesity that lead to these problems in your LHD?
A. So we're talking about social determinants of health here, and therefore, I greatly believe that if we're going to - that actually - you know, and this is health care delivery in every case, we have two parallel challenges. So we currently have in the west of the state and remote areas terrible health outcomes, the worst in New South Wales. So how are we going to achieve an intra-generational change for the health --
Q. Perhaps that's a better question. What do you need, do you think, to address and improve these high rates of
obesity? That might be a better question. What's needed?
A. So we need a refocus on, as I say, current care and access to care, to achieve --
Q. What kind of care? Primary care?
A. It is both primary and secondary care, of course.

Because there are great strides that you can achieve within five years in health outcomes, I would say. So
intra-generational, with application of current primary and secondary care, but that of course must work in parallel with health promotion aspects, whether that's our early life projects --
Q. By "health promotion" you mean --
A. Public health.
Q. -- projects, initiatives that encourage people to change lifestyle and personal behaviours that might be causing the obesity?
A. Yes, because there is a great deal of medical literature on small spend, hugely - huge gains, if delivered effectively, and the public health physicians would lament about the small amount of funding they receive to achieve that because of the potential for great outcomes. You know --
Q. What else is needed for the kind of radical improvement you are talking about in a five-year span? A. So - well, looking at another example, this week we've had the Australian Cardiovascular Association, we've had a workshop on Wednesday that I attended and spoke at and then we had a brainstorming session on Thursday morning. They are looking at accessing Commonwealth funding to try and halve the rate of acute myocardial infarction using they would like to use Western New South Wales, or our local health district and experience as a pilot site to look at a real-time method of halving heart attack rates with a five-year time scale using a robust dashboard based model that is freely accessible by primary and secondary care to deliver rapid access to basic preventative medical strategies or lifestyle change in combination with rapid access that doesn't really exist at the moment to investigation, to pick up patients at an earlier stage. It's pretty convincing that we can do that, but again, it comes back --
Q. This is like prompts to the actual patients to --
A. Well, it could be anything from prompts, but there is medical therapy technology that would make this achievable. But it always comes back to - and I noticed this was discussed widely yesterday - whose responsibility is it to do this work? And maybe we just need one unified body to be responsible.
Q. While we're on this topic, and so I don't interrupt Dr Waterhouse more than we need to, can you also help me with paragraph 21 of your statement, which is related to what we were just talking about, where you tell us that I mean, it reads like a typographical error. I'm sure it's not. People are approximately 200 times more likely to require dialysis living in Brewarrina than in central Sydney.
A. Yes.
Q. Why is that?
A. Well, Brewarrina, you know, is I think our district's only Modified Monash level 7 site. It's our only LGA that's classified as very remote. The Indigenous population of Brewarrina I think sits at about 56 per cent. The LGA is 1200 people. We run six dialysis chairs at Brewarrina MPS.
Q. But what's - why is it 200 times?
A. So we're talking about extreme rates of diabetes and hypertension. It's an area that we still see --
Q. This is diet driven?
A. Yes, you know, that's a simplified argument, Westernised diet.
Q. You tell me in as much detail as you can.
A. Westernised diet, the impact of that on Indigenous people, the socioeconomic problems of Brewarrina all inputting into poor health outcomes and I honestly believe the proudest - the thing I'm proudest about doing as a clinician is that I'm 16 years and two months into unbroken longitudinal chronic renal care to the Brewarrina LGA, and I do not do that on my own, I have built capacity locally with people to help me achieve - or try to achieve the delivery of care to Brewarrina. I try and be there monthly, and I'm not sure that I'm winning is the reality.
Q. Let's divorce ourselves from reality for a moment for the purposes of this hypothetical, and let's assume that
money and workforce aren't an issue. What, in your opinion, would need to be done to significantly reduce that 200 times?
A. I can answer that more broadly, that - and it's the answer to everything - we need to somehow achieve a dependable, longitudinal, unbroken primary care delivery for our remote communities, and very remote communities, and much has been spoken about in this hearing already about that, and I don't have all the answers for that, I might have some.

So we need unbroken primary care access, and I can tell you across 16 years, I've seen so many primary care practitioners come and go across that 16 years, and it's almost, I can see, sinusoidal variations in how controlled health is, even in my eyes, you know, when our primary care clinician comes in after maybe a broken period of trying to get someone else resident in Brewarrina, things have got a bit rocky, they remain rocky but gradually re-find their feet over one to two years, then things progress well and then they leave again.

So it's all about provision of primary care. Then the second part of it, and I think it comes to what I said earlier regard intra-generational change to health outcomes, because in reality, what I'm talking about is access right now to care beyond primary, and that comes into secondary care, which is I guess my expert area, and how do we provide - and we come back to what has been said before, demand and needs analysis for a very remote LGA. What, as a developed country health system, should we be expecting is available on the ground and/or within a certain distance, in terms of access to, say, let's say specialist services, for Brewarrina? And I would suggest, and in my evidence I've spoken about hub and spoke, so I'm talking about capacity building a specialist workforce in Dubbo that then outreaches into these sites to deliver that care, that complements itself with virtual care delivery, so all of my patients in Brewarrina $I$ will also follow up via virtual care at times, but all of them I see face-to-face as well.

So we need to undertake the work that looks at - and it's not complicated, Mr Commissioner, it is not complicated - that says "This is the care we should be delivering." Then there is another tranche of care that is in reality tertiary level. This care will always be more
or less delivered in major metro. And what is the path what is the transparent pathway that a community member can have to negotiate those systems of care? And unless we acknowledge what is needed and plan for it, and then plan workforce and fund that workforce, we won't get anywhere unless we take the responsibility to do that work. And I am not --

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Tell me if I'm wrong, but the plan would be to reduce the need for that tertiary care by having the adequate levels of primary care.
A. Yes, because if it's in Sydney, what proportion of Brewarrina people do you think really access that care?
And so we're talking about, in this great country of ours, people, and whether Indigenous or otherwise, not receiving vital care at all, because it doesn't exist.

And yet this work is not complex. It is not complex to do. And I don't even think that it's - you know, it's not expensive work to do.
Q. By "vital care", you are not distinguishing necessarily between primary, secondary, tertiary - they are all vital; correct?
A. Yes, because we can define what should be there. Basically, we're talking about services that should be deliverable by our regional referral centres. So I'm talking about cardiology, I'm talking about respiratory medicine, I'm talking about gastroenterology, neurology, renal medicine, medical oncology, haematology, but I'm also talking about dermatology, I'm talking about vascular surgery. We can define what a service population of 130,000 people, which is Dubbo's catchment, should be able to access, and then we can look at how the access is achievable for people in regional sites with complementary virtual care processes and systems like that, and we also should have service level deliverables of care into tertiary, where it's never going to be acknowledged that it should be resident in Dubbo, okay?

And, look, I will give you a couple of examples. The first would be - and my wife is also a renal and general physician. We arrived together. In my statement, I've talked about that we are now 3.8 full time equivalent renal medicine, and we also all do general medicine in Dubbo, and within probably a five- or six-year time frame of arriving in Dubbo, I believe that we started to gain control of
a single clinical service that was like a run-away train, okay? And by "control", I mean actually delivering the care that community members should be able to expect for renal medicine.

So what does that mean for ministry or treasury? What it means is that I believe that we reduced our renal medicine DRG admission rate at Dubbo hospital by half, okay? And so, for me, that means that we reduced our bed occupancy rate for renal medicine by four at any one time, and if we apply the current belief that an acute care bed in New South Wales for 24 hours is $\$ 1,901$, simple arithmetic will tell you what $I$ believe we've reduced in terms of cost, and I think that's about $\$ 2.7$ million a year.

We had the Leading Better Value health care tranches from a previous premier, one of which was renal supportive care where in ninth decade of life and beyond we can make an ethical and appropriate quality of life and longevity of life decision that dialysis provision would not be in the ethical benefit of that patient, so we control that pathway and encourage and develop understanding at a community level that that's the right thing to do ethically. So we're not reflexly dialysing people at huge cost, system cost.

We've increased, I believe, access to renal transplantation by 400 per cent. So if you look at things, I reckon our dialysis numbers are static. Look at Western Sydney's. So control of a clinical service is cost effective, and I believe it's cost effective to, if we include renal transplantation is one-third of the cost of dialysis, approximately, to the system, not dialysing at all, although in terms of clinical follow-up for me it's actually more involved, because I don't abandon my patients but it's cheaper to the system to not dialyse someone, hence Leading Better Value health care tranche, and decreased bed occupancy.

So I think that 3.8 full-time equivalent renal physicians living and working and passionate about what they do in Dubbo is saving the system, annualised, three to five million dollars a year. That more than pays for our salary, unless you want to pay me more. And I don't need to be paid more.

But - so if we extrapolate that system of cost savings - so why are we frightened to look at capacity building in professional workforce? Why should shouldn't we lift that rock and say, "This is actually going to deliver the care that will make a difference, and it will be cheaper in the long run perhaps", but everyone's too scared to do it, because when we do it, we're going to expose the system to failure, because we're going to start to see under that rock what we're not doing right now, and people will run scared from that, and I believe they're doing that. But we have to change it because it's just because, let's go back, Commissioner, to when I started work in Dubbo. I predate a resident cardiologist in this town. Do I look that old?
Q. No.
A. Thank you. How can that be that I predate a resident sub-specialty cardiologist in Dubbo, okay? And if you asked the general public about that, they would - what? And we think we're winning? And, look, we are progressing.

In January, across this local health district, we had six FTE cardiology, and in just four months we've gone from 1 FTE in Dubbo to 3. They are all overseas trained physicians. They are all high quality. I was directly involved in their recruitment and involved in the two we've just appointed, their supervision for the College of Physicians and Ahpra.

But why do we have - you know, I'm moving into something else here, you know, but how do we have a system that is so maldistributed that you wouldn't, as a cardiologist, want to come and work where your exposure to the acute part of your sub-specialty is at its highest? You know, why do we have that maldistribution? Well - and I'm going to move on to something else now, but the reason is because we've got a system that is set up that allows individuals coming out of specialist training to tread water in Sydney, because there are so many ways in which you can still earn a living without a public appointment in a major hospital in Sydney, and you might have heard about this before.

You know, if I finish training and there are two vacant jobs in Dubbo as a cardiologist, but it may very much not suit me personally to leave Sydney and the great restaurants of Sydney - don't get me started about the
restaurants of Dubbo, that's another story entirely - but we have a system that's set up where I can just enter private rooms and bill Medicare and bill the patient directly an out-of-pocket expense, because that will be what's happening, and I can take admitting rights to a private hospital, and it may be a very undistinguished private hospital, and I can make a good financial living, fairly rapidly, rather than just going and taking up a full-time position in a regional site to work.

So how do we change that trajectory? People talk about you can't conscript doctors in Australia. Maybe we need to hone that a little bit so that we at least reduce the outlets of other opportunity to ensure that you go where the work is. Because I can assure you, it doesn't happen in other healthcare systems. You have to go where the work is. And sometimes that will be, whether you like it or not, and often it will be because that's absolutely what you want to do. And, look, we are making progress, but there is a lot more progress that we can make.

And I know this is becoming a little bit of a soliloquy, but let's talk about services that don't exist at all --
Q. You haven't beaten Mr Spittal for the longest answer yet, so keep going - not that it wasn't a good answer, but keep going.
A. So our LHD is 279,000 and a bit people. Do you think there is a vascular surgical service for this LHD?
Q. You are going to tell me there is not?
A. There is not. How can that be? 280,000 people, there is no vascular surgical - no local health district defined or responsibility taken vascular surgical service. I've got a funny accent. I'm from Scotland originally.
Q. Nothing wrong with that.
A. I grew up in - I schooled in Aberdeen, so I'm not I wasn't born in Aberdeen shire. Aberdeen shire is 240,000 people, serviced by Aberdeen Royal Infirmary. They have a tertiary level vascular surgical unit. This local health district is larger than Aberdeen shire by population and it has no vascular surgical service at all that has been - had any input by Ministry of Health, government or the tools of government, local health district. And I sit on the board. What is going on?

The services we do have are drive in, drive out, fly-in, fly-out, and we have two outstanding vascular surgeons who basically my wife and I have coerced to come and create fistulas for our dialysis patients at Dubbo hospital and, thankfully, when they come - because they only do fistula work for us predominantly because they do want to give back, and you can imagine what it's like trying to get someone from Bourke or Brewarrina, Walgett, to RPA to have a fistula created for dialysis access, so they understand that coming and doing that in Dubbo is really valuable, and when they are here, they offer a private day of clinic or rooms for general vascular consultation, okay?

So that service for Dubbo exists because of me and word of mouth. Where's the responsibility? Like, what the? Your jaw drops at that. It really does.
Q. I'm detecting your frustration.
A. Yes. So if you talk to our two vascular surgeons, what are the key issues or blocks, because they tell me, "We11, I don't know how long I can come and do general vascular consultation, because" - and you might be aware that the majority of general vascular work is now endovascular, with the new breed of vascular surgeons. Vascular surgeons are struggling in the public system in metro to get access into interventional laboratories to do their endovascular work, and if we add a completely unplanned for vascular surgical group, and we have the highest rates of peripheral vascular disease in the central west and our remote areas, they can't get access in Sydney for these patients right now. So how can we - so what they say to me is "How can I continue consulting, because I'm just blowing out and blowing out the waiting list to get these patients seen".

So their impending vascular catastrophe becomes a catastrophe, it ends up in Dubbo emergency department and then we're retrieving them because of critical limb risk when that's all preventible. It's not just Dubbo. Exactly the same thing is happening in Orange, because a general surgeon and vascular surgeon retired the year before last and Orange is now falling back on two visiting vascular surgeons, and Bathurst I don't think has any service at all.

Don't get me started about dermatology and various other --

## THE COMMISSIONER: Q. I might leave that to

 Dr Waterhouse.A. So dermatology, there is no service at all. So if we don't do that, it comes back to that demand and needs analysis. If we don't do the work for these basic things, then who is taking the responsibility to ensure that the services actually happen? And it's very different if a service is missing in Sydney or Melbourne in your local government authority area, because you will have better access still in metro to primary health care, you will ring a mate 20 kilometres across town and say, "Can you see this person? Maybe you can even bulk bill them, because I went to university with you and you are a vascular surgeon. Can you sort this person out for me, because I've lost my local referral pathway." This is a key distinction for regional and remote, is there isn't that network back-up of services.

So if you lose a critical service, there won't be anything there at all, or if we haven't done the work to assess what we really want to see in terms of services, it's an unmeasurable lack of presence. And we're talking we're not talking about neurosurgery here, which should never be based in Dubbo.

THE COMMISSIONER: I understand.
DR WATERHOUSE: Q. The answer that you have given has covered a lot of what I was going to be asking you about, and the Commissioner's questions, but I just want to tease out a couple of aspects.

In paragraph 22, you sort of summarise there the solution that you see for these issues, to a degree. I'm interested to know - so you are saying that a similar approach could be taken to what you did for renal medicine? A. Mmm-hmm.
Q. And you talk about, first of all, deciding the services that should be provided - this is in paragraph 23 - deciding what key services should be provided.
A. $\mathrm{Mmm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. My question is, who should be making that decision and on what basis?
A. I actually think for secondary - for what I would describe as secondary services, so specialist services, be they surgical, medical or otherwise - I actually think that should be work that's undertaken by the local health district. Bearing in mind that the workforce delivery starts to look complex because of the interplay of a staff specialist model or specialist recruitment and the VMO model with private rooms, of who takes responsibility to you know, command control of what's available or what's happening in your local government area. For example, and this has been alluded to by others in other hearings, a gastroenterologist, for example, could decide that they are going to move to Dubbo and set up private rooms, but the reality is we would track that because they would want to have, one would imagine, public hospital admitting rights.

So acknowledging some of the complexity around VMO and private rooms versus staff specialist, which is under complete command and control, obviously, of the local health district and the local public hospital, I think it should be the local health district and ministry that undertake service planning.
Q. So the local health district and the ministry? A. Well, the LHD is an extension of ministry, with the devolution of system and process into LHDs, so we will simplify that to local health district. I think it should be us. But I think you and I could do it this afternoon with a napkin because, you know, this is not a year of work. It's probably two hours and a pen and a piece of paper to write down, because I can tell you what community should have based in Dubbo. I think you could as well. It's quite simple.
Q. So parking the services for a minute, you then go on to talk about developing a model of care before then working through the funding commitment and the engagement of the workforce. How would you see it working to develop the model of care before you had the specialists available? What would that look like? Putting aside the employment categories of staff specialist, et cetera, what would the model of care look like if there aren't the specialists on the ground already?
A. So I think that because of course it's going to be
hybridised, we will have some workforce in key areas already and, as you say, we would have missing workforce in other areas for a service that we believe should be provided. So in that situation, we would have to look at interim measures to provide some support. Now, that could be - because one thing I've learned very much is - so let's say I get my magical wish and we do this work and we fund all of this additional workforce to achieve it. I would suggest absolutely, and I think that's what you are getting at, that this would be a multi-year process of bringing on, attracting and onboarding that workforce, because we want high quality people. We don't want a knee-jerk response and appoint through desperation. I have learned the hard way that you should never do that. You are better to work towards that common goal of capacity building, workforce that's high quality and is going to be resident - we would define it should be resident.

So what do we do in the interim, and I want to see, or I would like to see, transparency around what the back -up option is, and the reality is that if it doesn't exist in the area, it's going to come back to, I think, your tertiary referral service, and we are at a point where, if we're talking about consultative care or sub-acute/acute support to regional hospital or into outpatient care/primary care, I think we're at a point, we're talking about very clear service delivery contracts with those services at tertiary.

I've been talking about this at board level for about two years, and in reality, what I'm coming back to, and I was talking about in the break, that I am ultimately a renal physician and general physician. When I'm on call, I end up with acute dermatology under my care, which is very hard for me. I think I'm reasonably broadly skilled by now, but some of that is pretty hard for me, and I have no support. You know, I think I'm a fairly senior clinician, or getting there, I'm the clinical director of medicine, I sit on a governing board. If I ring our tertiary referral centre and ask for the on-call dermatologist, and my first words are "I'm ringing from Dubbo, this is who I am, I really need some advice", the answer I get is, "We're not giving it to you because it's not our responsibility. Medically legally, we're not allowed to do it, blah, blah, blah", click.
Q. You have had that experience?
A. Absolutely. And everyone has that experience, because the only way to get round that with our ministry-level defined tertiary/quaternary centre, which is Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, is a number - by no means all, by the way - a number of the sub-specialty services at RPA, despite that, will refuse to talk to someone from our catchment area, a clinician from our catchment area, because they are saying "It's not our responsibility any longer". That's not to say that if I was to ring them and frame it that $I$ have a patient that requires inpatient transfer, they will acknowledge still that it's - "We are the ministry defined tertiary referral, so we'll accept that patient." So I could get a conversation started by saying "This is who I am, I've got a really sick dermatology patient. I think they need to come, and I think they need to be transferred", and they will quickly work out whether they really do, and if they don't, click.

I can tell you the other sub-specialties that is happening - and look, I don't attribute blame to that. It's really difficult to know whether that's a role they should be performing in the current environment, and I actually strongly believe that we require now remuneration service agreements to provide that support as interim measures. So I don't blame anyone. But we need the support and acknowledgment this stuff is happening, for services that we don't have anything to help, because we need to do it for the common good, and the general public would believe that this sort of stuff is not happening in our healthcare system, and it's not just happening for Dubbo. I've spoken to colleagues in Orange. They get exactly the same response.
Q. So when you say a remunerated service agreement, what you are talking about - correct me if I'm wrong - are you meaning that tertiary hospitals, such as RPA, should be actually receiving funding that is for them to provide this service for you?
A. To provide the service, yes.
Q. And is that limited to a phone or virtual service, or are you saying that should involve people coming out from those tertiary hospitals to the rural sites?
A. I think what you could look at is - so I think it's really important, coming back to that idea of planning what should be here. So let's just say, okay, we've done a specialist needs analysis for dermatology for Dubbo and
its service population, and that's defining let's say 4 FTE dermatology on the ground in Dubbo, outreaching and picking up the current service to Bourke, for example. Let's say that's our planning proposal and we're going to fund those clinicians. But it might take the five- to 10 -year time frame to actually achieve the goal, okay? But we're working on it. And then, as an interim period, we would then work on what interim service, with the one key that we need to be really mindful that when we start to set up whether it's fly-in fly-out, virtual care, those sort of models, that it is an interim measure, because we've defined that this particular service should be resident, and then filling that outreach gap into remote sites, okay?

And what exactly that model for dermatology might look like, I would think the best way of doing it would be hybridised between fly-in, fly-out and telehealth, and then acute - some sort of video consultation service for acute problems.

Now, Dubbo does have a dermatology service, it's fly-in fly-out and VMO based, two days a week, and we have now created a sessional clinic once per month with one of those fly-out, so we have a bulk-billed service. But that's the tip of the iceberg. So we have achieved a little bit because of this problem of having no service.
Q. Can you give an example of the sort of condition you are talking about from a dermatology point of view that would require that level of involvement, just someone who would need to be admitted for their dermatology condition. A. Bullous pemphigoids.
Q. So some serious condition like that that could be life threatening, effectively?
A. Absolutely. You know, and by no means - look, I think bullous pemphigoid a tertiary dermatology service probably should come down, but you would be surprised, you know, and sometimes if you ring one day and they don't want a bar of you, you just ring the next day. I don't want to sound sensational, but this is what's happening. And I think it's just the transition and expectation that there need to be clearer pathways around how these - how this care is delivered with probably a generational change of attitude about what we expect to deliver working at a tertiary hospital.

It is hard to do it over the phone, you know, it really is. But we need to acknowledge the deficits and work on what are relatively straightforward fixes that are cost effective, because it will keep later disease from arriving into our emergency departments, which is high cost and very detrimental to that individual's health outcome.
Q. Should the networks you have in mind also involve people travelling in the opposite direction, to do upskilling at the tertiary sites or quaternary sites? A. I think that there would be definitely sense and opportunity around that, coming back to continuing professional development. And of course, as regional specialists, I think majoritively we would all be doing that and remaining engaged with tertiary education and unit meetings and case discussion, and of course the virtual platforms that have come out of the COVID-19 period have made that so much easier.

So I can now - so, for example, our renal biopsies go to Westmead's anatomical pathology and since COVID they have run their weekly renal biopsy meeting on a virtual platform, so any Thursday late afternoon I can jump on to that now, so that would be an example.
Q. If we just go to paragraph 26, you talk there about the role of the ministry or that the ministry should direct the overarching strategy. Given everything that you have said, what role do you see the ministry playing, more specifically? Can you expand on that?
A. This is a very good question, and I'm glad you asked it. So if you devolve - and I think we're coming back to the health services tack here around devolution of ministry's responsibility to local health districts, and there are very many good reasons for that to happen, but when we're tackling a workforce issue and a training issue for doctors, right, do you think that a smaller financially smaller local health district such a Western NSW LHD and the incumbents within that, as in the workforce - do you think we have the devolved power to change belief and direction within specialist training committees within the College of Physicians and within the College of Surgeons, I shudder to say? Of course we don't. But perhaps ministry and government do. But we don't. And I'm pretty bombastic at times.
Q. So it is at that high level of decision-making and so
on?
A. Yes. You know, to, I don't know, give - I would love you to give the specialist training committee in cardiology a bit of a slap around the chops to get some commonsense into them, because shouldn't you be coming to me to send advanced trainees in cardiology out to Dubbo hospital, where the ST-elevation myocardial infarction rate is three times Sydney local health districts, because capacity training is everything and you are going to get the best exposure to acute cardiology in your training that is achievable in New South Wales at Dubbo hospital, but I'm wrangling with that STC at the moment as a renal physician, in my role, I guess, as the director of medicine, to get accredited training because we now have the senior clinical workforce to make it a realistic goal to have an accredited cardiology trainee.

I guess what I'm coming back to is the pompous bow-tie wearing nonsense of metro-centric training that says "You are all a bunch of idiots in the country. Why on earth could you possibly train high-quality doctors?"
Q. I have nothing else to ask you about workforce but I want to go back to a couple of things about premium labour, and I want to do that through the lens of the committee that you chair, the health care quality committee. Maybe if we go to paragraph 12 of the outline. You mentioned that some of the people that come out to do fly-in, fly-out work, locum work and so on, are giving excellent care, and I think you said that because they are contractors, they are not staying resident in the area and advocating for what the area needs.

One of the things that you refer to in paragraph 12 is the governance responsibility for morbidity and mortality processes, and I'm interested to know how you ensure there is effective M\&M processes in those sites that are relying on high usage of premium labour?
A. So the - so morbidity and mortality meetings are, thankfully, very prevalent across our district, and where so they are prevalent obviously and quite clearly at regional referral hospital levels, and an example, for example - sorry, an example would be we have a general medicine morbidity and mortality meeting, clinical meeting that's very well attended at Dubbo hospital. It is not organised and chaired by myself, a colleague does that. We have onboarded, I can tell you - through, because of HCQC
and our clinical governance decision, we've taken on board the CEC's support tool for running morbidity and mortality, so we've fed that into our general medicine M\&M.

There would be expected to be equivalent meetings occurring in Orange and Bathurst and I believe they are, so that's general medicine. Then obviously you would be aware of the clinical streams, so they will have responsibility to bring morbidity and mortality into the sub-specialty streams. So an example that I'm part of is the renal stream, so we have morbidity and mortality as part of our clinical stream meeting in renal and then that is repeated across those streams, and so there is a devolution through our directorate of clinical governance and the HCQC into those key areas to ensure morbidity and mortality is happening.

Then we move out into the more - so the rural and procedural sites and a clinical level morbidity and mortality is happening, I'm thankful to say, and that is under the directorate of the Virtual Rural Generalist Scheme, and will cover the MPS sites at a GP VMO level, so it is an umbrella morbidity and mortality meeting at a clinical level. It is quite robust. It meets I think monthly and it is two hours in length, and the reason I know it is robust is because $I$ join it myself to ensure that it is happening.

Could that - could that be more - could morbidity/mortality at a clinical level be more prevalent for rural facilities? Of course it could, and I think that's an area that we need - we can and need to develop further. But I would suggest to you that the fact it's happening at all is - and it's relatively new and I think it's about three years, but it is very significant progress.
Q. What I'm wondering in particular is if you've got a temporary locum who has only flown in, perhaps even only for a weekend, to work in an emergency department, and there is a complication associated with a patient they see, will there be a process for following that up, even though they have long gone --
A. Yes.
Q. -- to be able to ensure that any learnings are applied?
A. Yes, so that would - of course, and it comes down to the severity, so if we're talking about a Harm Score 1 or 2 that clinician's been involved in, locum or not, as part of the serious adverse event review, that clinician, locum or otherwise, unless they have left the country, will get pulled into that process.
Q. In paragraph 13 you refer to the committee providing a framework for identifying trends in deteriorating outcomes and increased harm or performance that's outside the expected requirements, and ensuring there is a plan to address any issues and also receiving updates as that plan is rolled out. Are the data presented in a way that highlights if premium labour is impacting quality of care? A. I'm going to say at face value no, to that question. But if we identify, as you say, a key trend that is - that we flag as concerning, when we undertake the work to drill down and understand what's leading to that change, then we may - I can only say "may" - we may uncover that what you are asking has been part of the problem.
Q. So if you see trends in adverse events, say there are more adverse events at a particular site, part of the analysis will be to drill down and look at the level to which it is relying on locums and agency staffing? A. Yes, you have to do that, yes.
Q. What about for things like hospital-acquired complications, do you look at the trends of those by site to see whether or not there is an agency or locum aspect? A. Yes, if we identify, on the key performance indicator, a deterioration, then we would look at the site or sites that's leading to that change, and a particular area where we're doing that in right now is in hospital thrombotic disease events, and an example would be we've identified and this is preliminary work because it is happening right now - we've identified that Dubbo is one of those facilities where we believe we've dropped below performance levels.

So in the last four weeks we've formed a working group to address that and, of course, we could uncover within our facility that - we could uncover that a change in workforce and style of workforce has led in some way to this.
I don't think that will be the case for thrombotic disease but it could be for other things, and look, we are very dependent in our emergency department on agency staff, so
it's possible.
Q. And if that were the case, if premium labour were found to be a factor or a potential factor in an increasing rate of concerning incidents or complications, how would the committee work through trying to have plans that address that particular aspect? I realise you are trying to reduce premium labour cost, but what other aspects of quality?
A. Look, I hope that this might answer your question. So, for example, the working group that we've put together in Dubbo has been convened by our director of nursing and we've put a haematologist with a sub-specialty interest in thrombosis into that working group and, one, we therefore believe that if you've got your director of nursing and a senior clinician involved, then you will cover in a feedback loop your two key workforce areas that could be contributing to a change in your outcome data and I guess, you know, that would be replicated in any key area that we were seeing deterioration, whether that's falls, pressure areas, maternal outcomes, but look, if we uncovered that it was an agency nursing workforce issue, if what you are really asking me is would that be a problem? Yes, it would be.

You know, we can obviously feed back and try and induce change in that area with that workforce, but it's going to be time consuming for our senior nursing workforce to try and institute that change, I think, because some of that staff's going to be continuing to come and go until we can repair the permanent workforce again.

DR WATERHOUSE: Commissioner, I have no further questions for the witness.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Mr Cheney, do you have any questions?

MR CHENEY: No questions, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much, doctor, for your time. We're very grateful.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COMMISSIONER: You are excused.
<THE WITNESS WITHDREW
MR GLOVER: The next witness is Dr Williams.
<ROBIN HILL LLOYD WILLIAMS, sworn:
[12.23pm]
<EXAMINATION BY MR GLOVER:
MR GLOVER: Q. Dr Williams, could you state your full name, please?
A. Yes, Robin Hill Lloyd Williams.
Q. You are a general practitioner in practice in Molong?
A. That's right.
Q. You have been in practice at Molong since about 2007; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Prior to that, you were in practice at Gulgong for about 10 years?
A. Yes.
Q. Prior to that, you trained and practised in the United Kingdom?
A. Yes, in Wales. Trained in London but practised in Wales.
Q. In anticipation of you giving some evidence today, an outline of your evidence has been prepared; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you had a chance to read that outline before giving your evidence today?
A. I have.
Q. And are you satisfied that it's true and correct?
A. Yes.

MR GLOVER: That will be tendered in due course. It's relatively hot off the press, Commissioner. I take it you have a copy.

THE COMMISSIONER: I do electronically and hard, yes.
Thank you.
MR GLOVER: Q. In addition to some of the history of
your practice that I covered very briefly with you, you are also the chair of the board of Western Health Alliance Limited, since 2016; correct?
A. (Witness nods).
Q. That's the entity that operates the Western NSW Primary Health Network?
A. I've been on the board since 2016 but chair since 2019.
Q. And the Western New South Wales PHN covers the geographical area coinciding with both the local health district in which we sit now but also Far West as well; is that right?
A. Yes, about 53 per cent of the land area of New South Wales.
Q. If I can come directly to the issues that you address in paragraphs 14 and following of your outline, under the heading of "Access to primary care", you start by telling us that the ability to access primary care in rural and remote locations is a significant issue and, then, in paragraph 16, that the primary health care market is failing. Do you see that?
A. Yes .
Q. Just so we're all on the same page, when you refer to "primary care" in that context, what do you have in mind?
A. Basically, everything outside hospital care, the big hospitals, a lot of primary care is actually delivered in the smaller MPSs, so primary care means everything outside the Orange, Bathurst, Dubbo and Broken Hill health facilities, and includes general practice, allied health, community nursing.
Q. Access to specialists outside of the hospital setting?
A. Well, specialists tend to congregate inside hospitals,
so - but access is an issue the further west you go, certainly.
Q. And when you tell us in paragraph 16 that the primary health care market is failing, what do you mean by failing?
A. I think - I've been practising now in Western

New South Wales since 1997. I first came here in '85 as a resident, actually, to Dubbo, when I had finished my GP training in Wales and my registrar in Wales, where I was doing obstetrics, was Australian and he actually came here
as an VMO in obstetrics, John Tooth. So when I had finished my GP training in Wales, I was ready - my wife and I thought we would have a year in Australia before we settled down into Wales into practice. So in those intervening years, which is getting on for 40 years - well, 38 years - I have never seen general practice in such a crisis as it is presently.

When I qualified, 60 per cent of my cohort in medical school in London ended up in general practice, and I think those figures were largely the same in Australia when I came here in '85/'86 initially. We're now down to less than 15 per cent. So the vast majority of new graduates are not going into general practice and there is a huge workforce crisis, and I think that's a huge issue.

I firmly believe that it's an unsustainable system that we have at the moment where primary care is failing because of workforce issues. And if you don't have a workforce to provide that service, then it just moves it just kicks the can down the road and the local health district in the hospitals will end up having to deal more and more with emergency department admissions or presentations, which puts even more pressure on the state health system. So my feeling is that we need to really address the issue, the primary issue that primary care needs to be fundamentally overhauled in order for us to move forward.
Q. If I can just break up some of those concepts. Dealing with first the decrease in the number of practitioners electing to go into general practice, has that been on a steady downward trend, to your observation, or has it increased in recent years?
A. I think it's certainly been a steady trend, but I think it's been accelerating of late. I think part of that is due to the funding, inasmuch as that there was a freeze on Medicare payments for a number of years and it remains to be seen whether the changes that came through in last year's budget are going to make a fundamental difference regarding that.
Q. The bulk billing incentives you are referring to?
A. Yes. I feel that they will make a big difference, but it's a long lead-in, because just because you change the funding today doesn't mean to say that we're going to get a result tomorrow. Quite often there is a long lead-in for
us to get a real change in attitudes.
I also feel that the way that general practice is funded in particular is not fit for purpose anymore. It's still stuck in the old cottage industry model of care, where you've got individual practices with their own ways of doing things, which is very unattractive to young graduates. The registrars that I have coming through my practice are not interested in running a business, they just want to do clinical care and they don't want to take any financial risks regarding that. They want to know what their income is going to be, they want to know that when 5 o'clock comes they can go home and not worry about the rest of the quantum of general practice. Certainly, rurally, it's not a nine to five job.

So consequently, the new cohort of young graduates coming through are not looking for that old style model of general practice. So I think that we need to move fundamentally away from the fee for service for everything. I think we need a blended system where you have block grants for practices, and I do think that we need to look we need to incentivise, you know, hard work so that people who work harder are remunerated better, but I think the whole idea of everything being based on the MBS is very old fashioned and should really be changed, and it doesn't use the idea of - at present everything has to come through the general practitioner.

I work as part of a team in my practice. Our practice nurses do a lot of work for me. I still have to eyeball the patients and talk them through what has been discussed with my nurses, but that's the more contemporary model of care and certainly, in Molong, where we are collocated in HealthOne with community health staff, are very much dependent on their input into the holistic look at patient care, and that's where I think that we need to be looking at funding into the future.
Q. And that's part of the integrated care work that you address in your outline?
A. Yes.
Q. I will return to that in a moment, if I may.
A. Sure.
Q. Just building on some of the concepts that you have
developed in that last answer, do I take it that part of the reason why you are of the view that the primary health care market is failing is because the notion that primary care being delivered through GPs in a private market is something that is not sustainable going forward in your view?
A. Yes, I do. I think so, yes.
Q. In that answer where you referred to the unattractiveness of newly qualified practitioners having to run their own practice and become small business owners, is that something that has been relayed to you?
A. Yes, certainly. I mean, I'm very fortunate in my practice in Molong, for a number of reasons. A lot of my registrars like the idea of an integrated approach. We pay them a salary from day dot and, then, if they earn more than that salary, they earn more money. But we certain1y, right from the beginning, always said we didn't want them to be focused on a through-put of patients in order to pay their mortgage at the end of the month. It was very important that they were guaranteed an income, and three of my young ex registrars have all returned to the practice as associates and they are all going to take on the practice collectively in September when I give up, or retire from clinical work after 43 years. So I think that model does work.

Now, it's not just about the financial side, because I offered them a profit share agreement right from the beginning, but it was the integrated care side as well that they liked to work in as part of a team, and also, I'm very fortunate in the geography, being in Orange, just outside Orange, 35 kilometres from Orange, they can live in Orange and drive out 20 minutes to Molong to work. So that's helped me a great deal in making sure there is sustainability of services in Molong moving forward.
Q. So by developing a largely salaried model within your own practice and the benefit of some geography to Orange, you have been able to sustain and then provide a succession plan in your practice?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that a model that is likely to gain traction in some of the more regional or remote parts of the two districts that your PHN services?
A. I believe so. I believe that if you said to a young
doctor "Look, we'11 give you - this is your package, regardless of how many patients you see, we'll allow you to practise to the scope that you are comfortable with and that you will be supported" - I think that's a model that we - I would see that would be very, very advantageous into the future. I do think that we need to fundamentally change the way that general practice is run away from this small business model. If it works in a town, fine, carry on with it. I mean, it's working in Molong. But that's dependent on my three associates staying there in the future. Who knows.

Before they joined the practice, I was there with one other GP and we've had a through-put of registrars. That colleague of mine, Adrian Zambo, retired a couple of years ago now and, as I said, I've reached my sell-by date clinically in September. That worked for a number of years. I don't think that model is going to be working into the future.
Q. Your PHN has done some analysis of some towns within the region it covers as to whether they would have access to GPs in future, hasn't it?
A. Yes, what we have tried to do is, looking at place based care - and that's a work in progress, but basically, looking at an LGA level and asking the communities what they would like, and then trying to work out how we can support practices to do that.

Now, that's a multi-pronged approach and I think it's very important that we have dialogue with the ACCHOs, the RFDS, with other key players, but I think this is where the state health needs to step into the place, into this space.
Q. Just pausing there, why do you say that?
A. Because - I don't know if you looked at my CV, but having been on the RDN and --
Q. It is very long.
A. -- also the PHN and a few other --
Q. The LHD board?
A. Yes, the LHD board as well, there's a lot of movement between state, Commonwealth and local government, and nobody, you know, in a soccer term, puts their foot on the ball and actually looks about where we should be kicking it next.
Q. When you say "movement", what do you mean by that? A. Well, the issue we have is that traditionally, because general practice is funded by the MBS, it's assumed that it's - primary care is a Commonwealth responsibility. When I was the LHD chair, one of the issues that we had, and I'm sure Mark Spittal may have discussed this yesterday, is that when primary care fails in a town, the default provider of services becomes the LHD, for which they are not really funded. So, consequently, this puts huge pressure on the MPSs to really provide an emergency solution, a bandaid approach to care, so people present as a default to ED departments, as they do throughout the state when they can't access general practice services.

So if you've got that situation, I think the burning platform for the state health now is that if there is no primary care, the more remotely you go into the future, they will be providing that service. So I think it is incumbent on one arm of government to step into that space and take responsibility and develop a service that is fit for purpose and fit for the 21st century.

To do that, I think you are going to need - state health is going to need a lot more expertise about primary care, which traditionally doesn't really have, because it has in the past been very focused on hospitals and secondary and tertiary services, so never really stepped fully into the primary care space, and I think that down the track, they need to.
Q. The service that you are referring to in that answer, is that a service of providing primary care within the community as opposed to providing it as a provider of last resort when patients attend an emergency department? A. Yes, that's right, because primary care is a lot more than just waiting for something to happen and for somebody to turn up with a myocardial infarction from the community. If you can develop preventative care, chronic disease management and really engage with patients to try and prevent a worsening of their health status, that's going to be the real health benefit and financial benefit down the track, because prevention is a lot cheaper than trying to cure somebody when they are going to need, you know, airlifting down to Sydney, having had the CVA or whatever, you know?
Q. It's critical to the maintenance and promotion of the health of the community; correct?
A. Absolutely. And this is where integrated care, which is something that we tried to develop when Minister Skinner was minister, and we, as an LHD, were one of the three LHDs that were part of that project where we tried to manage patients, case conference, bringing the whole allied health team on board to try and work with patients about lifestyle modification, and being very on top of care, of their diabetes or their hypertension or whatever, to try and make sure that there wasn't that worsening in their condition, and I think that was really starting to show a benefit, but then the program got changed, ministers changed and the whole process sort of started to unravel and then we got COVID and then life after COVID has changed considerably.
Q. And the type of integration that you are referring to in that answer and in paragraphs 10 to 13 of the outline is designed, is it not, to overcome some of the fragmentation in the delivery of healthcare services that has developed by close attention to funding sources?
A. That's right. I say in paragraph 13, it does seem crazy that I as a clinician and the patient would see me about the same condition and there is a different funding source depending on if I see them in my rooms or if I see them in the MPS, and that is something that then means that you can't really control spending, there is a toing and froing between Commonwealth and state about who is responsible, and certainly when Kevin Rudd was elected as Prime Minister in 2007, I remember he then talked about stopping the blame game between Commonwealth and state, about who was responsible for what, and talking about the Commonwealth taking on all out-of-hospital care including the smaller hospitals, but that got lost in the ether somewhere and has never been --

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. He lost his job.
A. Yes. Ministers come and ministers go, and when that happens, policy changes. That's why I've come to the view that probably, the state health department has real skin in the game here because of the huge pressures put on their emergency departments by a failure of primary care, and I think that's why we need an arm of government to say "All right, we will step in and we will actually actively advocate for primary care".

To do that, we have to be very careful, and that's
something else I said in my statement, that we don't allow for the financial pressures, which, you know, you have heard about in state health to suck everything into the Orange, Bathurst and Dubbos and Broken Hills, because the media is telling us about the ramping of ambulances, et cetera, et cetera.
Q. Your point being that if, in your example, NSW Health was responsible for the delivery of primary care, if the funding streams could be resolved, that that would have to be quarantined so it wouldn't be subsumed into the acute care setting; is that the concept?
A. Yes, absolutely, because if you allow primary care to flourish and you encourage it, the long-term gain is that you will save a lot of health money by prevention and improve morbidity and mortality.

If you don't, if you just sit on your hands and wait for the status quo to stay as it is, then the whole system will collapse because the hospitals will not be able to deal with the huge tsunami of diabetes, cardiovascular disease, morbid obesity - you know, all of these things will happen if we just wait for - if we don't try and prevent it at the sharp end, which is primary care.
Q. The idea that one entity or agency has ultimate responsibility for the delivery of primary care is something you develop further in paragraphs 18 and 19 of the outline. You've gone into some detail there to describe the concept, but what I want to explore with you is how that might actually work, in your view, in practice, assuming you could overcome the legislative and political challenges involved?
A. Well, I think the reason why I would like to see this happen is because it means that the buck would stop with that entity. There wouldn't be any of this "Oh, actually, this is Commonwealth responsibility", or "It is a state" and there has been so much of that down the years where you ask a question about who is responsible and everybody goes "Somebody over there". And I think that that authority of somebody saying "Right, this is what you are charged to do" and then making it in such a way that certainly what we're looking at on the PHN level is listening to an LGA at an LGA level and talking to communities and asking them "What would you like to have" and negotiate with them saying "This is what we can achieve and this is what we can't achieve", "No, you can't have a CAT scanner in Brewarrina
but you can have primary care there, and if you can't have it there every day of the week, you can have it with support from local practitioners". This is where one of the few benefits of COVID is that we've enhanced our telehealth and video health capabilities. So now it's a lot easier to actually look after patients. I see patients, I go to Yeoval once a week, which is 47Ks away. I'm actually getting paid now for the six days a week I'm not there for giving advice or talking to the nursing home. Previously I was on a - you know, I was a freebie. This all of these things will help.

So I think that if we had a hub-and-spoke model where doctors could go out from Dubbo one day a week, two days a week, to more peripheral areas, but the medical record, again, is available so that a new clinician can then look at that and say "Well, this is what has been done" - you know, knowledge is power, and if you've got clinicians that have got access to that, they can provide very good care remotely without actually having to be there. But I think you do still have to have people there on the ground for periods of time, but not necessarily all of the time.
Q. I will come back to the issue of access to records in a moment, but about halfway down - sorry, about a third of the way down paragraph 19, you say:

> I envisage that local health districts and primary health networks should co-design service solutions for specific locations of crisis with local community input ...

Et cetera.
A. Yes.
Q. Is that something that you think should be happening now as well as in the ideal situation that you have described to us today?
A. Well, it is. I mean, that's something that we are trying to work with - there is a crisis in Far West as we speak where we are trying to work around solutions. The problem for the primary health network is that, as commissioners of care, we don't actually provide it, and that's where I would like to see a unitary authority actually take carriage of this, and it has to be, you know, ring-fenced money so that it's not siphoned off to the bigger centres.
Q. Take carriage of the planning and delivery of care?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that what you have in mind?
A. Yes, I think so.
Q. Let's just assume for the purpose of this question that that might take a little while to get off the ground.
A. Yes.
Q. In the meantime, does the same concept, though, hold? That is, that you see significant benefit in the districts and the primary health network, and perhaps others, coming together to plan services?
A. Absolutely. I mean, in regards to that, at a board subcommittee level we have now had a meeting with both Western and Far Western LHD boards - chairs and board members and CEs - in order to try and look at common areas for common planning where we can help each other.

We do work probably better with the LHDs than most PHNs do around the country just because of the individuals involved. You know, there's not that many people here so we all know each other and that personal relationship is very, very useful.

The downside for us as a PHN is that our masters are the Commonwealth. The downside for the LHD, even though there is devolution, is that ultimately, ministry decides what is or what isn't done. So that's why I feel that we need more devolution of power and we need devolution into who runs primary care. I think that that would then see real benefit, because actually, if we looked at support at an LGA level, we could then listen to our communities, and I think listening to our communities is really what's very, very important, because for so long all levels of government have tended to say, "We know what's best for you. This is what you can have." And that isn't always necessarily what people want or need, the more rurally that you go.
Q. In that answer, do I understand you to be describing that, even now, when attempts are made to engage in joint planning, one runs head long into the fragmentation issue?
A. That's right. Because, you know, you can have a conversation at a local level and agree to something,
but - and there is has always got to be a but - the people who actually run the whole service are the people who control the purse strings.
Q. In those questions about planning I made reference to the PHN and the LHD. Are there others involved in the delivery of health care in the community that you think should be part of that process?
A. Well, certainly of late, RFDS has been providing huge amounts of support into the primary care space, and I think they are very important. Local government quite often are very important because they provide infrastructure, so they need to be - that's why I think at an LGA level you need to have that dialogue at a local level. You know, one of the reasons I'm in Molong, when I moved from Gulgong, is that Cobonne council built the HealthOne in collaboration with NSW Health, a purpose-built facility. So I moved from Gulgong to Molong, started my practice and it's built from there. Cobonne have been very very supportive. We have recently opened an outreach surgery to Cudal, they supported us financially to do that, and continue to do so, for which we're very grateful.

I do an outreach to Yeoval. I'm supported there by UPA Yeoval, because in supporting me and providing rooms to me, and a receptionist and part funding of a practice nurse, they are certain then that they get me every week to actually come and see their, you know, nursing home patients.

So it's a quid pro quo, but that works very well. And I think that's - at a local level you can get a lot done through partnerships and dialogue and helping each other, which is something we do a lot of in the country.
Q. We heard a little bit about HealthOne yesterday, but from the perspective of a clinician, in a HealthOne practice, can you just tell us what the program is and how it helped you raise and sustain your practice?
A. Well, the thing about the HealthOne program is that everywhere you go, it's different. So it's a blanket term, used initially to be where community health and general practice are collocated. Certainly that's what we have in Molong. We have a shared reception area and we work very closely. The community health staff are on the other side of the corridor and our practice is on this side of the corridor.

My dream for it hasn't been realised as much as I wanted it to when we started in 2009, inasmuch as I wanted to have completely shared medical record and everything to be shared between the community health and us as a general practice. But that fell foul of privacy issues from NSW Health about who owned the data, who was accountable to who. The original idea was to have a shared governance across the whole facility, but that just never got off the ground because, again, state health and general practice and other players couldn't really - we're always going to be working for different masters, and that's why I think that, ultimately, a unified authority to look after primary care in communities is the answer. So it's - get away from this "us" and "them", but it's that we all work for one service.
Q. The issues you talk about in relation to information sharing in that answer are picked up in paragraph 25 of your outline. I take it, from a clinician's perspective, the ability to have access to a single record in relation to a patient is valuable?
A. Absolutely. I mean, I can access my hospital patient through EMR from home, so I go in and see my patients at the hospital, but if I'm rung after hours or whatever, I can access the notes and that works very well. But that doesn't talk to my general practice software, and if I was to convert my practice to EMR, I'd go broke tomorrow, because EMR doesn't talk to the Commonwealth regarding MBS billing. So, you know, if we had an integrated system, it would be much, much better.

I do it diligently, but $I$ find it quite frustrating often to write myself discharge letters to say, you know, "Dear Dr Williams", and "This is what happened while our patient was in hospital". That's part of the information thing. One record across the whole system would - is the goal, but I don't know if that's going to happen any time soon.
Q. Are you familiar with NSW Health's single digital patient record project?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have a view about whether it would go any way to addressing --
A. It may do, but again, this comes back to the funding
and how much input is there from general practice in its design, because if it works very well for the hospital, it may not work very well in general practice. So, yes, that's --

MR GLOVER: I note the time, Commissioner, I've got about 20 minutes or so to go.

THE COMMISSIONER: If it's okay with the reporting staff, I think I would rather let the doctor finish.

MR GLOVER: I might be shorter. I just gave an outside estimate. Yes.
Q. Can I just return to the topic of planning. I just want to explore with you how you see the planning of healthcare services that has been most effectively undertaken. I take it that you agree that if not the first, at least a very early step, would be to identify the needs of the population?
A. Yes.
Q. At a relatively place-based level, to pick up some language you used earlier; correct?
A. (Witness nods).
Q. Does that happen now?
A. Not enough, no. It's very ad hoc and - you see, this is one difference which I was surprised about when I emigrated is that in the UK you can't just start a practice where you want. I had to wait about a year to find a practice in north Wales, where I wanted to live, which is where I'm from. So basically I had to wait for a retirement or a death before $I$ could actually go into it, you know, to apply for a job, because the idea being that everywhere - every community has a certain number of doctors so they don't all congregate in West Kensington, you know? And I was surprised that that is not the case here. It's the other way around. You can actually go anywhere you like.

There are incentives for districts of workforce shortage so that overseas doctors can come in, but there is no real planning about, well, actually, we've got a community here that needs this number of clinicians, and those jobs should be available. At the moment, people can go wherever they like. This was a case in point with the
recent round of registrar allocations to Western New South Wales. I think 20 composite registrars came from Sydney and they all went to Dubbo, Orange and Bathurst, from what I understand. Very few went to the smaller communities. So no workforce planning around that, looking at actually what are the needs of the population.

So the needs of the population tend to become very secondary in any decisions. It seems to be the needs of what the clinicians want to do comes first. As Colin McClintock was saying, the same applies to specialists. You know, there are a lot of specialists in Sydney who don't need to work in the public system, they can work perfectly well in the private system and be within 10 minutes of the beach. I don't necessarily think that's good workforce planning for the country. So I think that's something we should really be looking at.
Q. Assuming you had the power to fix that tomorrow, what would you do to change that?
A. Well, if I had the power to do it, I would probably say that I would try to encourage that registrars have to come out to smaller towns for at least six months, and by "smaller towns" I mean not Dubbo, Orange or Bathurst, but actually smaller towns, where they could be supported fully, where they actually would learn rural medicine. I think that if they came out for six months rurally, they would learn more medicine than they would in far more time in a larger regional centre.
Q. Why do you say that?
A. Because they would be exposed to a lot more pathology, a lot more - you know, there is a lot more morbidity there, there is a lot more sicker people that really need to be helped, and that's just a fact that we have. That's why the further west you go, the morbidity rates and mortality rates go higher and higher, because there is not enough boots on the ground to provide services.
Q. So that's an example of what might be done in general practice. Do you have a view based on your long experience in what I might call administrative - medical administration roles through boards and the like, about what might be done in the specialist space?
A. I would - I would like to see that the specialist pathways have to have a rural component as well, and that would be very difficult to do, I think, because I think the
colleges would be outraged that people had to go rurally to learn anything, whereas I actually think that not only would they learn quite a lot about the real world, but they would also support rural patients as well. So that would be part of the social component of being a doctor.
Q. At a practical level, how would the introduction of the pathways that you have just described address some of those workforce maldistribution issues?
A. I think the big issue is that if people come rurally, there is a proportion that actually will stay, because they will realise that it's not quite as horrendous as they fear it might be. It's actually a very, very good place to work. I've thoroughly enjoyed my 27 years in rural New South Wales. It's - the medicine is challenging, but it's very, very enjoyable, and I think that a lot of clinicians, if they do come out west, might actually stay.
Q. In addition to the various roles that we've identified this morning, you also have some involvement with the Charles Sturt University and I think one of the University of Sydney's rural medical schools; is that right?
A. Yes. We take registrars from both CSU and USYD and I'm on the governing council of CSU. That was a ministerial appointment and that's until July next year. I really went on to that board in order to try and help with developing, really, what I would like to see happen, is a pathway for a medical school through to general practice, certainly rurally, and I think that that's something that I would like to see develop. Now, we're only into the third year of that medical course now, so I'm probably not going to be around long enough to really see that develop, but I would like to see it made easier for young graduates to come through the process, to train locally, general practice, you could do all of your training rurally, and then there would be a pathway for them then to have practices to which they can go.

I figure that's - to try and ease that path for new graduates is very important, because at the moment, there are so many blockades in the way to make it difficult for them to actually fulfil a career, and a lot of them just say, "Look, it's too difficult, I'm not bothering. I will go back to the city. I will pick up a job. I can work three days a week. Earn enough money." And you might lose them.
Q. That initiative is relatively early days. Do you see it bearing fruit to develop the pathway that you just described?
A. I think - I certainly think so. I think that should be the way. And this is again where state health does have a lot of power, because intern jobs and JMO jobs are all in the purview of state health, and I think that if there was a real focus by state health to say, "Well, you want to come to Orange", or "You want to come to Dubbo. What are your career plans? Are you going to stay rural?" and encourage that, then I think that we could - certainly for general practice, we could then get a pathway.

I was fortunate enough to - when I had finished in London as an undergraduate, I came back to Wales to do my intern jobs, and then I was able to get a three-year GP rotation with obstetrics and paediatrics and psychiatry and emergency and general medicine and two six-month stints in teaching practices as a registrar. I knew I had three years of a focused training program in the same area, and so, as inevitably happens, I met my wife, who was a nurse, we got married, she was from North Wales as well and we stayed in North Wales, and then went into practice. I was in practice there for 10 years. You may wonder how I have ended up in New South Wales, but that's another story. I got seduced by the blue skies back in ' 86 .
Q. Just turning briefly to paragraph 22 of your outline, just while we're on this topic, in that last series of answers you have touched on - I might misquote you - the concept of the power of the state health system in this space.
A. Yes, yes.
Q. Is that what you have in mind when referring to an opportunity to develop a whole of system approach?
A. Yes.
Q. Just - what does that look like in your mind?
A. Well, as I said, my experience back in Wales, back in the mid '80s was that I did my intern year, then I was guaranteed three years in the same locality. The best jobs for training to be a GP. It made my life so much easier knowing that I was fixed. I mean, I was able to marry, I was able to buy a house, I was able to do all the stuff that normal people do, and which doctors often can't do because they are sent all over the place.

So that sort of stability of knowing that you have got a pathway for your career $I$ think is very comforting and if you marry that up to the fact that at the end of it, you can get a job where you are guaranteed an income and you don't have to worry about setting a shingle up somewhere and starting a practice - those are the fundamental things that everybody, you know, doctors, non-doctors, everybody wants that security about, you know, have I got enough money to live; have I got job security; can $I$ stay in the area that I want to stay? If you take that out of the equation, they can then just focus on learning their craft and becoming good doctors. So it takes away all that pressure. You know, so you don't end up having to go off and do locums here, there and everywhere to pay your way. That stability is important.
Q. So the whole of system that you refer to in paragraph 22 , tel 1 me if $I$ have got any of this wrong, but would include the university, the specialist college?
A. Yes.
Q. And the public health system?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Anyone else?
A. Well, I guess you will have to bring the existing GPs on board, if there are any left rurally, that is, down the track. And it is important that the community is a part of that, too, that there is input from communities. But I think it's a whole of system - we've got to get away from this fragmentation. The whole system is fragmented with vested interests that don't actually look at the core of what we actually are here for, which is to provide health services to our communities. That's what we should be - we should be starting from that point first and then working back about how we can achieve it.
Q. And if a whole of system approach of that kind were adopted, I take it from what you say in paragraph 22 and what you have said today, you see that as being one way in which some of the workforce issues might be able to be addressed by offering those placements in areas of need? A. That's right.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. So what you have just said, really, is we work out, for example, for this LHD, what are
the health services that the community needs so that there is proper provision of primary health care and, if needed, specialist health care, and then we work out how it's funded?
A. Yes, absolutely.

MR GLOVER: Q. I might build on that. I think I started on the process and we went in a different direction, but you identify what is needed; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You identify the resources that are currently on the ground meeting that need?
A. Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. And by "what is needed", not just now but looking to the future as well?
A. Yes, yes, that's right.

MR GLOVER: Q. So the resources you have got now and the resources that you project to have in the future?
A. Mmm.
Q. Identify the gaps?
A. (Witness nods).
Q. And then identify the resourcing that you have got to address both the needs and the resourcing requirements; is that a fair summary?
A. It is, and I think it's very important, when you look at - when you start looking at the resources currently put into a locality, the MBS, the underspend per capita of the MBS in a lot of these more remote areas is far less than it is in metro, because there just aren't the clinicians to provide the services --

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. By "underspend", you mean when there is a market failure it's not tapped into?
A. That's right. If there is nobody there to provide a service, gee, it is cheap, because nobody is claiming any money, until they turn up at the ED department of Dubbo, in a state of, you know, huge distress and then how much does that cost in real terms to try and patch somebody up.

MR GLOVER: Q. Could I just finally, Dr Williams, round out a couple of points that you raise about integrated care in paragraphs 10 to 13 of your outline. We've touched on
some of this, but I just wanted to close out the point that you raise in paragraph 11.
A. Yes.
Q. You have described earlier in your evidence the benefits of integrated care, particularly in communities like yours.
A. Yes.
Q. And then, in 11, you tell us that achievement of that aim is best facilitated by clinicians having a higher degree of autonomy to do things locally?
A. Mmm.
Q. What do you mean by that?
A. Well, I think the important thing is that certainly in general practice, you want to be practising right at the top of your scope. You need to feel that you can guide local services, and you would have to do that by talking to your community. But we try and develop services in Molong across my practice and the MPS, and the things that we can thrash out locally as solutions are much better than sending it up the food chain and waiting for a response, because if you do that, quite often there is a deafening silence. So quite often what we need to do is work out what is best for our communities, what is best for us as clinicians and a flat approach using all of the team, focusing on the patients.
Q. So by "autonomy", you mean the ability of the clinicians, be they in the local MPS, the general practice, allied health, I think you suggest paramedics as well --
A. Yes.
Q. -- to come together to design the type of service needed in that locality?
A. For what works locally, yes.
Q. And you mentioned in an earlier answer that sometimes if you send things up, there might be silence, but are there any particular barriers that you see in the way at the moment to that aim being achieved?
A. Well, certainly I mean if you look at - you know, at Molong we get sent back a lot of sub-acute patients post fracture, from Orange, so they try and clear beds, et cetera. Quite often we are sent back patients who need placements in a nursing home. We don't have a social
worker. We only have a physio 0.6, and she is worked off our feet. We don't have an OT and we've only just had a speech pathologist appointed, or starting this week. So when Orange want to clear the decks and move people out to make beds, they send them out to the peripheral sites, but they don't give us the tools with which to actually sort those patients out expeditiously. So if - and the budget for Molong is not dictated by Molong, it comes from further up the food chain. So, again, if there was more autonomy locally, I think we could provide a better service, because probably we would try and get more allied health in and come - find a way of improving the numbers of our allied health staff.
Q. Lastly, Dr Williams, I might have asked you this earlier, and if I have I apologise, but do I take it, given the evidence you have given about the market failure of primary care in rural and remote regions and the prediction for further crisis on the horizon, that you would accept that the VMO model, of which you are one, is not sustainable long term?
A. Yes, it's interesting you talk about the VMO model, because we've modified that in Molong. I found that it was - I mean, I'm still - I'm technically a VMO, but the way that my funding comes through, it isn't on a fee for service. I've just come into a contract to say this is how much I get paid per day, , if I do 24 hours, it's this rate. If I don't do 24 hours but I do the ward round and clear up what is there in emergency, then it's a different rate. So that means that it's a flat rate and, again, that's something that I think is a much better way of doing things than, you know, coming in and saying, "I did an ECG on that patient", you know, "Who was in the toilet that day so I didn't see them", et cetera, et cetera. You know, the fee for service model I think is - the contract with us is basically I'm contracted to do the work and the finances are just set in stone.
Q. In addition to the limitations of the funding model, though, do I take it, given - I will withdraw that and approach it a different way. The PHN some time ago did some work which predicted that about 40 towns within your area would be left with no GPs?
A. Yes.
Q. That was in about 2019, that work was done; is that right?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. Do you have a sense of how that projection is tracking as you sit here today?
A. I think we're heading in that direction,
unfortunately, and I feel that, again, that's why the - you know, as part of the VMO mode1, I think that's - it's not fit for purpose anymore.
Q. That's perhaps coming directly to the point. If there is no GPs on the ground, there is no GPs to be GP VMOs; correct?
A. That's right.
Q. And do you see anything on the horizon that would turn that trend around to leave the GP VMO model in rural and remote regions as being a viable one into the future?
A. I think the only way that it would become viable would be if we had a unitary authority to run primary care and then, what you call them, as GP VMOs or staff specialists or whatever doesn't matter, but the important thing is that we need a unitary authority to drive this, and that way we have you wil1 the players in the same tent and they are al1 actually answerable to each other and not to different arms of government.

MR GLOVER: Thank you, Dr Williams. No further questions.
THE COMMISSIONER: $Q$. Just so we're clear about one of the answers you gave earlier where you mentioned Mr Rudd and stopping the blame game, was the ultimate report that came out of that that you had in mind the report of the National Health and Hospitals Reform Commission (2009), a report that, amongst many recommendations that have been touched on in evidence in this Inquiry so far, made the recommendation that the Commonwealth should assume responsibility for all primary health care policy and funding; is that what you had in mind?
A. Yes. And that didn't really happen.
Q. It doesn't seem to have.
A. So if it didn't happen, and that was 2009, we're 15 years on, so something's got to be done now, because the situation is a lot worse now than it was then.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Mr Cheney, do you have any questions?

MR CHENEY: Just one matter, if I may, very briefly.

## <EXAMINATION BY MR CHENEY:

MR CHENEY: Q. Dr Williams, may I ask you briefly about this unitary authority that you have in mind. You come here with the benefit of some years, six or seven years, as chairman of the local LHD?
A. Yes.
Q. And I take it you have had frequent interaction, since your chairmanship, with the LHD in your practice --
A. Mmm.
Q. - - and in other settings. So you would be well familiar with the competing demands that are on the healthcare dollar administered by the LHD?
A. Yes.
Q. And as you made clear in your statement, primary care funding, notionally, at least, is the responsibility of the Commonwealth.
A. (Witness nods).
Q. May we take it that in proposing the unitary authority, you have in mind that it would be funded by the Commonwealth without any drain on the existing funds that are allocated by the LHD to secondary and tertiary care?
A. Absolutely, yes. I mean, I would see it as the Commonwealth would need to fund that, but whether they would be willing to fund it and give responsibility to that entity I'm uncertain. But certainly the way that the funding for primary care is at the moment through the Commonwealth is not really fit for purpose for failed markets. So thin markets, no, it doesn't - it just doesn't work.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. The funding is not fit for the service that is required?
A. That's right.
Q. Because it's an MBS scheme, it's a scheme of schedules?
A. Yes.

MR CHENEY: Q. And again, I think it is clear enough,
but the unitary authority that you contemplate would operate only in those areas where the primary care market has failed?
A. That's right.

MR CHENEY: Thank you, Dr Wiliiams.
THE COMMISSIONER: A11 right. Nothing emerged out of that?

MR GLOVER: No, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: A11 right. Thank you very much, doctor, for your time. We're very grateful.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COMMISSIONER: You are excused.
<THE WITNESS WITHDREW

THE COMMISSIONER: Have we got just one more witness left?
MR GLOVER: No, that's the evidence.
THE COMMISSIONER: That's it?
MR GLOVER: Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. I thought we had one more
witness to go.
MR GLOVER: No.
THE COMMISSIONER: Okay - oh, there's one that the statement is just being tendered. Sorry about that.

MR GLOVER: Correct.
THE COMMISSIONER: So do we adjourn until 10am on Wednesday in Broken Hill for hearings?

MR GLOVER: We will. Can I just wrap up some documentary matters first?

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, please do. You might have to tender - wel1, someone at some stage will have to now
tender the National Health and Hospitals Reform Commission report. Is that in your hand?

MR GLOVER: It would be a miracle if it were, but no, it is not, but it will be added to the list for this week perhaps.

THE COMMISSIONER: That should be tendered at some stage. It's June 2009, it's circulating on emails that have been sent to me.

MR GLOVER: A11 right. We11, I might even do it this way, Commissioner: can I hand to you a list. If I deal with the list first, Commissioner, in the usual way, there is a tender --

THE COMMISSIONER: This is the tender bundle?
MR GLOVER: It is. E.001 to E.086. Can I suggest, given what has just passed, that we mark as E. 087 the document that you have just referred to, and we will have that added to the list as well.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right. We will admit as exhibits all of the documents listed in E. 001 to E. 086 on a sheet that I have been given, and $E .087$ can be the final report of the National Health and Hospitals Reform Commission of June 2009.

MR GLOVER: Thank you, Commissioner. The other thing that you should have been handed is a folder.

THE COMMISSIONER: The Four Ts model?
MR GLOVER: The Four Ts model evaluation report. That comes accompanied with a proposed non-publication order.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, just so I know - I'm happy to make it, but what's the reason?

MR GLOVER: I will tell you immediately. That document was provided to us by our learned friends, and it comes with this issue that the contents of it are the subject of some academic work that is not yet published and is undergoing review, and should we make it public there would be a risk of us interfering with that work, hence the proposed non-publication order.

THE COMMISSIONER: So it gets admitted into evidence but I make the non-publication order that's in front of me.

MR GLOVER: Yes, and it would be marked confidential exhibit 6 until further order.

THE COMMISSIONER: It can be marked as confidential exhibit 6 and I make the order, pursuant to section 8, that is dated 17 May 2024.

CONFIDENTIAL EXHIBIT \#6 FOUR Ts MODEL EVALUATION REPORT
MR GLOVER: Thank you, Commissioner, that completes the documentary matters.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Is there anything else, Mr Cheney?

MR CHENEY: No, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: So I'm right, Wednesday, 10am in Broken Hill? We've got site visits on Monday and Tuesday, I think.

MR GLOVER: I was querying the 10am time, it is definitely Wednesday, and if it's not 10 am , we will tell everybody if it's earlier.

THE COMMISSIONER: There is no reason that it wouldn't be 10 am at this stage, is there?

MR GLOVER: There are two quite full days, but we can address that.

THE COMMISSIONER: Let's nominally adjourn until 10am on Wednesday - what day of the month is that - at Broken Hill.

MR GLOVER: I'm told 9.30.
THE COMMISSIONER: 9.30, is it?
MR GLOVER; Yes, thank you, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, let's adjourn until 9.30 next Wednesday in Broken Hill.

MR GLOVER: Thank you, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: We'11 adjourn until then. Thank you.
AT 1.30PM THE SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF INQUIRY WAS ADJOURNED TO WEDNESDAY, 22 MAY 2024 AT 9.30AM
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