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THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning.
MR MUSTON: Good morning, Commissioner. I think the first cab off the rank today is Matthew Daly.
<MATTHEW THOMAS DALY, sworn:
<EXAMINATION BY MR MUSTON:
MR MUSTON: Q. Could you state your full name for the record, please?
A. Matthew Thomas Daly.
Q. You are the deputy secretary or a deputy secretary of NSW Health?
A. Yes.
Q. With a responsibility for the system sustainability and performance division?
A. That's correct.
Q. A role you have held I think since July of 2022?
A. Yes, that's right.
Q. You have prepared a statement to assist the Commissioner dated 9 April 2024?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you had an opportunity to review that statement before giving your evidence?
A. Yes, I did refresh my memory on it before today.
Q. Are you satisfied that the contents of it are true and correct to the best of your knowledge?
A. Yes, indeed.

MR MUSTON: That, in due course, Commissioner, will form part of the bulk tender.

THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
MR MUSTON: Q. Perhaps we could bring the statement up on the screen. I don't have the document number immediately at hand. [MOH.9999.0976.0001], if you have a look at paragraph 2 of that statement, do you see you refer there, towards the middle of the paragraph, to internal alignment of functions that brought various
programs aimed at hospital avoidance and virtual care together under a single portfolio, as being the justification for a slight change in the name of your division?
A. I'm sorry, is it possible to turn your volume up a little bit?
Q. Let me lean forward a little bit. Is that a bit better?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see you refer in paragraph 2 to hospital avoidance there?
A. Mmm-hmm.
Q. I'm just wondering, could you tell us, in that context, what you mean by "hospital avoidance"?
A. I might step back and maybe just give you the rationale for those changes. It was a series of conversations between myself, the secretary, and Deb Willcox, the deputy secretary, and we felt that Deb's branches are far more policy oriented and the skill sets to develop policy are very, very different to the skill sets really around execution, and a lot of the branches that are within my team are very executionally oriented, they predominantly bring really strong operational experience, which is just as well, because, you know, we are frequently engaged with LHDs in an operational sense on a daily basis right across the system.

And so given that patient experience, we thought, could benefit from a stronger policy setting around it, which would then go to execution, we thought the patient experience was better in that policy regime of Deb's, and similarly bringing things like integrated care into my part of the ministry, that is really very much driven around hospital avoidance, and that's now getting specifically to your question.

So the hospital avoidance activities, they can take many, many names and many guises, such as virtual care, integrated care, collaborative commissioning, you know, even philosophies like "Leading Better Value Care" is a philosophy rather than a program of work, and it is about ensuring that care is, one, only provided when it is clinically indicated and evidence based, and I'm sure Jean-Fred would have spoken to that, or he is more than
capable of speaking to it because a lot of work comes out of ACI in that regard. So given the growth in ED presentations, in part due to failure of primary care, to be honest, we had a choice of just responding to the volumes, particularly amongst category 4 and 5 patients, and to a degree category 3 , but predominantly cat 4 s and 5s, that bringing all those avoidance teams and activities together and into the operational milieu and the execution space was deemed a far more effective way, particularly at a time when we were implementing urgent care services across the state, with good government support, I might add, and it was expanding the suite of services that could be provided by people coming through the digital front door - we may talk about that again later - and getting them to the right care setting, which increasingly is not to an emergency department.
Q. So at the heart of hospital avoidance, we're dealing with keeping people out of an emergency department who don't really need to be there; is that right?
A. Or can be equally if not better cared for in a different care setting that doesn't mean fronting up to an emergency department, yes.
Q. Would it be right to say that hospital avoidance in the context that you have used it is not aimed at referring to a longer-term plan to keep people well and not needing hospital care in general?
A. No, any contact through the single digital front door are people requiring some degree of care.
Q. We're dealing with people who have reached a point where they require some degree of care, and the hospital avoidance strategies are aimed at keeping them to the greatest extent possible out of the hospital and receiving that care elsewhere, be it in the community or through some other source?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Could I ask you to go forward to paragraph 7 of your statement. You tell us there that the Ministry of Health is the system manager of the public health system. Could I just ask you to expand a little bit on what you mean there when you refer to the ministry as the "system manager", in a practical sense?
A. Yes, sure. Well, the term "system manager" - one could question its accuracy given, you know, the devolved
nature of the New South Wales health system. I think the term "system manager" is enshrined in the legislation in terms of the role, so that's why it's picked up, and I assume that's why the team put the inverted commas around that. But in terms of how that's operationalised, I would say, in a simple form, that it is the role of the ministry is not to tell people - or to tell LHDs how they are to deliver services, but on behalf of government purchasing services, to guide and prescribe what it is they will deliver. That's the differentiation between the two.
Q. So when you refer to purchasing services to guide and prescribe what will be delivered, what is the level of control that the ministry has over those operational decisions which manifest themselves at an LHD level?
A. Well, I guess control - I guess oversight would be a better word than control, and I think there are so many KPIs and measurements of - in safety and quality, the measurements of inputs and outputs in the system, that a significant variance from that, compared to the rest of the system, flags a red flag and we'd engage with the LHD as to why such a variance.
Q. So we will come back to the KPIs in a little bit more detail soon, but to the extent that the ministry is exercising oversight, to use your word, over the operations of the LHD, is it primarily through a monitoring of LHD's performance as against these KPIs?
A. Yes, fundamentally.
Q. And the KPIs are those which are contained in the various service level agreements entered into between the ministry and each LHD?
A. Yes, and those KPIs come from a variety of sources, you know, they could be evidence based, that ACI might identify; they could be National Health Reform Agreements that prescribe those; they could be standards that the national commission on safety and quality prescribe for the system; or it could be premier's priorities each year from government.
Q. So you go on to tell us in paragraph 8 that your portfolio is divided up essentially into several different branches that deal with different aspects of system management, if we keep using that term?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mmm}$.
Q. The first is the system purchasing branch. You see you refer to that at paragraph 8(a), at least at a high leve1?
A. Mmm-hmm.
Q. Can I ask, where it refers to "leading the development and negotiation of the annual service agreements with LHDs", to what extent is the service level agreement discussions with LHDs truly a process of negotiation, do you think?
A. To the extent that we're restricted obviously by the size of the envelope, in terms of, you know, resources government make available, so that's always a rate-1imiting step, the bulk of activity tends to be historically driven and it's been a conscious decision of the system that in order to address areas of inequity, that because we've got to keep the rest of the system safe and operating, to be eroding the base would be a courageous action for a ministry or a secretary to take, in my opinion. So, rather, we address equity in terms of incremental growth and skewing of growth funding in order to address equity it could be on population, on ageing, sociodemographics; it could be things around utilisation of GPs, utilisation of the private hospital sector, all of which we take into consideration.
Q. Again, we might come back to it in a little bit more detai1, but you mentioned a moment ago that a lot of that negotiation is historically based.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mmm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Are we to understand that as meaning there is an amount of money which has been delivered to an LHD historically as part of the divvying up of the pool, and each year that pot of money might be incrementally adjusted in some way for growth, but it's not a situation where one starts with a clean sheet of paper and asks, what is it actually going to cost us to deliver on the health needs of the community within our LHD?
A. No, because sometimes we like to fool ourselves in health that we don't know what's coming through the door. The reality is we know exactly what's going to be coming through the door, whether it be in a planned sense for, you know, planned surgery, or whether it be for emergency care, including ED performance. You know, we operate the system on an algorithm and we can tell certainly within one standard deviation of the number of patients, even kind of
the mix, in terms of triage. So it's - because we've got this tremendous data that is historically developed and built from and it varies very little. I mean, the disease prevalence in the community, yes, it changes, but it doesn't change dramatically from one year to another.
Q. So that data tells us about the likely experience that the system will have of patients presenting at hospital for acute care?
A. Yes.
Q. Or sub-acute care through an emergency department to the extent that that's - doesn't quite get to the acute level?
A. Yes.
Q. But does that data tell us much about wider population health?
A. Sorry, why the?
Q. Does that data tell us much about wider population health in terms of providing a clear and detailed understanding of the health needs of the population within an LHD?
A. Yes, I think that's a developing aspect of our data systems. Certainly, you know, we've known for some time things like what I mentioned before about ethnicity, age ranges within districts, and they are fairly standard. I guess the greatest insights will be coming by way of the Lumos program that I think Sharon Smith may have talked to you about, but that is kind of a nation-leading, if not internationally-leading, data collection where, for the first time at least in my 35 years in health, I've seen true erosion of the barriers between Commonwealth and state delivery arms for health in this country, because we're getting line of sight of where our population are going before they, say, hit an emergency department or before they need to reach out for specialist either acute or sub-acute care, because we've now got the history, at least for about 60 per cent of GP activity, on why people are presenting to a GP, how often they're presenting to a GP, and that information's relatively new.

We've put it to practice in one substantive way, that if not for Lumos, I don't think we would have made the right decisions about the geographical locations and mix of services in establishing the 25 urgent care services. The

Lumos data, because it was plotting the journey of a patient in both primary and secondary care, gave us far greater insight, and with the partnership of the PHNs and general practices, I think we came to the best possible decisions about that, but if it wasn't for Lumos, it would be anecdote and assertion rather than empirical data.
Q. We'11 come back to the urgent care services. Just finishing a consideration of 8(a), do you see at the end of
(i) there, you tell us that the system purchasing branch sets out the service delivery, performance and expectations. Do we take it that that's a reference to the KPIs that are contained in the service level agreements? A. Yes, and the empirical measures of activity. You see, where the districts have the best advice, if you think of activity-based funding as being a series of product lines where you would have acute episodes, sub-acute episodes, ED, planned surgery, et cetera, they are best placed to advise us on the mix of those product lines - that's just a term I use, it is not an official one - because I think it just - it simplifies what is ABM. And so LHDs can actually guide us on any changes between those, because they are closer to their population than we are.
Q. And you would see that as one of the strengths of the devolved model of governance that we have at the moment? A. Yes. As one who both worked as a chief in the less-devolved system and then worked for the ministry to devolved, I think the single greatest failure of the larger area health services was their difficulty - almost said "inability" but that might be a true word as well, but certainly their difficulty - in engaging locally with communities, given the size of the organisations that were running. So they were certainly more arm's length to the community than what LHDs are today.
Q. Moving down to the system management branch, you tell us it is responsible for the NSW Health performance framework and monitoring performance against annual service agreements, et cetera. Again, is that a monitoring of the extent to which the KPIs contained in the service level agreements are being met by LHDs, SHNs or NSW Ambulance? A. Yes, fundamentally.
Q. Is there an up-to-the-minute dashboard that is available that enables that branch to consider just how each LHD is performing as against each of its KPIs?
A. Not covering the full suite of KPIs. For a number of the KPIs where real-time monitoring is really important, particularly around ED performance, we do have live monitoring of ED performances, of ambulance offloads, of bed availability, you know, by ward, by specialty group. So it does give us tremendous insight into individual hospitals to actually have those conversations on why there might be delays in offloading an ambulance when we can see the number of bays that are actually empty in an ED; we can see the number of vacant beds at the back of house, and have those conversations that are quite pointed.
Q. Those things have the advantage of being easily measurable within the system - that is, for example, wait times in emergency departments; bed availability; ambulance delays?
A. Yes.
Q. You referred to those things as the important things. What is it about those considerations - for example, let's use wait time in an emergency department as an example what is it about that that makes it more important than some of the other KPIs contained in the service level agreements?
A. Oh, if I either used the word "important", or implied that it was more important than the other KPIs, that wasn't my intention. Yes, it is an important set of KPIs, you know, roughly 30 per cent of our admissions come through emergency departments, so it's a fair volume, and hence it's an important indicator to watch.

You know, there are other very important indicators around, in an access sense, planned surgery, but nothing is probably more important than the quality and safety indicators, of which there are probably more quality and safety indicators - not "probably", I would suggest there are more quality and safety indicators - than there are access indicators.
Q. What about access to other health services within the LHD that are not being met through sources external to the LHD - for example, public specialist clinics where people within the community might not be able to get access to specialist care through the private market?
A. Yes, we don't have good line of sight on that for a number of reasons. One - and we have a team actually working on a system for us to be able to have line of sight
on outpatient, if you like, waiting lists, the way we do for planned surgery waiting lists. But there are a number of other complicators in that, inasmuch as many specialists, rightly or wrongly - and I won't proffer an opinion - view the waiting lists as being their waiting lists, and many waiting lists for outpatient appointments are in fact held in VMOs' private rooms, so we don't - the hospital itself doesn't even necessarily have access to lists of patients awaiting --
Q. What about Lumos data, is there anything in the Lumos data that assists with that, to the extent that GPs are referring patients to specialist care and recording or observing, in some way, that their patients are having to wait for sometimes extraordinary periods to see specialists?
A. Certainly Lumos gives us line of sight to GP engagement. I would have to get advice as to whether it would specifically flag or indicate that that GP appointment was for purposes of getting a referral to an appointment with a general surgeon, for instance. I'm not sure of that.
Q. Can I just go down to subparagraph (ii) there, where you tell us about the system management branch as one of its other functions being supporting and monitoring system-wide patient flow performance in real-time patient safety and quality and supporting the state health service's functional area coordinator. I gather that the real-time monitoring that you are referring to there is this process of monitoring, what, waiting times in emergency departments, ambulance times, available beds in hospitals, et cetera?
A. Yes, through two systems. One is the ambulance board, and that's integrated closely to the patient portal. So with those two systems, we get that line of sight you just spoke to.
Q. What about the support that is being provided to the state health services functional area coordinator, what is the role of that person or body?
A. Well, HSFAC is the key lead for NSW Health in the event of a disaster, natural or otherwise - disaster management, but on1y where the event or impact transcends beyond a single LHD. So, you know, like some years ago a massive tree near the front door of the Ambulance at RPA fell, and the HSFAC dropped in. Now, that was an
inappropriate engagement of the HSFAC. It was peculiar to RPA. It may have had some flow-on benefits having to redirect ambulances to other hospitals within Sydney LHD, but it really plays the role like in the Lismore floods, facilitating health response right across that district and others that were impacted by it.
Q. When you say "facilitating" it, it uses the real-time data available to distribute those in need of health care in a way that best utilises the health care which is available within the wider geographic area, or a geographic area wider than the LHD?
A. Yes, the HSFAC and his or her team - his team as it is at the moment - has access to that data. But we have a patient flow centre who monitors it seven days a week, and so he would probably defer to advice around where capacity is and where capacity isn't, but yes, it's available to him.
Q. Coming to the LHDs, you I'm sure are familiar with the statutory function of the LHDs set out in section 9 of the Health Services Act, the dual functions?
A. Yes.
Q. First, to provide relief to sick and injured people through the provision of care and treatment, and the second, to promote, protect and maintain the health of the community. Can I ask, in relation to what you have told us about the system purchasing branch, I know you have indicated that it is largely historical, but to what extent do decisions around purchasing or the allocation of a budget for an LHD take into account those two purposes of the LHD, the statutory purposes?
A. I guess the purchasing outcome and the framework for those purchasing conversations is brought to the ministry executive, you know, in advance, and that's where other policy owners, whether it be around prevention, people like Kerry Chant, who has responsibility for that, has input; similarly around aged care initiatives or maternity and neonatal care, Deb Willcox has policy responsibility. So the framework and the intentions of the framework come to the ministry executive. So that gets all those deputy secretaries' input and guidance for then engagement back with the LHD chief.
Q. Just pause for one moment. I've been informed, Commissioner, that the live stream has ceased working. I'm
quite happy to keep going, but - and it no doubt will be fixed in due course, but if it is not, I don't think we should be waiting for it, but I'm equally very much in your hands.

THE COMMISSIONER: It's ceased working because?
THE OPERATOR: There is no audio going out.
THE COMMISSIONER: Is there any unfairness if we continue to anyone?

MR MUSTON: I don't think so.
THE COMMISSIONER: No, people are here, health representatives. Let's keep going.

MR MUSTON: If anyone wants to read the transcript in due course, they can.

THE COMMISSIONER: Of course.
MR MUSTON: Q. From the point of view of the LHDs, there are two important features of the service level agreement negotiations, just to make sure we've got it right. First, the level on mix of services that are to be purchased and, second, the imposition of the KPIs.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mmm}$.
Q. Can I ask you to go to paragraph 15. When you are having the discussions, or when discussions are being had around those two things - level and mix of service and imposition of KPIs - you tell us that allocations are based on the best available data and evidence. What is the best available data and evidence at the moment?
A. Well, the best available data is the data that we collect through a variety of branches in the ministry. It's not just SIA that have tremendously accurate and well-accepted relevance of the data, so, I'm - fortunately, I'm working in a system where we're not debating the data but rather debating what the data is telling us, which in my past experience isn't always the case in large organisations. So that's a key one.

The collection of data around safety and quality, hospital-acquired complications, that's overseen by the CEC and directors of clinical governance. Data around disease
prevalence by individual communities that come out of branches under Kerry Chant's leadership, as well as planning data from state planning organisations around population projections for purposes of sharing and feeding in to LHDs for their clinical service planning purposes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Can I just ask, because this may be a transcript issue that doesn't necessarily get corrected - when you said you are working in a system "where we're not debating the data but rather debating what the data is telling us" --
A. Yes.
Q. Did you say "fortunately" or "unfortunately", "I'm working in that system"?
A. No, absolutely "fortunately".
Q. That's what I thought. It says "unfortunately" [in the draft transcript], so we'll get that corrected.
A. Good pick up.
Q. Well, I was listening.
A. You proved that.

MR MUSTON: Q. As part of that array of data, do you have access to information about the particular health needs or particular and unique health needs of the community within each LHD or the communities within each LHD?
A. Yes, they are better informed because they run units around multicultural health peculiar to their populations, and so I know that there are very active units in most, if not all, LHDs that do specialise on those peculiar needs of those populations, and they feed that into the chief executive.

From a state leve1, I could probably get access, but as we negotiate the LHDs on a district by district basis, I don't think we tend to, but I could be corrected around that. Certainly from a state perspective, you know, Kerry is very vigilant in relation to state trends and needs around multicultural and other groups' health.
Q. Does part of the negotiation process with the LHD, though, involve the LHD providing you with information about the particular health needs of the community that it serves?
A. Sorry, that?
Q. Does part of that negotiation with each LHD around the service level agreement each year involve the LHD providing you with detailed information about the particular health needs of the community which it serves?
A. Yes, they would feed that into the process of saying that there is a particular, you know, disease prevalence of a particular subset of their population that requires service enhancement or likely - "service enhancement" isn't the right term, but likely demand enhancement that the LHD would need to respond to, in other words, actually provide services for a growing need of a disease cohort, and it's up to them to guide us, but remembering that we're always constrained to the budget envelope in terms of the amount of activity that we can agree to, because we're all under budgets.
Q. What about more general information about, say, the extent to which the population requires access to primary health care, specialist care, other health services which might be delivered within the LHD?
A. Primary health care less so; specialist care, because that is a secondary care service that NSW Health is responsible for, yes, they would - they may have data on it depending on how they collect data. I know some districts do collect reasonably robust data on outpatients, but it's in a format by LHD by LHD. That's why we've got a team working to introduce an outpatient waiting list system that will be uniform, so we're all counting the same things the same way, and that we will have line of sight on a statewide basis, just as we do for planned surgery breaches.
Q. Because information about the particular health needs of the community within the LHD is going to be quite important, having regard to the statutory purpose of the LHDs, would you agree?
A. Yes.
Q. And the LHD's ability to perform its statutory function would be significantly constrained if it didn't have access to information about the health needs at any particular point in time of its community. Would you agree with that?
A. Yes, I've not - I can't recall in my, you know, nearly two years back in NSW Health any particular complaint or
observation from a chief executive about not having that. That's not to say that there aren't difficulties in getting it. But it's more than one branch in the ministry that can provide data, depending on the need of that particular LHD or chief executive.
Q. That data which is available, though, to what extent, in a realistic sense, is it actually featuring in negotiations around budget allocations and service level agreements?
A. Well, it would be - it would be the catalyst and motivator and evidence base for why a chief executive would wish to negotiate a change in those product lines that I talked about and any growth in, say, the acute NWAU would be evidenced by a growth of a particular disease prevalence for a particular population growth - population group in their LHD, and that is best known to the chief executive of that LHD.
Q. Do you have a sense that that is actually happening in practice, though, as part of these negotiations?
A. Oh, yes, yes. And they often - "they" - I shouldn't talk about them as a homogeneous group, but, you know, chief executives will often reflect on population cohorts and the peculiar health needs of that population. You know, there is a very big refugee presence in a couple of our LHDs and that requires, you know, a very different response in terms of both primary care but also secondary care for that population group. So I would suggest that they are pretty sensitive to it.
Q. What about information about the extent to which the health needs of communities are being met by services delivered external to the LHDs, is that something that you have access to?
A. More at the local level. I know Kerry engages at a state level with groups around refugee health, multicultural health, Aboriginal health, but I would think the more practical engagement and hence response would be at the local level with the district.
Q. Dealing not just with subcommunities within the LHD but the population wide within an LHD, to what extent, insofar as you are aware, is information made available about the extent to which their various health needs are being met by, say, primary health care delivered through GPs, specialists operating out of their rooms, allied
health operating within the private market, et cetera?
A. I don't - I don't see a great deal of data particularly in relation to the private sector, and even GPs, unless how we've translated it into the growing population base of general practitioners under Lumos.
Q. Would you accept that that information is going to be important for an LHD in determining what services it needs to deliver in performing its statutory function?
A. Yes, it would - it would be useful, as they tweak their service profile and service response. You know, a lot of these groups are very small in number. It doesn't make their needs any less important, but in my experience, health doesn't respond to revolution but it does respond to evolution, and the beauty of the annual performance agreement, whether it be adjusting the both volume and mix on a yearly basis, is on the advice of the evidence and line of sight that chief executives have around their population and those changes, as it does also incrementally addressing equity in the system through a disproportionate distribution of equity funding - sorry, growth funding, based on equity needs.
Q. To what extent, if any, is the negotiation around service level agreements picking up a consideration of the LHD's need to meet unmet service delivery for, say, primary health care within the geographic boundary of the LHD?
A. I don't believe chief executives have in the past, nor probably should they be, investing in primary care. That is the responsibility of the Commonwealth. I guess where we've seen leadership in New South Wales is by government policy around urgent care services that is very much jumping in to the primary care market, where it's failed, and I think there is increasing evidence around the ED avoidance that that program has been able to deliver that otherwise would have seen patients in EDs.
Q. You say the delivery of primary care is the responsibility of the Commonwealth. Why do you say that? A. Because it is - has traditionally been; its funding is from the Commonwealth; we don't attract any funding from the Commonwealth under the National Health Reform Agreement for anything that resembles primary care. The national administrator and the independent hospital pricing and aged care authority polices that and we have had models of care rejected for Commonwealth funding because it was too like primary care services that the Commonwealth is responsible
for.
Q. Do you have some examples of those models of care that were rejected by the Commonwealth?
A. I would need to resurrect them, which wouldn't be too difficult, but $I$ couldn't tell you off the top of my head.

THE COMMISSIONER: We can get that later.
MR MUSTON: Q. The Commonwealth clearly provides a source of funding for primary care through the MBS. A. (Witness nods).
Q. But as to who is responsible for the delivery of primary care to the extent that the market-based system funded by the MBS might not be working, does it not get picked up by the broad function of the LHD provided for in section 9 of the Health Services Act?
A. Well, I guess ultimately, depending upon the level of that primary care market failure, the LHD does pick it up, because it lands in the ED. It's like most social determinants and problems, it's the last port of call, and that is the volume that we have been working to divert to better care settings than the emergency departments.
Q. The best care setting for that problem is a primary health care setting which provides continuity of care to those patients?
A. That might be the case, yes, if it is a need for a continuum of care. If it is a chronic disease, then yes is the answer. If it is aged care, then yes, to your question. If it is kind of a one-off, that's where kind of urgent care clinics come into play, where there isn't any GP, or there is a means and a feature of the single digital front door is actually about getting people either into appointments, which the urgent care service facilitates through a contracted arrangement, where you would be assessed and say, "Right, you need to see a GP for that cut", sprain, whatever it might be, "between two and 12 hours, and we can get you an appointment at $X$ GP practice because we have blocks of availability."
Q. What about the delivery of specialist services to the extent that the MBS-funded private system is not delivering on the needs of the community, would you agree that that's also picked up by a function of the LHD under section 9 of the Health Services Act?
A. In those services that I mentioned around chronic care and aged care. Other specialist services for an MBS payment is requiring referral from a GP, or referral from an emergency department, which is why people like to land there, because they know it's a one-stop shop. But in order to tap into MBS payments for a specialist occasional service, it would need a GP referral.
Q. To the extent that the specialists who the referral is sent to are not able to meet the demands or the needs of a community, though, do you accept that the delivery of those services not otherwise being delivered by the market falls within the broad reach of section 9 of the Health Services Act and the obligations of the LHD?
A. Not verbatim familiar with section 9 of the Health Services Act. Could you rephrase that question?
Q. To promote and protect and maintain the health of the community, for example, or provide relief to sick and injured people through the provision of care and treatment take this example: patient living within an LHD requires treatment by a specialist. They have a referral, but for reasons associated with their own economic position or the thinness of the specialist market, they are not able to get in to see a specialist within a reasonable period of time, so there is a gap in the availability of that specialist treatment. That gap, would you accept, is something which ought be picked up by the function of the LHD?
A. If a patient can't be seen, either at all, because not every LHD has every full range of specialties, or the delay is so long, then it is the responsibility of the LHD to give other referral options back to that referrer.
Q. For example, by standing up a public outpatient clinic for that particular specialisation to the extent that workforce pressures enables it to do so; would you agree?
A. That might be a solution, yes.
Q. So in terms of the negotiations around the funding of the LHDs, is any information about those gaps within medical services available within an LHD being taken into account?
A. Oh, if the chief executive wishes to introduce it, knowing their population, knowing their waiting lists, knowing particularly from their clinicians where there are those gaps, you know, make no doubt, chief executives get a whole raft of recommendations and guidance on service
profiles of their services and their hospitals from their senior clinicians every day. If they are not, there is a problem.
Q. But to your observation over the past two years, has that resulted routinely in an increase to the funding of LHDs to enable them to add these services to the service array being offered?
A. No. A change of service or the introduction of a service does not necessarily mean an increase in funding. What does trigger an increase in funding is an increase in activity and if, for instance, establishing a non-inpatient service, like you are referring to, was a priority of that LHD, then we would tag - we would calculate and agree on the additional activity and then apply the appropriate weighted NWAU to that activity that forms then the funding envelope for the district.
Q. So the decisions around the funding envelope for the district are driven primarily by an assessment of activity?
A. Significantly by activity, yes.
Q. And that's important because it triggers an obligation on the part of the Commonwealth to make a contribution towards that activity or the funding of that activity?
A. Mmm-hmm.
Q. What about health needs within the population which can't be met through the delivery of services that generate activity?
A. Sorry, I'm not sure $I$ understand the question.
Q. So there are health services which are delivered, for example, in an inpatient setting, which are recognised as generating activity for the purposes of the funding model. There are other health services delivered routinely to people within communities that don't generate activity, in that strict sense of the word, and therefore don't attract a Commonwealth contribution to the funding of that activity.
A. Mmm-hmm.
Q. To what extent are considerations around the delivery of health needs which cannot be met through services that generate activity forming part of the considerations as to how much money should be provided to LHDs as part of their annual funding envelope?
A. Activity comes in a variety of forms, and I'm not sure what evidence has been provided to the Commission around that, but, you know, non-admitted patient activity, which is really what you are referring to, is a major component of one of the product lines, as I talked about before, that make up the total amount of activity. As a non-admitted patient occasion of service, it can be funded, if you like, in two ways - one under NWAU, with an appropriate weight that obviously would be low, given it's a face-to-face may only be a face-to-face consultation, or it could be where the service is provided as a privately referred non-inpatient, and that's where a patient will come with a GP referral to see a specialist, and that hospital can bill on the specialist's behalf, the MBS, but they've got to have that referral, and it's a local decision as to whether they will count the activity as an NWAU or whether they will generate revenue. It's also part of their budget settings, both expense and revenue, and provide that service by way of a privately referred non-inpatient bulk billing, if you like.
Q. So that decision around whether to treat a particular service as generating activity or accessing MBS money, being made locally, to what extent does that feed into decisions around the funding of LHDs as part of the annual envelope that they are given?
A. I think it would be not insignificant for a chief executive to consider, and I guess he or she would get advice on the relative revenue streams of it being part of the Commonwealth scope of funding and what that would translate to for that occasion of service, as opposed to bulk billing Medicare for a privately referred non-inpatient service.

I mean, they can certainly set those services up. They would need to make sure they've got the specialist, you know, engaged to be able to provide the service; they would need to have the systems to ensure that referrals are received with the patient for purposes of that bulk billing. But it's really a decision locally as to what model. We would give advice, but it's up to the chief executive, because they are responsible for the budget.
Q. So primary care, though, is one that is not generating activity in the strict sense of the word? A. Generally, yes.
Q. So to the extent that an LHD perceived the need to stand up a primary care service to meet a gap in health services available within its community, how would that be funded by the ministry, or how would the need for that feature in discussions around funding by the ministry of the LHD?
A. Well, I guess I can point to probably nine of the 25 urgent care services are probably - are in that urgent care clinic space where it's not urgent enough or clinically indicated that you need to get to an emergency department, but the nature of the condition or injury has been triaged and assessed at needing to be seen within two and 12 hours, and then they will be directed - if they can't get them in to their GP, which is the preference and always the preference, then we'd refer them, if there is one geographically convenient to them, into an urgent care clinic that is set up under this government program.
Q. But if you can't get them into a GP because there is no GP readily available to them, the urgent care centre or service is not really a substitute for primary care, is it, other than in that immediate episode?
A. Well, it is a substitute for primary care because there is not a primary care opportunity and hence the urgent care clinic generates that primary care opportunity for that particular patient for that condition.
Q. But I think, as you tell us in paragraph 53, it is intended to provide short-term one-off care for people with urgent health needs that are not life threatening?
A. Yes, because it's not our intent that that would be an ongoing relationship. I mean, one of the - and this is an opinion --

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Sorry, I don't understand that answer. "It's not our intent that that would be an ongoing relationship", I don't understand that in relation to what is in 53. What do you mean by that?
A. That if you have a condition like a sprain, fracture, that generally doesn't require an ongoing relationship with your GP. It requires correction, setting, whatever it might be.
Q. Okay, I understand, thanks.
A. Yes.

MR MUSTON: Q. The purpose of that is, I think we've
already covered it, to divert people away from emergency departments into a slightly less acute setting to deal with health issues that are better suited to that slightly less acute setting?
A. Yes, and in a far more timely fashion.
Q. But it is not intended that, other than in relation to that - well, I withdraw that. When you said that these services are intended in that case to replace primary care, is that because, at least in relation to that episodic attendance, it is something that, by and large, a GP could have dealt with had they been available?
A. Yes. In the majority of cases, yes.
Q. But it is not intended that, where those GPs are not available because the market has not provided sufficient of them to meet the needs of the community in that area, the urgent care services will replace the role played --
A. Yes.
Q. -- which would have been played by those absent GPs?
A. Yes, but it's more so availability of an appointment within a reasonable time for that condition to be responded to. The biggest pressure is about getting in to see a GP in a timely fashion, to be frank, and the urgent care clinics provide that interim, at which point then they would be referred back to their GP, where the time to have that appointment is not as pressing and if there is a need for ongoing care of that condition.
Q. The situation I was exploring with you was a situation where an LHD, perhaps in collaboration with a PHN, had identified that there was a gap in the GP market that meant that primary health care needs of part of the LHD's community were not being met by GPs operating within the private market.
A. Mmm.
Q. To the extent that the LHD identifies that and decides that it needs, as part of its function, to deliver that care, it doesn't generate activity; is that right?
A. Mmm - no, generally wouldn't.
Q. To what extent is the LHD's perceived need to deliver that form of care something which features in discussions around the budget that is to be delivered each year to the LHD by the ministry?
A. Well, I guess the policy response is the establishment of those 25 urgent care services that are principally driven around ED diversion, that if it didn't - if it didn't geographically fall into one of those urgent care services, then that patient would continue to default to, as still happens in various parts of the state today, because 25 doesn't cover the entire population of New South Wales - then they would, if they couldn't get into a GP or a medical centre, however titled, would default, as they have been for some years, to the emergency department.
Q. So the LHD has identified that gap. Patients who have their sprained finger or their nasty episode of gastro turn up or are diverted to the emergency care centre and are dealt with there, and then discharged, they are still not receiving good continuity primary health care because of an absence of GPs within the market in this hypothetical area. Do you understand those as the parameters?
A. Yes, I guess I hark back to an earlier comment, that most of the pressing need for urgent care is because care or treatment is needed within a relatively short period of time, and the biggest problem with primary care is not so much being able to get in, but get in when you need to see them, and that's why people then just naturally default to the ED, and so the design of either the LHD-run urgent care clinics under the urgent care service program or contracted with - through PHNs to general practices, is about having access to blocks of appointment times in order to be able to get people in within that two to 12 -hour period.
Q. But there are certainly some areas within the state where it's not just a question of the timeliness of getting an appointment, but there are patients who simply can't get access to primary care at all.
A. Mmm.
Q. Are you aware of that?
A. I'm sure there are instances across the state, which is why EDs turn no-one away.
Q. And the LHDs are best placed to identify where those pockets of the state that are not adequately served by primary care are?
A. I suspect they would know them fairly intimately.
Q. To the extent that they identify those areas and say to themselves there is a need, as part of our statutory
function, to deliver that care because the market is not delivering it, to what extent is that need something which features, if any, in discussions about how much money the LHD should be receiving as part of its annual funding allocation?
A. I mean, LHDs still have the capacity within their service agreements to fund activity, but it needs to be within the budget envelope that we receive from treasury. I can think of a number of urgent care clinics in the state that were set up by LHDs well before the urgent care service program - that only started about a year and a half ago.
Q. I want to divert attention away from urgent care to the delivery of more stable primary care where GPs are not available. So I understand the urgent care centres are set up to divert people from emergency into another emergency-like setting which can deal with their illness in a short period of time, but just talking at the moment about situations where, whether it be urgent or non-urgent, there is not a sufficient market of GPs available to meet the primary health care needs of a community.
A. Mmm.
Q. You said a moment that LHDs can fund those sorts of services from their budget if they wish to.
A. Yes. I mean, it is a very small number of occasions in my experience that has happened, but it has happened.
Q. But is that because in funding a service that doesn't generate activity, they are reducing their ability to get access to a pool of funding which is provided to them through the service level agreement negotiation process -A. Well, as the vast majority of the funding is tied up in activity under an activity-based funding agreement with the Commonwealth, they would direct most of their activity, if they had a very large gap and funding - activity funding was available, we can negotiate changes to that, but I suspect there is probably a belief that - for them to jump into provision of primary care, where does that start and where does that end.
Q. Well, LHDs might take the view that it should start where the need exists and end when that need is met.
A. $\quad \mathrm{mm}$.
Q. But then there is a question about the funding source
for it. The LHDs are not in a position to negotiate with the Commonwealth about freeing up access to the MBS money for primary health care, the delivery of primary health care by staff specialists employed by the LHD; is that right?
A. Can be, yes.
Q. The LHDs are not themselves in a position to engage in that negotiation with the Commonwealth?
A. Generally not, no, but I mean the engagement of staff specialists for billing for privately referred non-inpatients, I mean that's a Medicare process which a registered specialist can choose to participate in, and there would be many clinics, specialist clinics, right across the state that are run by predominantly staff specialists, but not always, that would provide that service, given the social conscience they hold, and do so by way of bulk billing.

The reality in health is you will always be able to establish a need, which are virtually endless.
Government's capacity, like every organisation, to fund those endless needs is obviously limited, and so chief executives make that decision every year.
Q. In making that decision, though, they are incentivised to deliver services which generate activity over those that don't by the funding model that exists?
A. Well, they are incentivised by a lot of things. They are incentivised by the expectation around meeting KPIs, around transfer of care in emergency departments, unplanned surgery, patients not breaching their clinically recommended care. They are incentivised around quality of care. The Commonwealth doesn't pay the states for poor care, so some of the indicators like unplanned readmissions, you know, the Commonwealth clips the ticket on that and pays less for it. We don't actually pass that on to the LHDs, but certainly we monitor the KPIs and, you know, would occasionally even share that, should this be in a true ABM environment, the Commonwealth would have deducted $X$ number, or did deduct from the state, but we don't pass that on.
Q. So in terms of the monitoring of the KPIs there, it's predominantly the financial KPIs where that discussion is happening; is that right?
A. The financial KPIs in relation --
Q. The KPI requiring that services be delivered within the budgetary envelope.
A. Well, any responsible chief executive needs to know how they're going to resource and fund a service. As I said, the need can often be easy to establish. The resources either to be redirected or attracted is the challenge we all face.
Q. Can we move to the KPIs. In paragraph 23 --

THE COMMISSIONER: Can I just ask a question first?
MR MUSTON: Please do.
THE COMMISSIONER: Q. With regard to what you said about the reality in health is that you will always be able to establish a need, which are virtually endless, and that government's capacity, like every organisation, to fund those endless needs is obviously limited - and before that you had talked about the funding envelope that "we" - that is, health - is provided by treasury. Can I just ask you what you can tell me about treasury's role in this.

What I'm interested in is the last NSW Intergenerational Report makes the point that funding of health care is the greatest part of the budget and it's also the one that's increasing the most - so accept that. But it also says that we need greater investment in early intervention and prevention of chronic disease if we're going to have a sustainable healthcare system. And this isn't a criticism, that report doesn't say how that is done, but that fundamental theme is echoed in dozens and dozens of reports over the years.

What I'm interested to know is, are you aware of any, for want of a better expression, cost/benefit analysis that might have been done by treasury or anyone else about how much more investment might be needed to have early interventions and bring down rates of chronic disease, and what the (a) health benefits of that might be; but also what the spillover economic benefits might be of having a healthier population - you know, increased productivity gains. Are you aware of any work being done by New South Wales treasury or the Commonwealth on that theme?
A. Not specifically, Commissioner, no.
Q. Anywhere in the world?
A. Well, my mind does go back to studies in Scandinavia where, in essence, what they had to do, and did, and what any system, contemporary system, would need to do, would be to fund the current system as BAU for almost a generational period, in order to then develop those other programs for one to then transfer from the acute side into the preventative side. The risk, obviously, is that an over investment in prevention will lead to adverse outcomes during the acute phase, but it is a generational change and it was a generational investment that $I$ studied some years ago, Scandinavian countries having taken that step. I'm working from my memory now.
Q. If you have time to think about it after your evidence, or if you could refer us to any of those Scandinavian studies.
A. Yes, okay.
Q. But if we were seeking this sort of material from NSW Treasury, at least to your knowledge, we might come back with a blank in terms of --
A. Yes, although that might not be a fair assessment.
Q. Who knows. It just seems to me there is a tension between the historic nature of the funding of LHDs and their funding based on activity, when, on the other hand, what is needed is an investment that actually would decrease the level of activity?
A. Mmm.
Q. Or is designed to, or cause a different type of activity. And I'm curious to know what work's been done both on a health outcome side but also on an economic analysis of if there was, as we're told in the intergenerational report, a need for significant early intervention and prevention of chronic disease, well, who's done the analysis about (a) how much money's needed; and (b) what the economic outcomes would be.
A. Mmm.

THE COMMISSIONER: Anyway, that's something we'11 have to follow up. That's what $I$ wanted to ask. Thanks.

MR MUSTON: Q. That probably brings it back around to the questions $I$ was asking you earlier around primary care. To the extent that primary care is a means by which the
progress and development of some of these developing health problems can be arrested, we have heard routinely in the evidence that we are given that primary health is
a Commonwealth issue, and I guess what I really want to explore with you is whether an alternate approach would be for LHDs to step in and meet the needs, to the extent not being met by the market, of primary health care, followed by a negotiation with the Commonwealth around exactly how that might be funded in terms of freeing up the MBS money for the state.
A. It would certainly require a not insignificant Commonwealth intervention and support for that to happen, effectively.
Q. But is it not intervention which would be better facilitated if the services were being delivered and there was a real discussion about how they were to be funded rather than an ideological debate which seems to hover around about whose responsibility it is to fund primary care?
A. I think where LHDs have for some years and continue to grow is in that integrated care area for chronic disease, and it is about better chronic disease management; it is about partnership with a GP, with the chronic care and specialist support coming out of the LHDs. So that's a very common model. Is it uniform across the state? No, it's not. But, you know, it is an objective, regardless of where you live, that you would have access to a reasonable suite of chronic care programs.
Q. But those integrated care programs, at least to the extent that we've seen or heard about them in the evidence, tend to be targeted at that cohort of patients who is struggling under a heavy burden of chronic disease and requires the full wrap-around service that they might not be receiving in order to manage it. I guess what I'm asking about is patients who are not quite there yet but might be on their way because of an absence of primary care, to what extent - would it not be better if LHDs stepped in and delivered that primary care, where the market is not delivering it, and thereafter a discussion was had with the Commonwealth about, say, freeing up the MBS money for the doctors or for the state health system, which is delivering that care in lieu of a market-based system which, were it viable, would be funded by the MBS? A. Conceptually I totally agree. The reality is that I've not seen the Commonwealth Government responsive in
that type of scenario and it would be a courageous chief to jump in, in the anticipation of Commonwealth support at some point in the future, because we find it very hard to stop doing things in health.
Q. In relation to that, do you have examples of areas in which the service has actually been delivered and there is then a discussion around, say, freeing up access to the MBS money as opposed to talked about as a concept?
A. Something very, very close to what you have described, and very contemporary, which Deb Willcox successfully led with the chief executive in the Illawarra Shoalhaven, and that was where there was a significant failure of the aged care market and Illawarra Shoalhaven hospitals had an extraordinary number - I would mislead you if I quoted the number, but certainly proportionally much higher than any LHD by a factor of at least 2 to 3 of their ED accessible beds occupied by aged care residents awaiting transfer back to an aged care setting. But because of market failure, closure of nursing homes in that region, restriction on particularly very difficult patients, for which there must be some financial disincentive because the number of beds, in particular dementia care, seemed to dry up awfully more quickly than other aged care beds - that Deb led negotiations with the chief executive, with the Commonwealth, that saw them responding to the tune of funding 30 beds in a private hospital - Figtree Private, from memory, is the name of it - and so they funded those beds as aged care beds. They also provided additional aged care packages, Commonwealth funding, to support people in their homes.

Was it effective? Yes, it improved things. As of last week, I think there were still 116 patients in those hospitals in the Illawarra Shoalhaven who were ready for discharge but, you know, good negotiation from Deb and Margot, the chief executive, at least got a partial response in that regard.
Q. In the primary care space, we have heard some evidence of some programs where primary care is delivered by LHDs through employing salaried generalists, and the Commonwealth funding has been made available. Are you familiar with what is called the four-Ts model?
A. Yes, I've heard about it. I'm not terribly familiar, to be honest.
Q. I think we've been told it is an arrangement of LHDs delivering primary care and funding through the Commonwealth is being made available exactly in the same way as it would be were the market delivering that care through the 19(2) exemption?
A. That's right, yes, the single employer model.
Q. Similarly, the single employer model is another one I think where there has been an agreement reached with the Commonwealth whereby funding for that primary health care delivered through the LHD is being provided?
A. Yes, and for which they get access to Medicare bulk billing whilst also being employed by the district, which is a no-no unless Commonwealth approval's been --
Q. And I guess my question - you may not know - is are you aware of any situation in which the LHD has stepped in to provide primary care, in circumstances where it is not otherwise - it has formed the view that it is not otherwise being delivered through the market, and had the Commonwealth refuse to contribute the MBS money to the provision of that care?
A. The short answer is probably no. I know that it is a tortuous route to secure Commonwealth agreement on those 19(2) type of employment arrangements. Deb could probably - and workforce could speak very - wax lyrical, I'm sure, about it. But I don't pretend to be an expert in that area on current knowledge.
Q. Queensland has, to a significant degree, stepped in to the delivery of primary health care, particularly in rural and regional areas. Are you familiar with the arrangement that Queensland has with the Commonwealth around that?
A. No, I'm not.
Q. Can we move to the KPIs. Perhaps can we go to paragraph 23 of your statement. Do you see you tell us there as part of the process, the KPIs are reviewed to ensure appropriateness and alignment with the strategic focus of NSW Health. I just want to ask you, in that context, what do you mean by "appropriateness", and what is the strategic focus that you had in mind?
A. Well, we have an evolving health strategy and so that's quite well advanced, so that's advanced enough to guide KPIs.

The KPIs in this current financial year total I think
about 103, but certainly over 100, and we've undertaken a review across the system and with chief executive input to try and rationalise that down, and it's likely we've got it down to about 80 KPIs.

There are various policy owners, if you like, of those KPIs in various branches of the ministry. Certainly chief executives are applauding of a reduction in KPIs.
I frankly don't think they have anything to complain about. When I was a chief executive I had over 320 KPIs, including the consumption of green vegetables for the population I was meant to be caring for. How that was ever going to be managed, let alone enforced, was beyond me, but that was a little while ago now. So I think rationalising on those that make a real difference, are peculiar and responsive to their population needs, as well as the broader NSW Health strategy needs, is what has driven the reduction down to 80.
Q. So I think you said it is different units within the ministry that are responsible for different KPIs that exist in the service level agreements. But as a general concept, can I ask, to what extent are the KPIs being formulated with an eye to the statutory function of the LHDs?
A. The KPIs, once there's agreement, if I can call it that, because it is not agreed until the secretary agrees to it, comes back to the ministry executive where other branches, including legal, I'm sure - well, not sure, I know, because they contribute to the finalisation of those KPIs.
Q. I guess putting it more bluntly, to what extent is consideration being given to whether the KPIs are measuring the extent to which an LHD is promoting, protecting and maintaining the health of the community, for example?
A. Well, given it's the legislation that the chief executives work under and empowers them to do so, I have no doubt that they would be sensitive to what their statutory obligations are. Have I seen a checklist to ensure each KPI supports each part of the legislation? No, I haven't.
Q. Can we go to, perhaps as an example, the service level agreement with the Central Coast, which is
[MOH.9999.0859.0001]?
A. Sorry, is that an exhibit?
Q. It is going to pop up on the screen. It is in
volume 8. Do you recognise that document as, just as an example, the service level agreement for the Central Coast LHD?
A. Yes.
Q. If we jump forward to page 21, which is .0021, that you recognise as the KPIs that we're talking about inserted into the service level agreement, or at least the commencement of them?
A. $\mathrm{Mmm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Perhaps if you could scroll through down to page 0024, do you see under the heading " 3 . People are healthy and well", you have there what seem to be the on1y KPIs which are directly focused on that objective of - or potentially focused on that objective of promoting, protecting and maintaining the health of the community?
A. Mmm-hmm.
Q. Can I suggest that those KPIs don't really provide any sort of empirical measure of the extent to which that objective is being met by the LHD?
A. I am not sure I could argue for or against that, I would seek advice from someone like Kerry. You see, these KPIs come from, you know, various parts, as I said, policy owners. Knowing Kerry as I do, I dare say there is some empirical basis to the KPIs that her and her branches propose and oversee, and should there be significant variance of concern, they would escalate that into the milieu of the performance agreement for discussion and someone from population health would be there for that conversation.
Q. I certainly don't mean to suggest that these KPIs are not important to the extent that they identify particular targeted objectives, but my point is more, you could comply with all of these KPIs but still arguably be failing to be promoting, protecting and maintaining the health of the community in that wider sense?
A. I don't know that $I$ can comment on that, really.

THE COMMISSIONER: By "wider", you mean in a more complete sense than these individual?

MR MUSTON: Yes.
Q. Undoubtedly to take the second one down, encouraging
smoking cessation during pregnancy amongst those two populations is plainly a positive health outcome for the community, but it's a very small health outcome for a very targeted slice of the community and doesn't really assess the extent to which the LHD in a broader sense is, through its operations, promoting, protecting and maintaining the health of the community that it serves.

THE COMMISSIONER: So your question was: do the totality of these individual targeted actions broadly encompass protecting, et cetera, the health of the community?

MR MUSTON: Yes.
THE COMMISSIONER: Q. And you didn't feel that you could answer that?
A. I'm sure Kerry would have a view.

MR MUSTON: Q. Is anything else being monitored by the service level branch other than the performance against the KPIs contained in the service level agreement?
A. Well, they oversee the framework, they facilitate the framework, which then enables, you know, areas - other areas of the ministry to escalate into the formal performance management framework that we operate. That's not to say that would be their only course of action. I'm sure Kerry, with examples like that, would be engaging not directly but probably indirectly through her executive directors - with LHDs where trends and outcomes would be of concern to her. But the formal process is the performance management framework that results in non-performance being escalated on either a quarterly or monthly basis, depending on where the district is at.
Q. And that's performance as against the KPIs?
A. Against the KPIs, yes - in those examples, yes.
Q. So in order to get moved through the levels that you tell us about in paragraph 30 - you say level 0 , "nil performance concerns", all the way down to level 4, "health service challenged and failing", those things are measured against the performance by the LHD of its KPIs?
A. Predominantly against its KPIs, yes.
Q. When you say "predominantly", is there anything else that you are monitoring in order to allocate a particular performance level?
A. What - the process by which a recommendation is made to the secretary around escalation or de-escalation, because we do get better sometimes, is that the appropriate executive director, whether it be for finance, for access, for surgery, for safety and quality, for mental health, for Aboriginal health, and that's probably not exhaustive, come together and assess the district's performance in their relative area of specialty and then they would come to a conclusion that if there were a number that were in - you know, they use a colour-code - in a red zone, that's not performing by $X$ percentage points, that sufficient number of those may prompt that group to make a recommendation to the secretary about change in the performance level of that district.
Q. But if an LHD is meeting its KPIs, it will sit at a performance level of 0 , won't it?
A. Generally, yes.
Q. So if it is meeting all of its KPIs, is there any other factor that could take it outside a 0 and have it demoted to a 1 or a 2?
A. It's pretty KPI driven. There are non-KPIs in the service agreement that I'm sure you've seen, things like and this is around strategic direction - around a greater take-up and delivery of service through virtual care means. We've had a concerted effort of expanding hospital in the home - it's been around for donkeys' years, but expanding it at the true marginal cost, which is what virtual care can provide, but with some relatively small capital investment we've enhanced virtual hospital in the home care programs across I think 11 of the LHDs in the last 18 months, and the expectation obviously for return on investment sees an enhancement, and I'm overdue for a report giving that feedback of the second tranche of those LHDs where we invested - in other words, provided funding for investment in additional virtual care equipment - to grow the amount of activity. Because the amount of time obviously taken for - it might be a community nurse or any other health practitioner - to be driving across a district, even metropolitan Sydney, as opposed to providing care services virtually, their productivity is two- and three-fold. So there are non-KPI performance aspects. Would it be a reason for them to be escalated? I doubt it. No.
Q. Has it ever happened, to your knowledge - that is to
say, an LHD meeting its KPIs but it's been reduced to anything other than an 0 performance level?
A. No, I mean, I can get cranky if they don't return on investment, if I strip some capital out of my own branch to fund these programs, which is exactly what I did, but, you know, they are all good eggs and they are trying hard and they all have different impediments to delivering in a time frame that my impatience might want.
Q. It's possible that an LHD could be sitting on a performance level 0 and complying or meeting all of its KPIs but, nevertheless, failing to meet some aspect of the health needs of its population that are not otherwise being met by sources external to the LHD, is it not?
A. I dare say there are some health needs in every district, in every district in every jurisdiction of the Commonwealth, where that would be the case.
Q. And the KPIs - maybe the answer is that it's just very difficult to turn into an empirical KPI, but the KPIs are not necessarily well directed to pick up on that unmet need within a community?
A. That - yes, that could be the case. I'm not equipped to really say.
Q. In terms of the dropping down in the performance levels, as you move down through 1, 2, 3 and 4 , what are the consequences for the LHD for noncompliance or non-performance?
A. For - as they move through escalation?
Q. Yes.
A. Well, it is a lot more than just having to meet with me more often than quarterly. It's often about a performance improvement team going in. We've got some performance improvement teams that are specialised in ED performance, and when I say "ED performance", read "back-of-house patient flow", because the problem is not always in the emergency department, it's often whole of hospital flow. We have specialist teams around - team around planned surgery. We have an efficiency team that goes in and assists districts with a poor budget performance. That's also very much guided by the CFO as well. You know, he sets any priorities about that particular team going into districts where he has concerns around their financial performance. But they go in not as a bunch of accountants; they go in as experienced
operational leaders in the health system that actually know what the levers are that drive costs, and it's not having your head in a general ledger, although that's where the outcome manifests itself.
Q. Can I ask you some questions quickly about the patient safety first unit that you tell us about --

THE COMMISSIONER: Should we take a break?
MR MUSTON: Sorry, Commissioner, yes.
THE COMMISSIONER: Is this a convenient time?
MR MUSTON: It is a convenient time.
THE COMMISSIONER: We will take a break until 5 to 12.

## SHORT ADJOURNMENT

THE COMMISSIONER: When you are ready.
MR MUSTON: Q. If we could scroll back to the statement and maybe have a look at paragraph 32. You tell us there about the patient safety first unit. What I'm interested to know is, what are the advantages, as you see it, of having both the patient safety first unit and the CEC delivering the role that you describe in paragraph 32 ? A. Well, their role, whilst in a macro sense, is the same, their execution is different. Also the relative size - I think there is either three or four staff in the patient safety first unit and it's equipped with people again, very much from the operational side of clinical governance, the head of the patient safety first unit, Sue-Anne Redmond, she's an ex director of clinical governance in the LHDs, and the roles are different inasmuch as the CEC has responsibility for system-wide oversight, it is responsible for trend analysis, deep dives. The role of the patient safety first unit is more around, well, firstly they oversee statewide coronial matters on behalf of the secretary, because a coroner's case may not always be in the clinical governance realm often is but equally may not also be. And they also act on behalf of the secretary around system reviews.

So the CEC, because they've got the resources, they collect the data, they do the analysis on the data, they
would undertake the deep dive, the patient safety first unit would direct the nature of that review in accordance with the secretary's directions.

They also are a very speedy way by which they escalate adverse events, adverse outcomes directly to me, whereas the CEC will collect that but they will provide much in-depth data but not as quickly, if that makes sense. That's not a criticism of the CEC, it's just the nature of the data they are looking at on behalf of the system.
Q. Could we move to the affiliated health organisations and I will ask you some questions about them. You touch on them at paragraph 16 ?
A. Sorry, which one?
Q. Paragraph 16 of your statement. You tell us there that purchasing services from AHOs is managed by the respective LHDs in which they sit, except for St Vincent's. A. Yes.
Q. Just in terms of the AHOs, they broadly seem to fall within two categories, St Vincent's aside - those that provide a supplement to services solely within an LHD, for example, a privately owned hospital that might be delivering some acute care within an LHD as part of the network of hospitals within that LHD on the one hand that's a type of AHO?
A. $\mathrm{Mmm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. On the other, there are AHOs that deliver services across a wider range of LHDs, for example, Tresillian and Karitane deliver services within their LHDs but also across a wide range of LHDs. Can I ask, what role, if any, does the ministry have in decisions around the volume and nature of services that are to be purchased by AHOs, maybe starting with the first category, that is, the hospital that's delivering services, as a hospital, within the footprint of an LHD?
A. And I guess there are AHOs that actually traverse both those examples.
Q. Yes.
A. Royal Rehab is one example. The majority of their services is very much entwined into delivery to the local community of Northern Sydney, whilst they also have statewide remit as it relates to spinal rehab.
Q. We will come back to that in a minute, because in terms of the spinal rehab beds at Royal Rehab, they are delivering rehabilitation services to patients that are not confined to the Northern Sydney LHD?
A. Yes.
Q. And perhaps don't even come predominantly from the Northern Sydney LHD?
A. Mmm-hmm.
Q. Would that be right?
A. Probably. I haven't seen the patient mix but

I suspect you would be right.
Q. Are you aware of Royal Rehab providing any other services, public services, to patients within Northern Sydney LHD, other than the spinal rehab?
A. Wel1, rehabilitation services, they provide. It's been a long time since I've worked with Royal Rehab and their service profile could have changed, but certainly they were a major rehab provider, both public and private.
Q. In the time that you were involved with Northern Sydney as a wider entity?
A. Yes.
Q. Royal Rehab was at that time providing some more general rehabilitation care?
A. Yes, they were.
Q. Are you aware of whether they are stil1 providing that more general rehabilitation care?
A. I believe they did, but, you know, they could have changed.
Q. Not sure?
A. I haven't had cause to do a deep dive into Royal

Rehab.
Q. Coming back to - let's start with the easy ones. An LHD has within its footprint an AHO hospital which is delivering care as part of the hospital network within that LHD.
A. $\mathrm{Mmm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. What involvement, if any, does the ministry have in
deciding the volume and nature of services that are to be purchased from that AHO?
A. Just in that example as opposed to the statewide?
Q. Just that example first.
A. Just that. Well, the performance management framework is the same, in essence, as you have seen for the LHDs and special care networks.
Q. So in terms of service level agreement that the LHD has with the AHO, it's required that the KPIs mirror, effectively, those which are contained in the LHD's -A. If relevant, but given, you know, the boutique nature of some of the AHOs, you know, they are doing stuff that most of the system aren't, which is why obviously the system, you know, engages boutique providers like that rather than create themselves, and I think that's wise. So the framework is applied. The framework is provided but the LHDs actually exercise the service agreement to meet their local needs, staying with example 1 --
Q. Yes.
A. -- and then use the framework. They advise me on an annual basis, just as an agenda item through their performance, the performance management meeting, around confirming that they are exercising the service agreement using the framework, and we do an annual survey in terms of - not that I doubt what a chief executive would ever tell me - but collect evidence to give me the confidence, and we've got a survey - I don't know when it was done but a few months ago now - that confirmed what the arrangements were, what the KPIs were and how it operates, to allow me and my people to confirm that it's operating under the same framework as the rest of the system.
Q. So example 1, small AHO hospital as part of the network of hospitals delivering business as usual treatment within an LHD, how are decisions - decisions are made at the LHD level about how much activity to purchase from that hospital; is that right?
A. Yes, because often it will be, you know, a strategy around moving patients. I know when I was at Northern Sydney Central Coast, the role of Greenwich Graythwaite as part of HammondCare at the time was about ensuring that they provided that flow capacity outside of Royal North Shore where it must cost twice as much to care for a patient under rehab or in palliation, whatever the
circumstances were, than housed in a facility operated by Greenwich Graythwaite, as it was at the time.
Q. In terms of the price paid for the activity, is that price the state efficient price which is applied or is it the NWAU?
A. Well, we all operate on the state efficient price.
Q. Including the AHOs?
A. I was just about to say, we all operate on that. How that is translated into the AHO's service/funding agreement, I would need to get some advice on. I don't want to mislead you.
Q. Let's move to the second category, organisations like, say, Tresillian, Karitane and at least the spinal services of Royal Rehab that are being delivered across a wider footprint than a single LHD. What role, if any, does the ministry have in decisions around the volume and nature of services that are to be purchased from those AHOs?
A. If they are services that are recognised as a statewide service, there is a unit in the ministry under Deb Willcox that specialises in engagement with and analyses activity, demand, but it's not the only source of advice. I mean, a lot of this is, you know, very clinician driven, and they tend to come together through ACI clinical networks, so, you know, specialist providers of that statewide service would come together to give advice to the ministry through that unit in the --
Q. What role, if any, does the ministry have in making decisions around how much an AHO of that second category is to be paid for the services that it delivers?
A. I believe that is largely driven by the LHDs, yes.
Q. So taking Royal Rehab as an example, it sits within, physically, the footprint of Northern Sydney LHD?
A. Mmm.
Q. Delivers services to patients across the state, or at least the northern part of the state, who require rehabilitation services after a serious spinal injury, but decisions around how much to pay Royal Rehab for the services that are being delivered through that facility are made primarily within the Northern Sydney LHD; is that right?
A. Yes, I believe so. You know, I'd need to get that
confirmed, but in determining the funding envelope, I know that, you know, in the Royal Rehab - because, I mean, it is a bigger facility than most of our AHOs and it is kind of unique because it does provide a community role as part of Northern Sydney and it5 also provides, as you pointed out, that statewide role - that they tend to be shielded from things like efficiency targets by the LHDs. So whilst efficiency targets are passed on, you know, by treasury on to health, as it does to many other government agencies, my understanding is the LHDs tend to shield them from that, and I guess I see why. When you are that small, it's a bit hard to actually, you know, take a dollar out of a place without dramatically impacting on the service provision. So I know in the Royal Rehab example, they are shielded by the engagement and the service agreement and funding agreement being developed with the LHD.
Q. Is that something that the ministry requires of the LHDs, or is that just an act of grace, as it were, by the CEs of the LHDs as part of the negotiation process? A. We have no requirement other than treasury obligation that health, as an entity, needs to deliver on whatever those efficiency targets might be.
Q. We've heard evidence from several AHOs that provide services well beyond the LHD with which they have a service level agreement or its loose equivalent - I will just identify them to make sure our understanding of where they sit in the family tree is correct: Karitane provides mother and baby services under a service level agreement which it enters into with South Western Sydney LHD, although the service it delivers extends beyond South Western Sydney's LHD's footprint. Is that consistent with your understanding?
A. That's what I understand.
Q. Tresillian, similar services, albeit through a service level agreement with Sydney LHD and other - an array of other agreements it seems to have with other LHDs for the provision of bits and pieces of service, or the gathering of bits and pieces of funding is probably a more accurate way of putting it?
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mmm}$.
Q. Is that broadly consistent with your understanding?
A. I believe so.
Q. And Royal Rehab we've been through, sits within Northern Sydney LHD. Are you aware that Royal Rehab has not actually had a service level agreement with Northern Sydney LHD for more than a decade?
A. I understand they haven't had a signed service agreement. It hasn't interrupted the flow of funding or activity. They've just chosen not to sign it, and I don't know the reasons why, though.
Q. In relation to the reasons, what we've been told by all of those entities is that the funds provided through the service level agreements which are proffered to them are insufficient to deliver the services that are being required of them under the agreements. Is that something that's filtered through to you?
A. No.
Q. Well, what we're told is that in order to meet the requirements of the service level agreements for those who have signed them, and to meet the prospective service level required of Royal Rehab under the looser arrangement, it's necessary for each of them to call upon their own sources of - alternate sources of funding which is available to them, say benefaction and the like.
A. Which has been a longstanding feature of third schedule, as we used to call them, hospitals. Often they were in the charitable space and they actively engaged in community and other fundraising in order to supplement the services that government has been funding them for, and that has been the situation for as long as I've been in health.
Q. Can I just try and draw this distinction to make sure I understand your answer. A long history of organisations like, say, Karitane and Tresillian, raising their own funds to supplement the services which are being provided pursuant to the service level agreements, by that do you mean to provide a wider array of services to a wider array of people than those strictly required under the service level agreement?
A. Well, it could be either. That's really a decision of that board as a separate legal entity as to where they direct those philanthropic funds into more activity than what the state funds them for or broader activity because they've identified a need or a population demand. I'm sure it would vary from board to board.
Q. But it wouldn't be a particularly satisfactory situation, would it, if the level of activity which was required of these organisations under the service level agreements proffered to them was not capable of being delivered with the funds made available through the service level agreement?
A. Sorry, I didn't quite understand that.
Q. To the extent that the funds made available through the service level agreements are not sufficient to actually deliver the services which are required by those agreements to be delivered, that would not be a satisfactory situation, would it?
A. Well, it's certainly not a common feature of service agreements operating under the state efficient price. Every facility and every service is funded the same way. It's just the volume that varies, which determines the end budget envelope.
Q. Could you explain - so first point, is it the case that the activity that's being acquired through these service level agreements with the AHOs is purchased at the state efficient price? I think we might have gone through that already.
A. I think you asked that earlier. I would need confirmation of that.
Q. Do you know whether any attempt is made to ascertain whether or not, whatever metric is applied to the activity, whether it be the state efficient price or something else, is actually sufficient to deliver the services which are required under the service level agreements with the AHOs? A. Sorry?
Q. Perhaps it was a poorly expressed question. Let's take Royal Rehab as an example.
A. Sure.
Q. Providing highly specialised rehabilitation services to people with severe spinal injuries. The cost of delivering those services is going to be at the upper end of the scale in terms of those services, which broadly fall into the bucket to deliver an average price.
A. $\quad \mathrm{Mmm}$.
Q. That is to say, it's going to cost more than average, the average bed, the state efficient price or even the
national price, to deliver those highly specialist spinal care services?
A. Right, yes.
Q. Is any attempt made to ascertain whether or not whatever money is being delivered to Royal Rehab in that example is actually sufficient to deliver, or meet the cost of delivering the services that are being required of them? A. Well, the principle of activity-based funding or management is the price multiplied by the weight, and the weight takes into account length of stay, complexity and that then will translate into a higher weighting, and so services would be compensated for the nature of service they deliver by recognition of a higher case weight for that activity.
Q. That assumes that the model actually accurately captures the price of delivering the service, or the cost of delivering the service, doesn't it?
A. Well, cost data collections occur twice a year and, for like services, my understanding is they are then averaged and there wouldn't be too many spinal rehab services - I think two or three in the state - and then they would be averaged and then escalated prospectively for CPI, depending on what CPI component, so that there could be circumstances - I mean, it's not a perfect funding system, but it's one that all states and the Commonwealth have signed up to.
Q. The AHOs are private entities and haven't necessarily signed up to the imperfect system, have they? That is to say --
A. Not individually.
Q. -- the AHOs are a deliverer of service into a public system?
A. Yes.
Q. They are a private entity with a board that has all of the usual obligations under the Corporations Act to make sure they are not trading whilst insolvent and the like. So it would not be an entirely acceptable situation or satisfactory situation if they were being required to deliver services into the public system for the public benefit at a cost which was insufficient, or a price which was insufficient, to enable them to do that?
A. Yes. Well, I've not seen any evidence that that is
the case.
Q. And is that because evidence about whether or not that's the case would sit at the LHD level?
A. Predominantly, yes, and, you know, that's not to say the LHD wouldn't do anything. If they accepted the evidence - and I don't know whether they have or haven't then there is an engagement opportunity through the statewide services branch and/or finance in the ministry. I'm not sure which of those they would choose to take or would be the most appropriate one to take that to.
Q. The LHDs themselves are under significant budgetary pressure?
A. Mmm.
Q. To deliver the essential services that they are required to deliver within what I think we've already canvassed is an insufficient envelope, budgetary envelope, to meet all of their health needs, and so to the extent that it's the LHD that's delivering or engaging in the negotiation with AHOs around funding, would you accept that they are not well incentivised to assess whether or not an AHO should be paid more to deliver activity than they are paid?
A. Well, I guess, two things - one, I mean, I've given the example in Northern Sydney where they've shielded them from treasury efficiency reductions and for reasons best known to that chief executive. But if they were to do that, then it would only be at the sacrifice of other LHD activity that they would need to defund in order to provide supplementary funding to any service - not just, you know, the specialist statewide service, but anyone. It is a matter of priorities and choices.
Q. Is there any reason why at least those AHOs which are providing services across multiple LHDs, like say Royal Rehab, for example, don't have a single service level agreement with the ministry?
A. I've not discussed that concept with Matt Mackay, but my understanding of the health system would be for one unit of that hospital to be operating under a service agreement in the ministry with its own nuances and potentially micro-managing that unit within that hospital I think would be extremely problematic for the organisation and the chief executive but an even bigger problem with that based on past experience in the early 2000s when the ministry
attempted to micro manage mental health as a statewide service. Chief executives were subsequently disinvested in support for mental health service and human beings, as they are in fiscally tight times, ensured that every overhead cost associated with that service was carried by that service and that, I think, would be a major impediment to the spinal service as it was for mental health, and that decision was subsequently reversed after some years, so the chief executives took ownership of --
Q. Mental health is a little bit different, though, isn't
it, because it relates to the delivery of a particular health care service to people within the population of the LHD?
A. Often but not always. I mean, mental health intensive care units often have roles servicing patients. The nature of many mental health, not all, clients is that they move, they travel, they don't stay necessarily within their geographic boundaries, but certainly the MHICUs - the mental health intensive care units - provide broader than LHD roles and some other specialist services that I won't pretend to know all of. Similarly, we don't have all those specialist services in every LHD, so there are a number of services that provide multiple LHD clients with services.
Q. Is there not a risk that, to the extent that a particular AHO is delivering services to a wide array of people outside the LHD, perhaps even through physical premises that are located outside the LHD, that the chief executive of the LHD that, for perhaps historical reasons, they've been aligned to, would be disinvested, to use your language, in that aspect of the services?
A. Anything's possible. I can't think of any examples.
Q. My point is let's say Karitane is delivering services outside of the South Western Sydney LHD and requires funding to deliver those services, there is not a strong incentive for the chief executive of the South Western Sydney LHD to ensure that those services delivered to other people in other LHDs are adequately funded, is there?
A. If Karitane established and engaged with that relevant chief executive where the services were to be delivered for that population and convinced them of that need and their capacity to deliver it, then there is the capacity for the LHD to actually purchase that, but rather than have multiple service agreements and purchasing tools, I suspect - not knowing, but suspect - it would be a means
of funding back through the home LHD for that service agreement and budget envelope.
Q. So in that example, Karitane - to stick with that example - delivering services in another LHD, if Karitane persuaded the chief executive of that other LHD of the value of their services, that other LHD could either purchase them through a separate service level agreement or, alternatively, through some meeting of the minds, persuade the South Western Sydney LHD chief executive of the benefits of providing more money to Karitane, which would involve some sort of financial swings and roundabouts between the LHDs?
A. Yes, and there are movement of funds between LHDs for other examples of needs.
Q. Would it not potentially be a better way of managing those relationships for there to be a single arrangement between the AHO that is providing those services across multiple LHDs and the ministry so that the ministry can form a view - sorry, I will let you answer.
A. No great advantage jumps out at me, to be frank.

Being in the centre, we're a long way from community needs and community understandings. Just because we are managed from the centre doesn't mean it's going to be managed any better, particularly in terms of financial advantage, to that AHO.
Q. To the extent that either through liaising with LHDs or through information that is available to it from other sources, the centre might be able to identify where particular needs for, say, mothers and babies' services exist, and then make decisions around delivering the way in which those needs are going to be delivered --
A. Mmm.
Q. -- would it not be potentially more advantageous for the organisations that are delivering those services to have a single point of contact with the ministry rather than a single point of contact and negotiation point with one of the CEs of one of the potentially many LHDs that they are delivering services to?
A. I mean, if it was a statewide service, then yes, there is a branch that actually facilitates that and assists in negotiating volumes and hence price. If it is a service that is not a statewide service, and it is outside that home LHD, then it's really a matter of a funding source
that if the need was recognised and supported by that chief executive at another LHD, there would be nothing to stop movement of what is a relatively small amount of money, in the scheme of things, from one LHD to the other to supplement the service agreement that they are operating under. As I said, it happens not infrequently.
Q. In the Karitane example, the chief executive of South Western Sydney LHD would be in no better position than the ministry, really, in terms of the centralisation of the decision-making, to the extent that it relates to the delivery of services in other LHDs?
A. Unless it's recognised as a statewide service and is fundamentally a primary or quasi secondary care service within that district, the ministry officers would have very little or far less understanding and potential support, being in the centre, rather than being in the district where the population and the proposed services would be delivered.
Q. What is it that enables something to be recognised as a statewide service? What's the threshold?
A. Oh, in broad terms - Deb might give a clearer explanation, but in broad terms, it's low volume, high complexity, high cost.
Q. Can I ask you to go to paragraph 75 of your statement. A. Yes.
Q. Do you see at the end of that paragraph - take your time to read it - at the end of that paragraph you refer to improvements which could be made to the NSW Health performance framework to provide stronger guidance for LHDs when assessing AHO performance. Can I ask, what did you have in mind when you referred to "improvements"?
A. I'd need to take specific improvements that have been implemented on advice.
Q. But when you say there that there are improvements which could be made, did you have in mind any particular change that you thought might be warranted?
A. Well, I guess I'd reflect on an earlier comment I made around what has been custom and practice for many decades, and that given the status, both as independent organisations, their connections with their patient cohorts and communities, that their philanthropic pull is much, much stronger than a public hospital, and particularly
those organisations that are mission driven, I think they can actually deliver better in some cases than the public health system.
Q. We've talked about statewide services. Can I take you back to paragraph 21 where you refer to the fact that some statewide services are specifically funded, and you give the example of the heart lung transplants.
A. Yes.
Q. So moving away from the AHOs now - and the answer may be the same as the one you just gave - what is it that enables something delivered wholly through the public system to be identified as a statewide service? Is it the same answer you gave earlier, or is there something else?
A. Yes, Deb would understand the detail better, but certainly the ethos of that unit that oversees statewide services is not to disadvantage the LHDs that just might, by chance or in history, be hosting some of these statewide services that are high cost, and they are servicing the whole population, which is why, you know, specific activity and hence weighted NWAU is funded into the service agreement on advice from that branch.
Q. We heard some evidence last week from individuals involved in the delivery of traumatic brain injury rehabilitation and spinal cord injury rehabilitation respectively. Have you apprised yourself of that evidence as part of your preparation for today?
A. I got a summary from the staff. I have not read the entire transcript.
Q. Are you aware of whether either of those two services are specifically funded as a statewide service?
A. I thought spinal cord injury was. I don't know about brain injury.
Q. Those involved in the delivery of both services have said that implementation of a hub-and-spoke model with centralised decision-making around resource allocation and deployment, including a body of funding which attaches to those decisions, would be of utility. Do you have a view about that?
A. That was in the summary. I fail to actually see what utility that will provide them, given that engagement with the ministry is available through a number of ways. Their chief executive - don't discount the influence and access
the chief executives have in the ministry; the branch that oversees statewide services; and in the clinical network, that is probably as, if not more, influential than the other two, through the ACI clinical networks.
Q. But would there not potentially be an advantage in having a central body making decisions about where to, say, purchase beds and what type of beds should be purchased by which I don't mean physical beds - for rehabilitation, for example, relative to the needs across the state? A. Yes, my understanding is that that advice is obtained through the clinical networks into that branch that actually makes recommendation to Deb on any changes, growth - changes to models of care in particular. So I mean they have that utility now by using the existing structures under Deb and the ACI.
Q. This might be a question for Ms Willcox, but it's also suggested that a single point of data available in relation to all patients within the system who might, for example, have suffered from a severe spinal injury, would be useful, because it would enable decisions to be made about where to move patients, where beds are available, where they are becoming available and the like. Is that something - was that part of the briefing?
A. Well, given my earlier comments in relation to data, I would be in furious agreement with you, if that's the case, and I actually don't know the data position for that particular statewide service. But, I mean, if it is anomalous and if we're not debating widgets with widgets and apples with apples, then we should fix that.
Q. Bringing it back to the practical, if you have - let's deal with spinal injuries. An individual suffers from a spinal injury, could be at any location in the state. They will be taken to a trauma centre initially and stabilised; correct?
A. May be the case.
Q. That trauma centre could again be located within a range of hospitals within the state?
A. Yes, we have designated trauma centres in the state, yes.
Q. Once stabilised and perhaps having spent some time in a ward, that patient will be ready to progress to a rehabilitation phase of their recovery?
A. Mmm-hmm.
Q. It would be useful, would it not, if those involved in the delivery of the spinal rehabilitation services had a real-time view of exactly how many patients there were in the system approaching a need for rehabilitation and exactly the nature of their injury, you would agree?
A. Mmm-hmm.
Q. And the stage in their recovery that they were at, relative to when they might be entering a rehabilitation facility?
A. Mmm.
Q. Do you agree?
A. Yes, I'm not familiar with how it operates across the various brain injury units - sorry, spinal injury units.
Q. Conceptually, though, that information would be a useful tool, would you not agree, for those managing the rehabilitation of spinal injury services in New South Wales?
A. Conceptual1y, but when you think about the number of specialties and sub-specialties that exist in health care across a jurisdiction as big as New South Wales, that happens by nature of clinicians referring to clinicians, clinicians knowing where the specialist services are - and many of those specialties and sub-specialties don't have forma1, estab1ished networking arrangements other than what's developed amongst the lead clinicians - in this case of the three centres - who could readily come together, and I suspect they do, otherwise they wouldn't really be recognised as being a statewide service.

If what the assertion is is that there is a gap in patients getting access or being referred, then that's really around clinical referral to clinical receiver, if you like.
Q. I think that they make three points. The first is, there are insufficient rehabilitation beds available to meet the need - albeit a small need, it nevertheless is larger than the available rehabilitation beds. That's one point they make, both in the case of spinal injury and traumatic brain injury. The second point they make is that the ad hoc communication and referral amongst clinical colleagues is not really a sufficient way of sharing
information and managing patient flows. And the third point they make is that a lack of centralised decision-making around how the services are best to be funded and delivered is something which compromises the quality of the service which is being delivered. Taking those three in order, do you have a response to them?
A. Oh, I'm not close to the detail to judge the efficacy of those arguments at all.

MR MUSTON: Thank you. I have no further questions for this witness, Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Mr Chiu?
MR CHIU: No questions.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Thank you very much for your time. We're very grateful. You are currently excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
<THE WITNESS WITHDREW
MR MUSTON: The next witness is Deborah Willcox, again.
<DEBORAH LEE WILLCOX, affirmed:
[12.38pm]
<EXAMINATION BY MR MUSTON:
MR MUSTON: Q. Could I get you to state your full name for the record again?
A. Thank you. Deborah Lee Willcox.
Q. You are the deputy secretary, health systems, strategy and patient experience, within the Ministry of Health?
A. That's correct.
Q. A role you have held since September 2022?
A. Yes. Thank you.
Q. You have held a range of other roles within the health system prior to that, including as the chief executive of the Northern Sydney Local Health District for a time?
A. That's true.
Q. When did you hold that role?
A. From - I was acting from March 2017 for four months and then was formally appointed when it went to recruitment in November 2017.
Q. You have prepared a statement to assist the Commission, dated 9 Apri1 2024?
A. Thank you.
Q. Have you had a chance to read and refamiliarise yourself with that statement?
A. I have indeed, thank you.
Q. Are you satisfied that the contents of it are, to the best of your knowledge, true and correct?
A. It is.

MR MUSTON: In due course, Commissioner, that will form part of the bulk tender.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.

MR MUSTON: In saying that, that due course will come this afternoon, I am told by those that know.
Q. Perhaps if we can have the statement brought up on the screen, or if it is easy for you to use your hard copy, you can look at the screen, your hard copy or the big screen over there, whichever works best for your eyes.
A. Thanks.
Q. Could we go forward to paragraph 8.
A. Yes.
Q. You tell us there that the health system strategy and patient experience division is made up of six different portfolio areas or branches. I just want to ask you some particular questions at the moment about the health and social policy branch. If we jump forward to paragraph 19, you tell us that the purpose of that branch is to improve the health and wellbeing of people and partners with government and non-government agencies to develop equity focused policies and programs for the New South Wales health system.

Now, if $I$ could jump forward again, that branch is divided up into a number of units, one of which you tel 1 us at paragraph $20(d)$ on page 9 of your statement is the
community care and priority populations unit. After that long introduction, can I ask, how does that unit engage on a day-to-day basis with the services which are delivered by LHDs?
A. Thank you. The policy areas that come from team around identifying vulnerable populations, and the ones that I've highlighted in my statement include LGBTQI+ communities, so as way of example, the team would then consult with the relevant advocacy groups, local community groups, LHD teams, and develop the policy framework that supports better access to health, noting some of the health issues that confront these communities, and set the policy framework which then would inform the service delivery that an LHD may do in providing services to this part of the community, and from that, there are a number of measures that fall into the service agreements around priority populations that may be impacted by hepatitis C, by HPV, you know, a variety of screening measures. So that would be one example of a policy framework.
Q. So that's the priority populations bit of the unit. What about the community care part of the unit, is the title deceptive in that it only relates to the provision of community care to these priority populations, or are there two separate strands of work?
A. They are probably interwoven. It is a broad heading but probably, in relation to this unit, it would refer in part to the NGOs, the non-government organisations that this part of the branch has a policy, oversight and supporting role, and they are providing, in the main, care within the community.
Q. You refer there in subparagraph (d) to one of the aspects of the work of the unit being the delivery of primary care. What is the unit's role in relation to primary care?
A. So it is the policy, not the service delivery component for primary care. If we take a broad definition of primary care, it would include general practice, which is clearly a federal government responsibility. In our context, it would be within our community health centres, where nurse-led models of care, allied health professionals and other population health, health promotion activities, occur in our community health centres, and some of the policy frameworks that this unit is responsible for, setting the framework for the nature of those services that would be delivered in our community health centres.
Q. Are you familiar with the statutory purposes of the LHDs as set out in section 9 of the Health Services Act? A. I am.
Q. Those purposes do seem to strongly overlap with the objects of the health and social policy branch, as you have referred to in paragraph 19.
A. (Witness nods).
Q. In terms of performing those purposes, and in particular, the promotion, protection and maintenance of the health of the community, would you agree that an understanding of the detailed health needs of the community within an LHD is critical?
A. Could you just say that last bit again, I just couldn't hear you properly.
Q. In terms of performing that function and, in particular, the promotion, protection and maintenance of the health of the community, would you agree that a detailed understanding of the particular health needs of the community within the LHD is critical?
A. If I may frame the answer that at a state level, we are looking at population health and some of this, as you would understand, would be in the domain of the chief health officer, but some would fall into policy frameworks as some of the ones you have highlighted in my statement.

The local health districts themselves, most of which would have a population health or a health promotion strategy which would be linked to the statewide population health activities, that then would set their service model framework and approach to caring for the people in their community and the population health needs of their demographic. So I see it as a hierarchy of activities, and I don't think - it's not a disconnect between what they are required to do; it is just the nature of what we're doing is different. We set a framework and they are about service implementation and local community needs.
Q. So from the point of view of the LHD in performing its statutory function, it's critical that the LHD makes a careful assessment of the health needs of the population that it is serving?
A. Correct, yes.
Q. And your point is that, in terms of the work done by your branch in setting policy, it doesn't necessarily need to have that same detailed understanding of the health needs of each LHD that hovers around within the health system?
A. It would be fair to say that within the local health district populations there is always going to be some differences, and you would want local health districts in our devolved model to be making sure they are designing services and implementing care that meets the needs of that community. But if you take an example, for instance, around, for instance, you know, let's say smoking, that is not in my division but as an example, you know, there is a national strategy for health prevention which includes cessation of smoking. At a state level, we have a suite of policies as part of the local health districts, so Dr Chant would be responsible for, and the local health district would ensure that they had smoking cessation policies and services within their population groups, and that may need some targeted activities, perhaps in multicultural communities, Aboriginal communities. So the policy and the aims are the same but the delivery model may change depending upon the community that you are in.
Q. So in identifying all of the particular health needs of the population that exists within an LHD, it's also important, is it not, for the LHD to make an assessment of the extent to which those needs are being met by services delivered externally to the LHD?
A. You would be referring perhaps to non-government organisations and other partners.
Q. So take, for example, primary care.
A. Yes.
Q. An assessment needs to be made of the health needs, the primary health care needs of the population as part of the LHD's performance of its function. Having identified those needs, stage 2 is to have a look at the extent to which those needs are being met by something external to the LHD --
A. $\mathrm{Mmm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- like the private GP market, for example. Would you agree that those two integers or those two steps are important steps for the LHD in performing its statutory function?
A. Most definitely. But they would be somewhat iterative as well, because in a local health district, if you were designing your population health plan, you would be engaging with your local general practice or primary health network, you would be working with local advocacy groups, particular, you know, health NGOs and the like, to garner as much information as you can about your local community and what other services and activities were going on that you should take into account as you are designing a population health plan for your community. It really is not an LHD-alone in terms of how we care for our citizens, it takes these partnerships and connections in community, and local health districts best know those communities. So I agree, they are very important components to bring together, but they would be iterative in terms of developing a plan and how those services are provided.
Q. So very important components to put together. As to the information that one needs to draw together in order to do that, it's coming from a disparate array of sources including the PHN, information available to the LHD itself, and no doubt many other sources?
A. Yes. It would be a process of learning and understanding, gathering information and data. The LHDs themselves hold a huge amount of information around their populations and they would also work with the ministry to access the relevant information from the ministry to support that work as well. So, again, it is a hierarchy or a tiering of activity, but they are linked.
Q. What is the assistance provided by the ministry in relation to gathering data on each of those two things, assessment of health needs on the one hand, extent to which those health needs are being met by sources external to the LHD on the other?
A. If I - an example of, if you are going to start a planning process in a local health district around the health needs, and if we keep it, say, on population health, so we're talking about things around healthy diet, exercise, obesity, smoking, drug and alcohol and the like, the process is one in which, as I said, you would connect with your own clinical teams and your local community and consumers; you would have a stocktake, to use a crude term, of the services you're currently provided - all of the measures that are showing you how you are tracking against all of these things, which you will have seen in the service agreement a number of them are outlined there.

You would then need to interface with the ministry in terms of what are the strategies that are being determined from a national level through to the ministry, you know, what datasets the ministry holds. Again, these are domains more of the chief health officer, but I guess as a matter of course, it would be - it would not be done in isolation. You would be joining up on those things, getting information from there to frame your strategy and make sure you are consistent with the state, but again, capturing those local needs from your community and other partners up into the strategy so the two meet.

So you will have some bespoke activity and maybe bespoke data that is important to your community, but not so in a neighbouring community. But in theory, as a group of local health districts, that strategic, I guess, framework around what are the health needs and what are our priorities that we're attending to should be very well aligned.
Q. Taking that aligned information to the next step of clinical services planning, by which I mean what particular services are to be delivered by the LHD, come back to our two important pieces of information - what services are required by the population. You agree that that's something that they, obviously enough, need to make an assessment of?
A. $M m \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. The second step, to what extent are those services being met by sources other than the LHD, for example, the GP market?
A. (Witness nods).
Q. So taking those two things, if the LHD identifies gaps, what assistance, if any, is being provided by the ministry in terms of informing the way that those gaps are filled by the LHD? Perhaps let me take it - that was a very poorly expressed question. Let me take it back a step. The LHD looks at the services required of the community?
A. $\mathrm{Mmm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Identifies that those services are being delivered, by and large, by services external to the LHD, other than acute care in the hospital setting and the like, but says
"I've identified a pocket of my population here that is not well served or served at all by GPs". So there is a primary health care need within the community which is not being met. The starting proposition: do you agree that it is part of the LHD's function under section 9 of the Act to meet that health need of its community which is not otherwise being met?
A. It probably goes in some part to how you define "primary care", as I said earlier, and general practice is most definitely a key feature of primary care. General practice is obviously under the domain of the federal government. But the relationship of our local health districts to primary health networks and general practice is critical. Our patients move in and out of the system, into general practice, back to us, and there is an inordinate amount of focus on how we actually improve that continuum of care for a patient.

If there is - I use the term "thin market" is one you hear frequently around primary care or general practice, and we are seeing that in all parts of the country, not just in New South Wales or Sydney. Now, noting in a metropolitan region that there is a general practice that is closed or a GP has left, sometimes it will not be so obvious in the immediacy, but in a rural town, that sometimes is very obvious. It may well be the only general practice in town.

So if you are in a rural community, our rural LHDs are - very, very closely work with their general practitioners in the area, and often general practitioners work in our acute facilities as well. I will use the rural example because I think it's more - goes more to your question. If we note a loss of a GP or a loss of a general practice in a town, what do we do then? Because we know then that our patients are going to default to the public health system and have to come to an emergency department, which is not optimal for them and probably not optimal for the emergency department.
Q. Pausing there, a patient who is no longer receiving good continuity of care from a GP and is instead presenting to emergency once they have reached an acute phase of their illness or whatever is troubling them is not someone who is being well served in terms of their health needs?
A. I would say that. That is a true comment, yes.
Q. So I think I interrupted you. You have got that situation where, accepting that every rural town will be different and there will be different levers that might be pulled in each of them to try and restore quality primary health care to the community, but to the extent that you encounter one of the communities that I think you were coming to where there is no GP and no lever can be pulled which is going to provide a market of GPs in that community, what does the LHD do?
A. This is a topic that has been of considerable discussion and debate, well, for a very long time. I guess one of the more recent events has been initiated by the federal government through the Strengthening Medicare Taskforce looking at workforce issues and incentives and better, you know, primary care for citizens with chronic disease, for instance, and getting multidisciplinary teams in general practice. So there is a raft of work coming down from the Commonwealth.

Intersecting that is, we're right now in the middle of negotiating a national reform agreement, and this very discussion around thin markets in primary care is a centrepiece of what we will be working through as we work through an interface schedule on the current - on the soon-to-be developed National Health Reform Agreement.

One of the things that was just, again, literally last week - how can we avoid situations where we suddenly find out a general practitioner is leaving. Now, sometimes that will happen because people have, you know, something happen in their life and they have to leave at short notice, but in the main there should be an opportunity to succession plan and to manage a transition so we don't find ourselves in these situations.

If I come back to a macro level then again with the Commonwealth, the primary health networks are the principal interface for local health districts to engage with general practice, and that has had varying success, I think it would be fair to say, across the state. Many would say, and I would probably agree, that some of those relationships have been more effective than others, but basically it's been relationship driven.

In my role as the deputy secretary responsible for our positioning in health reform, one of the things we've been talking to the Commonwealth about is what are some of the
levers that you can provide a PHN to strengthen that interface with general practice? How do we support general practice better and how do we manage when we find ourselves in particular parts of the country where markets are starting to look thin and problematic, and how do we, you know, jointly escalate these things and work on a solution for the community and also for the acute public health system? It is in all of our interests that these things are managed well.

So I take your point that our stewardship of the health system requires us to ensure the care of the citizens in our area in whatever form that takes, and the public health system will always be the default for where there is failures in other parts or issues in other parts of the sector that are unable to deliver care for whatever reason.

But our other job and my role is to make sure that we work with our Commonwealth partners to see what can we do and what are some of the actions we can take to strengthen the system, to mitigate that risk to community, and as I said, the continuum of care between primary care and the acute sector is something that we are very, very aligned with on the Commonwealth and I'm hopeful that we can actually make some good progress in reform in this area.
Q. Because dealing with situations where, to use your term, the GP market has become so thin that it's not actually delivering on the health needs of the community, it is something that should be a feature of the service planning process that you describe in paragraphs 45 to 52 of your statement, would it not?
A. Again, they are critical partners in care delivery, and I don't wish to sound, you know "Oh, it is theirs, and this is ours", but ultimately general practice is in the legislative and regulatory domain of the federal
government, and for us to lean in on that would require us using state funding, not that we could, because it's not our area to do, but it would require us diverting resources into an area that's not our domain and I think, you know, working with the primary health care networks to strengthen these relationships, to do joint planning, to have better insights into what is happening in general practice, where we're seeing areas where there, you know, are staffing issues or a risk in a community, it's much better to have a joined-up approach where we can work with the

Commonwealth and see what interventions are possible. And again just going back to the Strengthening Medicare Taskforce work, it will take some time to play out. You probably heard the federal minister today pleased with the bulk billing rates increasing across the country, but it will take some time for some of these reforms to filter through, but they have the optimum goal of dealing with things that you have described, that we want to strengthen and bolster the primary care general practice system because it is better for patients and it also means that the acute hospital setting is doing what it is designed to do and that's to do with acute care.
Q. When you say that the primary care is not part of the state's domain and it is part of the Commonwealth's domain or responsibility, the Commonwealth has an obligation to deliver funding through the MBS system?
A. MBS system, yes.
Q. But it doesn't actually have a positive service delivery obligation itself - that is, the Commonwealth. It provides the funding - rather, it provides the funding source to a private market?
A. But they are responsible for the policy around primary care, hence, again, the Medicare task force work. So they look at the federal government has a role in workforce, in retention, incentive payments, information systems, you know, working with the colleges. That is all in the remit of the Commonwealth Government when it comes to general practice.
Q. To the extent, though, that that market-based system, through perhaps the best delivery of that policy framework as is possible, is not delivering primary health care to citizens living within LHDs, it falls back to the LHD, as the deliverer of services, to deliver that care, does it not?
A. It doesn't fall back on us to provide general practice, but our role is to care for the citizens that come in to our services, and if the most unfortunate sequelae of a depletion of general practice means that somebody is unwell and has to come into an emergency department, that might have otherwise received earlier care, that is something that we all need to work to avoid, and hence the work we're doing with the Commonwealth, with PHNs. We have general practice represented on many, many of our committees in the ministry so that we work together
and understand their needs and how we can better manage patients in a more effective way. We have a joint group that I chair with our state PHN representatives. We've done some joint planning together on sort of key measures that we want to see improve the population's health.

So we can work together on a policy level, we can escalate together, we can work with the Commonwealth on what we think needs to be done and contribute in Commonwealth and state forums around this, which we actively do, and work with the Commonwealth to implement some of the things that they want to do, but ultimately, if it comes to employing a general practitioner, that is not something the state can do.

Now, we have done a number of things that have been I guess we'd call them workarounds, to try to help in situations where a thin market is potentially impacting on a community. You will have undoubtedly read about the section 19(2) exemptions to allow MBS to apply to other forms of health practitioners. There are things that we can do together, and as I said, in rural and regional areas with MPSs, slightly smaller populations, there is probably a little bit more room for innovation, but just the same, we're unable to recruit to general practice.
Q. But it is possible, is it not, to employ a salaried staff specialist to deliver that primary care and then, thereafter, it becomes a question of negotiating with the Commonwealth about potentially providing access, through 19(2), for example, to the MBS funding for the delivery of those services that the market is failing to deliver?
A. The employment of doctors to work in general practice is not something that we would do. Yes, there are areas where there are issues with general practice, there is no doubt, as I said, mostly in rural and regional areas. Our preferred approach would be to work with the local PHN and the Commonwealth to see what we could do or what they could do to support a general practice or a community in that regard.

MR MUSTON: I note the time, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: We'11 adjourn until 2 o'clock.
Thank you.
LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, when you are ready.
MR MUSTON: Q. I think before lunch I was asking you some questions about the possibility that LHDs might step in to the provision of primary care where the GP market was so thin that it was not delivering.
A. Mmm.
Q. Your response a few times was that the LHD, or the Ministry of Health, doesn't go about employing people in general practice. I just want to make clear in case there is any cross purpose, I'm not suggesting that LHDs would be employing people to go and work in a private general practice somewhere within a town but, rather, that the primary health care services, traditionally delivered by a GP, might potentially be delivered by a salaried employee of NSW Health delivering services through one of the LHD's facilities in the town or community where there is no GP cover. Does that change in any way your answers to those questions?
A. There are some examples where that has occurred. The Balmain GP casualty could be an example of that. There are emergency doctors in there, but there is general practice within Balmain hospital, and that is used in that regard.
Q. In that example, is that used to provide good continuity of care for patients who might attend that service regularly, or is it more in the nature of an urgent service, an urgent care service?
A. It is primarily as an urgent care service. I'm a little rusty on the history, but way back when the services being provided at Balmain changed from being an acute hospital with an emergency department, as part of that, managing community's expectations at that time, was to continue to provide some sort of urgent care or casualty type service, and so there is a role there currently with GPs. And so it's not - it's mostly used like sort of a I think an urgent care centre is probably the best way to describe it.
Q. So are you aware, with the Balmain example, has there been a negotiation with the Commonwealth about freeing up MBS money?
A. It is not an MBS model; it is wholly and solely run by the local health district.
Q. We've heard about the four-Ts model as well. That's another area in which LHDs have stepped in to the provision of primary care. In relation to the four-Ts model there has been a section 19(2) exemption granted, is my understanding right?
A. That's correct. I'm not close to the four-T model. My colleague, who is a deputy secretary for regional health, would have a lot more detail around that, but yes, it is principally a section 19(2) exemption.
Q. Did your division have any involvement in the negotiations with the Commonwealth around that exemption? A. I don't know the answer to that directly. My understanding is that the application was made by the local health district. We may have provided some advice and guidance along the way, but I'm not aware that we - what the extent of our role in that was.
Q. To the extent that a local health district perceived there to be a gap in the delivery of primary care which needed to be filled, am I right in understanding that from a funding perspective, it would be teams within the government relations branch which would be negotiating with the Commonwealth about accessing MBS payments for such services?
A. The government relations branch doesn't deal with direct negotiations with the Commonwealth on a particular general practice where there might be an issue. Our role in government relations branch is really more at the policy, strategy and funding level, when we are working through agreements with the Commonwealth or cross-jurisdictional agreement, for instance, with Albury Wodonga, or the ACT. They don't get involved in, I guess, immediate operational funding or staffing issues in that way.
Q. Is there any discussion happening between the Commonwealth and members of that branch about the adjustments to the accessibility of MBS money to enable primary health care to be delivered by LHDs where the market is so thin that GPs are not capable of delivering it, that you are aware of?
A. No, we're not having those sorts of discussions. As I mentioned, we are currently in an active negotiation with the Commonwealth around national health reform, and it is the interface issue with primary care is one of the most, should I say, lively discussions we are having with the

Commonwealth, and that - the point of that is to try and unlock some of the current barriers, some of the disincentives and some of the other reasons that might lead to a market failure in a particular community, and what we're trying to do is to work with the Commonwealth to identify what are all the policy and structural things in their gift that could support general practice and mitigate some of the risk that we see, particularly in rural and regional areas. So that's, I guess, the policy work that we do at that level to influence the Commonwealth and its role in general practice.
Q. Coming back to a question I asked a bit earlier, to the extent that those policy efforts and the various gifts available through the Commonwealth are insufficient to develop a market or rehabilitate a market of GPs within a community that needs primary care, are there discussions happening in parallel with these conversations with the Commonwealth around means by which that care might be delivered by LHDs but funded through the MBS system? A. Certainly where there would be a sudden loss of a service in a community - and, again, I think rural and regional communities, it's a much more profound event, particularly in rural and remote communities - if a general practice in metropolitan Sydney closes down, it's almost, you know, not noticed by anybody - perhaps some patients are disrupted and have to find a new general practitioner. But in a small rural or remote community, these changes can be quite profound. So having a mechanism with the Commonwealth to escalate these issues is what we have and what we do, and I think things like the four-Ts, the section 19(2) exemptions, working with our multipurpose services, working with the Commonwealth to, you know, incentivise and promote a particular area to attract people, these are the sorts of things we would do in unison.
Q. In the metro areas, is there anything being done that you are aware of to deal with the provision of primary care to some of the priority populations that you referred to that might not be able to get access to GP services through the traditional markets, even where those markets are not thin, for financial or other reasons?
A. I don't have any examples directly around general practice, but I think when it comes to some of those more vulnerable communities, local health districts meet that need in terms of the nature of the sorts of clinics and
services that we might have for LGBTQI+ communities, hepatitis, sexual health, drug and alcohol, mental health, these types of services are definitely in the domain of our local health districts to ensure equity of access for these vulnerable communities. That's not to say there aren't private providers and general practitioners providing these types of services, but a local health district, in the main, are well equipped to work with these communities.
Q. What about specialist services provided through public outpatient clinics? To the extent that the LHD perceives there to be a need for clinics delivered to members of the population which is not being met by a private market, do you know whether there is any - well, what, insofar as you are aware, is the process for the LHD standing up public outpatient clinics to meet that need?
A. I apologise if I'm not going to answer this question exactly what you are needing. Public outpatient clinics are a longstanding service of the public health system and are there for members of the community that can't afford, perhaps, or choose not to access private specialists, so go to a rooms and pay sometimes out of pocket and see a specialist after they've had an operation, or when they have got a medical condition that requires specialist care.

The outpatients clinics or the ambulatory care clinics that exist in our local health districts are there to support public patients who either by choice or by circumstance would not go to a private specialist. So it's less about a market, a thinning of market, as such, but a combination of options for care that are provided by private and public sector.
Q. Looking at our rural and regional areas, there are, we're told, in some areas significant shortages of specialists, which means getting access to, even if you want to, a private specialist in rooms is not something which is necessarily achievable. Is that something which is being assessed by LHDs as part of their planning, do you know?
A. The question is probably moving somewhat outside of my direct responsibilities. The lack of specialist services has got - there is a range of reasons for that, and being in rural areas and remote is always, you know, a difficulty. The pipeline coming through, through the colleges, what the quantum available to the sector - you know, it is a multi-factorial reason why there may not be
enough in an area. But if we take a rural or remote area, some of the things that we do in local health districts are things such as virtual care. That might be a mechanism where a patient can be referred to a specialist in Sydney when they don't have access to that sort of specialist locally, and avoids travel, where clinically appropriate. Yes, there are multiple examples of virtual models of specialist care that we've grown exponentially, really, since COVID and they have been a fantastic mechanism to enable access to services that people might not otherwise have. It is unlikely that a small rural town will have access to all of the specialists that might be needed at a given time for a community.
Q. And in terms of funding those sorts of adaptation or services, is it, at least at the moment, driven by an ability to identify activity in the activity-based funding sense, generated by the delivery of the services?
A. I might ask you to just explain that question again for me.
Q. So it is identified that there is a need to provide some specialist services through the LHD.
A. Mmm-hmm.
Q. You have given a number of examples of ways in which that might happen, be it remotely, virtual care and the like?
A. Mmm-hmm.
Q. Those services still need to be funded.
A. Yes.
Q. What is the mechanism by which those services are funded, or mechanisms, if there is more than one?
A. So our public outpatients are funded by the local health district. They are - the medical teams that work in there are staff specialists or VMOs, but principally staff specialists, with nursing and allied health, which are all employees of NSW Health. Activity is provided through the service level agreements to the districts for the range of services that a district would provide, including ambulatory care and it is described or purchased under the ABF and the NWAU mechanism of non-admitted activity and NWAU allocated to an LHD to distribute for them to provide public outpatient services.
Q. So in the case of, say, your virtual care specialist clinic, it is set up in a way that delivers, at least for the purposes of the LHD, some activity, which is then purchased through the funding mechanism that travels up to the ministry; is that right?
A. There is a slight difference around the virtual care. At the moment, in the discussions with the Commonwealth, because virtual care is not being costed by the independent health and ageing pricing authority, we don't have an NWAU bucket for virtual care. So local health districts are funding those from within their budget and, again, as part of our negotiations with the Commonwealth, we're working to see what other services are what we describe as in scope for a contribution from the Commonwealth, and virtual care is one of those things that we are actively working with the Commonwealth on and they are looking at very positively. So that would mean if you provided a virtual in-reach into another community or into an aged care facility, the Commonwealth would then come with a contribution to the state to enable that service to be provided.
Q. Could I move to another topic now and ask you about the AHOs. We've heard some evidence from three AHOs that provide services across a range of different LHDs Karitane, Tresillian and Royal Rehab. Are you broadly familiar with the work of those three organisations? A. I am, yes.
Q. Have you, in preparation for giving evidence today, apprised yourself of evidence that has been given to the Commission by the individuals representing Karitane, Tresillian and Royal Rehab?
A. I have, yes.
Q. As you will know, each have reported that the funds that are provided through the service level agreements that they are proffered by the LHDs that they are associated with are insufficient to meet the cost of delivering the services required of them under that agreement. Could we perhaps start with Royal Rehab.
A. $\quad \mathrm{mm}$.
Q. In your capacity as a CE, or former CE of Northern Sydney LHD, did you have dealings with Royal Rehab? A. Yes, multiple.
Q. And the period of time that you were the CE there, Royal Rehab did not formally have a service level agreement; is that right?
A. That's correct. Yes.
Q. How was that situation allowed to develop in that way?
A. The Royal Ryde Rehabilitation firstly I need to say provides an outstanding service to very, very complex patients. We were unable to settle an agreement around what funding they felt was appropriate, and as you received and you would have heard in the evidence from my colleague, the CE of Northern Sydney, current CE of Northern Sydney, there is an ABF funding model for Royal Ryde as well as a transition payment, and that transition payment is in recognition of the fact that the complex nature of the care provided to those people with spinal and traumatic brain injury did require this transition grant.

It was obviously disappointing not to have a service agreement in place, but it did not impact on ongoing service delivery, ongoing provision of funding, and an ongoing relationship in terms of the provision of care to the public patients that require that rehabilitation service.
Q. But those services were able to be provided, at least as we're told by Royal Rehab, because it dipped into alternate sources of funding available to it to meet the costs of delivering those services.
A. I'm not aware of that or the nature of that. Again, I am aware of the work that we undertook, or was undertaken, to have an independent review of the costings around Royal Rehab and again --
Q. When was that?
A. Sorry?
Q. When was that independent review?
A. Taylor Fry did a review in 2023 and we had had discussions with - in my time at Royal Rehab in terms of getting some sort of independent review of the costings because we had had a number of negotiations, worked very closely with the ministry to try and get clarity around the data and the activity, and still to no avail, unable to get an agreement on a position. So subsequent to me, that independent review has been done. Now, again, it's slightly outside of my remit, but the advice that I've
received from the LHD, and I think it was provided, was that there was nothing in that review that indicated there was a substantial gap in terms of service provided and funding provided.
Q. Are the AHOs funded on the basis, at least insofar as you are aware, of the state efficient price?
A. No, I don't know the answer to that. The funded is tended to be in block funding. I'm not aware of activity so activity purchased from them that is under ABF, but I could take that on notice, if that were appropriate.
Q. So Royal Rehab I think had a component of its funding which was ABF funding?
A. Royal Rehab, yes, sorry, pardon me. Tresillian and Karitane is what was on my mind, my apologies.
Q. So dealing with Royal Rehab and the activity funding that was provided, are you aware of whether that was funded at the state efficient price or at some other price?
A. It would have been at the same price as the local health districts, so the state price.
Q. At the time that you were dealing with Royal Rehab, and you were presumably told by the individuals with whom you were dealing that the moneys proffered under the service level agreement were insufficient, did you take steps - well, when were you first told about that?
A. I couldn't recall the specific date, but fairly early in my appointment I made a point of meeting with all of our AHO and NGO partners, of which there are a number in the Northern Sydney Local Health District. So it would be, you know, likely that in one of those early meetings it was flagged.

We had quarterly performance meetings with Royal Ryde Rehab where there was an opportunity to talk through all manner of things including funding and undoubtedly it was raised. We did, as I said, have a number of discussions with them both internally, our finance team and our activity team working with theirs, to try and work out what the issues were and where there was a delta and what they thought and what we thought and if there was any sort of compromise position we could come to. I think there was some additional funding, or at least funding was not - they have a part of their service called Weemala, which is sadly the number of patients there is slowly shrinking.
Q. Diminishing?
A. Diminishing. And we, in good faith, at the time, and I think that has continued to, fund that at the same rate, even though the number of patients changes as basically just a step of good faith. We know that we can't get agreement on the funding model, we think we're okay, but we'll do this to support you in the meantime and, as I said, I think that's continued.
Q. Conceptually, putting Royal Rehab and its particular issue to one side, there is no reason why AHOs delivering services into the public system should not receive funds which are sufficient to meet the cost of delivering those services?
A. Yes, I would agree with that.
Q. And it's not the case, is it, that it would be an acceptable state of affairs for those AHOs to have to subsidise the cost of delivering services required of them under the service level agreement by dipping into other sources of revenue available to them - for example, private benefaction and the like?
A. Our intent and aim is to make sure that we pay what is appropriate for the services we are purchasing. Our intent is in no way to undercook that and to leave them exposed. Our obligation is to those public patients, and we are purchasing these services for those public patients. So we are completely committed to ensuring that it is at the right amount.

There is a disagreement with Royal Rehab. As I said, we tried to work our way through that. An independent review's been done. I can't comment any further because I'm not in the district anymore, but that's what I understand.
Q. In your current role, have you had any involvement with the equivalent complaint which has been made by Karitane and Tresillian about what is said to be the difference between the cost of delivering services required of each of those organisations and the funding made available to them under the service level agreements? A. Yes, certainly with Karitane, most recently, we have had a number of meetings - in fact, there is one tomorrow as we try and navigate their financial pressures at this point in time.

One of the first steps in all of this is to understand the reasons, and I think if my colleague, the CE of Karitane, were here, she would be happy if I articulated the issues around declining private health insurance, they've seen a significant drop in revenue for them, and there have been some changes to their shared services model that has also had an additional impact on their expenses. So what we're doing right now is to get into the detail of their deficit, understand what the drivers have been, and we will negotiate with them as we come into this year's funding agreement to make sure that we are more neatly aligned.
Q. In relation to that negotiation, when we're dealing with an organisation like Karitane or, say, Tresillian, that is providing services across a wide range of LHDs, would it potentially be more appropriate for those organisations to have a single service level agreement with the ministry rather than through a particular LHD that perhaps, for historical reasons, they fell beneath? A. Yes, I see the absolute efficiency and logic in that. Some of them have a number of agreements with local health districts, providing different services.

For the statewide services they provide on our behalf, as you are aware, that runs through - if we talk Tresillian and Karitane - between South Western Sydney and Sydney LHD, and they hold that contract for the statewide services on behalf of the state.

We have had some discussions over the past months with both Tresillian and Karitane and the CEs of both local health districts to see how we might streamline some of this work, how we might get more cohesive planning for the year ahead to mitigate against any of these financial pressures that come, and a bit more alignment around the services that they are providing and what we want them to provide under First 2000 Days, for instance.
Q. So in terms of the services provided by Karitane and Tresillian respectively, is there any centralised assessment currently being made of the need for the services and the way in which they should be deployed across the state?
A. We have an annual process with both of the AHOs in terms of what is being provided, the outcomes, their budget
position, and prepare for the next year's service model that they are going to provide, so there is an annual mechanism.

There are quarterly performance meetings with the local health districts, and perhaps my colleague Mr Daly made reference to this, and it's pretty early days, but our intent is to make sure that those performance meetings with those LHDs bring forward quarterly more information around the functions of the AHO and performance and the like so it is not just an annual process.

We do have a very close relationship with both Tresillian and Karitane. I meet with them regularly. We have an NGO committee on which they both sit. I recently established what we're calling a health service association group, so the AHOs, we come together and meet regularly to talk about the statewide or thematic issues that they are all confronting, so that we can try and navigate these things collectively.
Q. In terms of the best way of deploying services, mothers and babies' services delivered by Karitane and Tresillian, for example, the respective LHDs that manage those relationships, South West Sydney and Sydney, are no better placed than the ministry to make decisions about where those services should be delivered and what they should be, to the extent they fall outside their own geographic boundaries, are they?
A. Yes, and so the planning with Tresillian and Karitane about where they might - if they are of a mind - so they have a board and their own executive and they have their own internal planning processes about where service need might be and that's where we come together. So, you know, for instance, Tresillian has recently launched some mobile vans in regional New South Wales, so that will form part of the service agreement with Tresillian that the local health district will oversee, but there needs to be an escalation pathway into the ministry if there are issues, and Tresillian certainly has routine access with us and certainly the chief executive can bring forward any issues and we can work --
Q. I'm just wondering whether maybe cutting out the middle person there might not make that relationship a little bit more --
A. Yes.
Q. -- effective in terms of decision-making around service level and the level of funding that is to be provided for that service?
A. There is definitely some logic to that. There is benefit of them being embedded in local health districts, because they are the implementation arm of the ministry, so being within an LHD and in that environment, there are some benefits to that, and we have started some discussions internally around how we might - I guess it is this sort of - the tight noose or the devolution to central, thinking how we might actually - what might be an appropriate more centralised approach to supporting the AHOs in terms of delivering what they do and simplifying some of the administrative arrangements. So those sort of active discussions are on foot, but being in the LHDs has some significant advantages as well.
Q. We've heard a little bit of evidence around the delivery of some statewide services. Are there advantages in having decision-making and funding of statewide services centralised, as you see it?
A. So in terms of the statewide services, if we're referring to things such as intensive care and transplants and --
Q. Rehabilitation after serious spinal cord injuries, rehabilitation following a traumatic brain injury.
A. Yes. So there have been - traditionally the ministry has had a role in terms of the location and the funding of some of these large, you know - large and complex statewide services. The ones that you refer to, if I take traumatic brain injury, for instance, they - I think it was back in the early 2000s, there was a view that that needed to be a state coordinated service. Fairly fortunately, not high numbers of people were going through this experience - not insignificant, though. Complex care, long-term care.

At the time, there was a statewide directorate stood up to help coordinate that, and then in more recent times that has been moved across into the Agency for Clinical Innovation, and there is a dedicated network around traumatic brain injury.

The role of that network, amongst other things, is around, you know, designing consistent models of care, looking at demand and patient referral patterns, with
a mechanism to escalate those issues as required, conversations with the ministry, so sort of a feedback loop, as it were, to ensure that we are, you know, delivering the level of service that is required to meet demand and need.
Q. The evidence that has been given by individuals involved in the delivery of those two services - the traumatic brain injury and the serious spinal cord injury rehabilitation services - is to the effect that, at least in their view, those services would be more effectively delivered through a hub-and-spoke model, where there was centralised decision-making and funding, albeit with delivery potentially delivered through a range of different facilities coordinated by them throughout the LHDs. Do you have a view about that?
A. Again, I can see the logic of that. Again, the spinal and the traumatic brain injury are delivered slightly differently. So they are spinal injury service, yes, has an ACI network and their role not dissimilar to traumatic brain injury in terms of the work they do and the information they provide to support service development. However, they are what we call supra local health district services and they do sit within the service agreement with activity attached to them for delivery arm through the local health districts that provide spinal services.
Q. In terms of the services to be delivered and the way in which funds are transferred for that delivery, where does the decision-making rest with that, with the supra LHD service?
A. So every year there is a mechanism around the purchasing process, again in my colleague Matthew Daly's team, and so the specialist service technology evaluation unit that sits in my division would provide information, for instance, on intensive care bed utilisation, patterns across New South Wales to see whether the amount of beds we were funding across the system were adequate for demand, and that would feed then into the purchasing agreement and then the appropriate amount of activity would go into that for the LHD then to operate those intensive care services.
Q. Dealing with the, say, spinal injury rehabilitation services, how does that information feed itself into the process that you've described - that is to say, information about the number of beds required and where they should be? A. Could I take that in two parts?
Q. Yes.
A. So for acute spinal injury, it's similar to intensive care services, that there would be an annual purchasing arrangement, a look at demand, forecast population, et cetera, and then the two local health districts that provide acute, Prince of Wales and Royal North Shore Hospital, would be given the amount of activity to care for their patients. Prince of Wales is a little bit different because it has its own rehab service as well. So that's probably a slightly cleaner service for the acute patients.

In terms of the rehabilitation services, again, Prince of Wales provides them, and as you well know, Royal Ryde Rehab does, and there is a - part of that spinal injury network is to look at rehab services. So, again, with the devolution of some of these activities into pillar agencies, the ACI work that they do would bring forward demand modelling, patient flow - they use the patient flow portals so they can see the referral patterns and how much activity is moving through these rehabilitation units - and that would inform the purchasing arrangements, including the work that Northern Sydney would do with Royal Ryde and what the ministry would do with South Eastern Sydney Local Health District to provide their rehab services.
Q. Is it your view that that arrangement, at least at an operational level, provides a sufficiently coordinated mechanism for the delivery of care to patients on a day-to-day basis?
A. Look, I would say there is always, you know, room to do things better. I think the - these 1 inear processes probably don't adequately also describe the level of clinician and chief executive engagement with the ministry around these things as wel1, and with ACI. So, for instance, when I was - if I may just go back to my CE of Northern Sydney role - when there were issues around pressures within the spinal unit, we would work with ACI, we would work with the ministry, we would work with the clinical teams, we would bring forward a business case, it goes through the ministry processes and we got additional activity in our agreement.

Now, I guess that's a reactive approach as opposed to a proactive, but the aim would be of the planning work that's done annually for purchasing and the role of the ACI, that we had an effective coordination, because we have
a good understanding of where our patients are coming from, the demand and what the need is in the system.
Q. I should probably clear up one other issue. In terms of Royal Rehab, is Royal Rehab providing services into the public system which extend beyond the spinal rehabilitation services? Was there any general rehabilitation being provided by Royal Rehab during your time?
A. My recollection is not. They do some brain injury rehabilitation and there were some clinic services as well for rehabilitation, but I think all associated with brain injury, if my memory serves me right.
Q. But general rehabilitation for, say, patients who have suffered strokes and the like are not being delivered by Royal Rehab into the public system?
A. I would have to double-check. I think not.
Q. Can I take you to paragraph 95 of your statement. You tell us there that you are the executive sponsor of Future Health?
A. Mmm-hmm.
Q. To achieve the objectives of that policy, it is necessary for implementation to occur through the LHDs; is that right?
A. And the ministry and the pillars and shared services. It's an entire system approach.
Q. So to the extent that the LHDs are - well, take it back a step. LHDs are an important part of the delivery of the objectives of that Future Health strategy?
A. Yes.
Q. In order to further that, or the objectives of that strategy, each of the LHDs has its own strategic plan?
A. That's correct. Yes.
Q. Do you, or does your team, have an involvement in assessing those strategic plans or reviewing them to form a view about whether or not they do actually advance the objects of the Future Health plan?
A. Yes, we don't - at the ministry level, we don't have a sort of approval role around those strategic plans. They are in the remit of the local health district chief executive and their board. Our expectation, you know, as you would expect, is that Future Health strategy, along
with the many other policies, are taken into consideration and form part a district's strategic plan.
Q. Could we go to the Caring for the Coast plan, [MOH.9999.0866.0001]. Hopefully it will come up on the screen for you.
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recognise that document as the Central Coast LHD's strategic plan?
A. $\mathrm{Mmm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Could we jump forward to page 0008. What you see there, as part of their strategic priorities they largely rehearse a number of the strategic priorities that emerge from the Future Health?
A. Mmm.
Q. Dealing with, for example, community, or in fact dealing with any of them, if we look at them, both the objectives and what are said to be the approach that is to be taken to meet those objectives are cast at an extremely high level, are they not?
A. Yes, they are.
Q. The document then does go forward, if we turn over, for example, to page 0010 - do you see we have there a description of the means by which some of these objectives are to be met, but again, looking at the results statement in the far left-hand corner, that's cast at a pretty high level?
A. $\quad \mathrm{mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Largely repeats what we saw in the first table. The objectives again are difficult to measure. It's not entirely clear, looking at the first of the objectives, what good clinical outcomes means; would you agree?
A. From the brief scanning, yes, I think - I mean, they are high level as a strategic plan. I probably should, if it is alright just to point out, counsel, there is probably a timing issue around this plan and when the Future Health strategy was released. So you will see that the language is very aligned but we didn't have a formal release so the LHDs actually couldn't use the framework as the sort of opening page of their strategic plan that subsequent strategic plans have been --
Q. More closely aligned?
A. -- exactly replicated.
Q. Moving across to the measures of success that they have identified in the far right-hand column, again, looking at it, those things are first difficult to really measure.
A. A number of those measures that are outlined are indicators that we do collect data for that can be measured. So there are, if I'm - in terms of unwarranted clinical variation, patient experience, there is the PROMs and PREMs as it mentions there, and our statewide patient survey. Avoidable delays is looking at wait times. So there are some - they won't perfectly align but there are probably a suite of access and performance measures that could sit up against that list.

I think the document is intended to be obviously for all staff but also for the community to be able to get a sense of what their local health district's aims and objectives are and "How we're going to look after you and how we're going to know that we've done what we intended to do".
Q. Do you - accepting that this is not your document think that the measures of success which are identified there really are any measure of the extent to which the objectives that they have given themselves have been achieved?
A. From just - again, just scanning sitting here, I mean they are acceptable measures that would tell a community how effective their services are. However, they would not be the entirety, and there are many other measures that chief executives and teams would be looking at on a daily, monthly base that would tell the story of how they were tracking against a strategy, but more importantly, against a service capability.
Q. Are you confident that the - well, let me break it up. The CEs of the various districts would be looking closely at the KPIs set out in the service level agreement?
A. I do think that is the case.
Q. And their performance against those KPIs?
A. Indeed.
Q. Do you think that they would be assessing a wider
degree of - a wider range of metrics in order to consider their success or failure as against their strategic objectives?
A. Look, I do. I think it's variable, it depends on what the issue is. If you take quality and safety, for instance - and I can't see - you know, right place for care, there are multiple quality and safety KPIs that, as a chief executive, you keep a very, very close eye on, but you also have directors of clinical governance or directors of patient safety who are also mining this information, tracking things and bringing it forward. We have a Clinical Excellence Commission that is reporting on these things. So it comes from a variety of sources.

But as a chief executive, there will be high level measures. You will watch the trend. If things are staying green, there may still be questions to ask, but if things are starting to move into amber, you will start to ask more questions, and if things are red, you will ask very detailed questions. So I could say with confidence, having been a CE but knowing my colleagues, these are things that they track and monitor very closely.

MR MUSTON: Thank you. I have no further questions for this witness, Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Mr Chiu?
MR CHIU: No questions, thank you, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much, Ms Willcox, we're very grateful for your time. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COMMISSIONER: Do we adjourn until 3.30?
MR MUSTON: 3.30, I think, for Mr Danos - the return of Mr Danos.

THE COMMISSIONER: We will adjourn until 3.30.
MR MUSTON: Oh, he might be outside, we're told.
THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, he is? Well, we won't adjourn until 3.30 , we'11 just keep going.
<THE WITNESS WITHDREW
<TREVOR EGON DANOS, recalled, on former oath:
[2.44pm]
<EXAMINATION BY MR MUSTON:
THE COMMISSIONER: Have a seat. Mr Danos, you are on your former oath. Thank you for coming back.

I should say, if it hasn't been made clear to you, the false alarms for you giving evidence and the reason you have had to come back are entirely my fault. I apologise. But that was largely due to a dental emergency, that I'm still vaguely feeling.

THE WITNESS: My wife is a dentist.
THE COMMISSIONER: That's good to know. But if an infection develops, I will get in touch. Otherwise, hopefully not.

MR MUSTON: Q. I think - I just want to come back to a couple of answers you gave the other day to make sure our understanding of it is correct, Mr Danos. You indicated to us in response to a question I asked you about the extent to which the LHD might step in to deliver some primary care if the market-based model was not working, that there was nothing in the service level agreement that you were aware of about the provision of primary care.
A. Look, there are some primary care services that we do provide, for example, nursing in the home, diabetic wound dressing and so on, but we don't run GP clinics as such. But if there were a failure, if there were not insufficient GPs around or people couldn't make bookings or there weren't bulk billing practices, our expectation is that people would turn up to the emergency department, and that is in fact what happens.
Q. Because the situation is, is it not, that decisions are made at a ministry level about how to divide the available funding resources between the various LHDs?
A. Correct.
Q. And then the devolved nature of the delivery of health care in this state means that it's up to the LHDs, ultimately, to decide how that money is to be spent
within - in meeting the needs of their population?
A. Well, within the service agreement we are given what I might describe a number of buckets of money for different purposes, expressed in terms of NWAUs and the like. There is also a small amount of what $I$ will call block funding. I think we are expected to spend the money for the purpose that it is given, with some flexibility within the movement, but we're not there to take one bucket and redesignate it as another bucket and do something differently with it.
Q. But in terms of the prioritisation of services and which services are to be provided, it is something which is to be determined based on an assessment made by the LHD of the needs of its particular community?
A. Yes, and that would be reflected in the negotiations that would be had with the ministry, where we would be saying that we need - you know, we think we need a certain number of NWAUs for particular activities as part of the negotiation of the service agreement.
Q. But the ministry is not dictating which services are and are not to be provided through that process?
A. Well, look, some - so, for example, we don't do heart transplants at Northern Sydney. If some patient within Northern Sydney required a heart transplant, that would be done in a different LHD. But we have a detailed service agreement which, at the beginning of the year, says these are the services we are expected to perform within the district.
Q. Could we bring up Mr Danos's statement, please, operator. [MOH.9999.0765.0001] and jump all the way forward to paragraph 54 on page 18 ?
A. I've got that, thank you.
Q. You identify there a number of things that you want to highlight. I want to take you particularly to subparagraph (c).
A. Yes.
Q. What's the purpose of that document in terms of your engagement with the CEs --
A. So this started - so there is no requirement under the service agreement or anywhere else for such a letter, but it was something that I conceived quite early on at the commencement of my chairman role, that it is a good way to keep a very focused conversation with the chief executive,
and so we develop one at the beginning of each year and we socialise it with the board to make sure that the board is happy with it; we socialise it with the chief executive, and, going forward through the year, when I meet with the chief executive weekly and on a six-monthly basis when the chief executive puts out a traffic light report - which is separate to the traffic light report that I spoke about on Wednesday which relates to the strategic plan - it's a focus on those, what the board regards as the key activities and priorities within the district.
Q. Could we go to [MOH.9999.1109.0001]?
A. Yes. I've got that, thank you.
Q. That's a letter dated 11 September 2023 from you to Mr Schembri?
A. Yes.
Q. Or Adjunct Professor Schembri. Is this one of the a letter which falls into the category that --
A. Look, this is an unusual letter, because last year was an unusual year. First of all, Anthony only commenced as the chief executive in July, and then, secondly, because well, because Labor was elected earlier in the year, the budget was only going to be held after 30 June, and therefore the actual service agreement would only be entered into after 30 June. So this is a - I will call it a bridging letter pending seeing the final service agreement and I think, after that, I issued a more extensive, probably about a five- or six-page letter.
Q. We'll come to that one in a moment. Could I just ask you to pick up the 11 September 2023 letter. Do you see the first - the second paragraph commencing "I am writing to you now"?
A. Yes. I brought a hard copy with me.
Q. Whichever is most convenient to you.
A. Okay, yes.
Q. I just want to ask, what is it that, in that last sentence of that paragraph, you were needing or inviting Mr Schembri to be on the front foot in relation to, and what is the inevitable --
A. We were expecting a tough budget from the state and that that would be reflected in the service agreement for 2023/2024, albeit starting in September.
Q. So if we move down two paragraphs where you refer to the harsh budget and a correspondingly severe service agreement, that's the essence of what you were referring to?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. When you say "severe", you mean there, do you, a service agreement which provided less funding than might be ordinarily expected?
A. Yes, the expectations of the services that we would deliver were not going to be a surprise. That rarely changes from year to year. But the amount of available funds to deliver those services were expected to be quite a bit lower than in previous years, yes.
Q. When you refer then in that paragraph commencing "This time the State will face", to "customary juggling", what is it you are referring to there as the "customary juggling"?
A. Look, it is a very large budget that we have - it's north of $\$ 2$ billion per annum for our opex, and in the ordinary course, one can find some savings, defer some expenditure, maybe move some money around between buckets as is permitted and, you know, within that framework, hopefully still bring the budget in - still bring the district in on budget.

Those movements might be, you know, half a million dollars here or a quarter of a million dollars here, but still you can move things around. We were expecting the degree of difficulty to do those manoeuvres to be considerably harder with the budget that we were expecting to get.
Q. So by "customary juggling" you weren't talking about withdrawing services which --
A. No, no, no.
Q. -- the community had a need for?
A. No, no, absolutely not. It is just, you know, in the ebb and flow of a $\$ 2$ billion budget, some amounts go up, some amounts go down during the year. You still manage to deliver the services, but you can find maybe some savings along the way or some expenditure that you thought you were going to have in a particular year is deferred or less than you expected it to be.
Q. But that customary juggling, in your expectation, wasn't going to be sufficient in light of what you anticipated to be a severe budget?
A. We were expecting it to be a lot harder than in past years.
Q. And it was your view, you tell Mr Schembri, that inevitably, there would need to be cut-backs, sacrifices and the deprioritisation of service?
A. Yes.
Q. In your view - well, was it your view that the severity of the budget would mean that the LHD was not able to deliver on the objects, on its statutory function? A. No, I don't think that's right. Because, first of all, we were at the beginning of the year and you only really know at the end of the year what you have done and what you haven't done, but it was almost to be on guard that this was going to be a tough year and that we had better be monitoring very closely and rigorously all of our expenditure along the way, rather than maybe hope that part of the way through the year we could do some catching up.
Q. Your reference in the paragraph to "cutbacks, sacrifices and the deprioritisation of services" - I'm assuming that that doesn't refer to services which were not otherwise required?
A. No, no. And in fact, on the top of the next page I give some examples against the bullet points on the second page, of the sorts of things that we were hoping the chief executive would be willing to look at as a way to work within the budget that we had been given.
Q. Was it your view that as a board, you had any capacity to engage with ministry about the extent to which the function of the LHD could be performed within the budgetary envelope that was to be provided?
A. Oh, I would have had some conversations with the secretary, particularly - I think, from memory, particularly around things like dialysis within the district. We were also responsible for a major component of the delivery of services around voluntary assisted dying, and it was critical that we not drop the ball on that in any way, particularly as it was - as the service was going to be launched in December of last year. So yes, I would have spoken to the secretary on those things. But one also, you know, has to be realistic that you've got -
you're working within a budget.
Q. When it comes to cutbacks, sacrifices and services that are to be deprioritised, does the board have a role in that or is that an operational issue which is left to the CE?
A. Look, it's a "yes" and "no" answer. The board ultimately wants the district to come in on budget. The board doesn't want the district to come in at a loss. So that is front of mind for the board in its monthly discussions with the chief executive and in the monthly written report from the chief executive there is highlighted in his report the net cost of service, the operating expenditure and any revenue that might have come in, and we follow that very closely and those numbers are constantly communicated to the ministry and they form part of the monthly performance assessment of the district by the ministry.

So in one sense, yes, the board of course will be concerned about overall financial performance, and I think that's consistent with section 28 of the Act.

At the same time, it's not the role of the board to get involved in operational matters, so it would not be the role of the board to say, you know "Let's stop a particular service", or cut back a particular service, but we would expect the chief executive to keep the board informed if there were any, you know, major or material decisions.

So, for example, you know, we talk about high cost, low value services. We've been talking as a district, the chairs of boards have been talking about that for as long as I can remember, because there are high cost, low value services, and I think up until now the discussion has more been hypothetical, or theoretical, you know, how could we do those sorts of things. The events of last year's budget would have been a prompt to really bring forward those sorts of discussions and see what we might be able to do within that domain.
Q. Because reducing the amount of high cost, low value service delivered isn't really a tough or radical decision for an LHD to make, is it?
A. Well, I think it is in the sense that we have a lot of - you know, we all have staff who are devoted and dedicated and delivering these services, and there is
a very human element to possibly winding back a service or changing the frequency or the scope or - of the particular service. Plus there would be community expectations, if the district has always provided a particular service. So I think it - it's a challenge and it goes to communication and it goes to ensuring that the district maintains a good relationship with its stakeholders, with all of its patients and carers and so on.
Q. Could I just ask you to help us with the fourth bullet point down, "Patient presentations that are likely to lead to fee waivers and bad debt write-offs." What does that relate to?
A. So this is the quite annoying issue that we have people who turn up to the emergency department, often people who are tourists, often who give their permanent address as care of the Qantas lounge and who get quite substantial medical treatment, and then just walk out of the hospital without paying. It's a well-known fact. To my mind it flows from the fact that the Commonwealth regulates visitors to the country, the Commonwealth doesn't mandate health insurance for visitors to the country. Some visitors to the country will be covered under Medicare reciprocal agreements, but we, I think routinely, write off about $\$ 3$ million or $\$ 4 \mathrm{million}$ a year for people who come in and - because we - because we are a health service that doesn't turn people away and we don't ask them, when they come into the hospital, "Are you a resident or a non-resident." We might ask to see their Medicare card but if they are in distress, we will treat them and whatever financial compensation is required will happen later, and as I said, we have this issue where people do come into the hospital, see it as a free service and then walk away.
Q. These are patients who are presenting say in emergency with an acute need for care?
A. Yes, yes, yes, and I should say, it's something that has been taken up by the Council of Board Chairs with the secretary and with the chief financial officer. We're very aware of the quantum and, you know, that $\$ 4$ million or $\$ 5$ million that we might write off for the district annually is four or five million dollars that we think we could spend better or more wisely on the residents of Northern Sydney LHD.
Q. What was the potentially radical decision that needed
to be made in relation to those patient presentations, as you saw it?
A. It's really a conversation with the federal government, between the state government and the federal government. Look, this would not be a unique problem for my district. It would not be a unique problem for our state. Interestingly, you know, one of the areas --
Q. Just in relation to that, you weren't anticipating that Mr Schembri would be having conversations with the federal government, were you?
A. Oh, no, no.
Q. I think just looking at the text of your letter, you're referring to "potentially radical decisions" being made by Mr Schembri in relation to the patient presentations?
A. No, no, no, absolutely not.
Q. What did you have in mind when you referred to "potentially radical decisions" that he might have been making in relation to those patients?
A. Perhaps the bullet point might have been separated out, but this has been a particular bugbear for me and for other chairs, and I think we were very keen that the secretary and the ministry see what was within its power to try to address this. But ultimately, as I said, if the Commonwealth is not cooperating in this, it is very, very hard for the state or for a local health district to have any impact on those matters.
Q. Going down to the next full paragraph commencing "For the two preceding paragraphs", do you see you refer there to the board's encouragement of Mr Schembri to investigate and pursue the use of existing and new technologies to bring about or enhance the desired outcomes. Was that something that you thought was realistic in light of the very tight budgetary constraints that you anticipated would be imposed upon the LHD?
A. Sorry, could you repeat that.
Q. In an investigation and pursuit of existing and new technologies to bring about or enhance a desired outcome something which was realistic in light of the very tight budgetary constraints that you anticipated?
A. Look, I think if the chief executive had identified some new technology that - you know, the classic, I think

I might have used this in a later letter, the classic, you know, spend a penny to save a pound, the board was very supportive of that. We didn't have any particular technology in mind, but we wanted Anthony Schembri to fee1 that he was supported to look at all options.
Q. Spending pennies requires a degree of financial headroom. Spending pennies requires a degree of financial headroom.
A. Yes, it does.
Q. Did you feel that the budget would likely provide that headroom?
A. Well, I think it is part of the, you know, prioritisation that the chief executive would undertake, but we were supportive and we are supportive of the notion of spending a penny to save a pound.
Q. Can we move on to the next letter, which is [MOH.9999.0832.0001].
A. Yes, thank you.
Q. This is the more comprehensive letter that I think you have referred to a moment ago?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. It is not dated directly, it seems to be dated some date in January 2024, at least on my --
A. Yes, I can explain if you wish. In January, we were doing - as board chair I was doing the annual performance appraisal of the chief executive with the secretary - a little unusual because the annual performance appraisal looks back to the previous financial year, and Anthony Schembri had only started in July. But anyhow, I raised that with the secretary and she thought we should have the meeting anyhow to just set the framework, see how Anthony was going. So I prepared a draft letter, which as it turns out wasn't signed, but I checked my records, it went through the normal process of socialisation, and that is the undated January letter to which you currently refer.
Q. The normal process of socialisation being what?
A. Oh, so I would prepare a draft, usually as a mark-up of the previous year's letter. I would run it past the deputy chair. Once the deputy chair and I were happy with it, we would share it with the chief executive to see if he had any particular concerns about it. Once he was
provisionally happy with it, I would share it with the board, which I'm currently doing, for the letter for July this year, 2024, give the board about a four- to six-week period to turn it around and give me any comments, and then finalise it, sign it, and send it.
Q. Do you have a copy of that letter in front of you?
A. Yes, I do, thank you.
Q. Do you see the first list of bullet points which identifies items you wanted to call out in particular? Can I invite you to go down to the second from the bottom, where you commend him on how quickly he had come to understand the issues and challenges with the Northern Beaches Hospital PPP?
A. Yes.
Q. What were those issues and challenges, as you understand them, or what are they?
A. Well, you probably are aware, there was
a parliamentary inquiry into Northern Beaches Hospital, which I think teased out a lot of the challenges. This is the major health PPP within New South Wales. Our role as the health district is to administer it, because Northern Beaches is part of Northern Sydney, and it replaced Manly and Mona Vale as acute services hospitals. Well, it's a very complicated commercial arrangement. The project deed runs to 500 or 600 pages from memory, plus the schedules that go with it. There is a service agreement between the district and Northern Beaches Hospital, just as there is a service agreement between the ministry and Northern Sydney LHD. It's complicated.

There are issues not only for payment of services, but if services aren't performed to the required standard, there are abatement of those payments. There are issues around demand management plans, how Northern Beaches interfaces with the community and with community services including things like mental health. It's a complicated deal and I think Anthony got on top of it fairly quickly.
Q. This letter was sent after the budget had actually been delivered?
A. Oh, yes.
Q. So, by this stage, were your expectations - did your expectations around the severe service agreement become
a reality or --
A. Yes, look, maybe on reflection "severe" wasn't quite the right word or is not quite the right word to describe it, but it was a tough service agreement and, as we expected, it manifested itself as a tough service agreement. It was still one that we as a district were satisfied that we could operate within and we could manage, but it was not going to be an easy year under that service agreement, within the budget he had set.
Q. Going over to the second page, the first complete paragraph commencing "Whilst the board is pleased" - do you see that paragraph?
A. Yes, thank you.
Q. At the end you conclude by making reference to lessons learned and the "new normal"?
A. Yes .
Q. What's the "new normal" that had you in mind? Is it an ongoing tight budget?
A. No, it was more - no, because there had been, actually, plenty of funding during the pandemic, but no, it was more the fact that people who once came to the hospital for ambulatory care were no longer coming, or were often discouraged from coming. Presentations in the emergency department were often more complex than they had been in the past. There were challenges around workforce because members of the nursing profession had left the profession.

So it was - I think that's the - you know, the "new normal", rather than some financial - I wasn't suggesting any financial element of the new normal coming out of the pandemic.
Q. Can I ask you to track down to the table that appears or commences at the foot of that page?
A. Yes.
Q. There is a reference again to the Northern Beaches Hospital in the first of the rows.
A. Yes.
Q. You were concerned to have Mr Schembri identify and implement actions to ensure no financial detriment to the district. What was the financial detriment that you perceived might flow to the district through the Northern

Beaches Hospital?
A. Well, we pay for services to Healthscope, who operate Northern Beaches Hospital. Perhaps they at times have expectations that we will pay for things, pay for services beyond what we think is an appropriate amount or the appropriate measure or the appropriate number of NWAUs. Issues, for example, around demand management, you know, how they should treat people, there's a major element around the PPP around the use of private insurance, conversion of patients to use their private insurance. So we just wanted to make sure that the service agreement that we had between ourselves and Northern Beaches was honoured in a way, and perhaps implemented in a way and administered in a way, that it would take those swings and roundabouts into consideration, rather than just whatever the operator thought they wanted to be paid, they should be paid.
Q. Are you aware of a similar issue in terms of a debate around the cost to be provided or the payment to be provided for the delivery of service which has emerged in relation to Royal Rehab?
A. Look, I am aware of the Royal Rehab situation, yes.
Q. What involvement, if any, has the board had in the Royal Rehab situation?
A. So I only became aware of this probably weeks ago, maybe a month ago or so. So becoming aware of it, I sat down with Anthony and I was briefed on it, and what we've subsequently done is we're in the process of briefing both the audit and risk committee and the finance risk and performance committee of the board - they're the appropriate first line of briefing. Certainly the FRAP, the finance risk and performance committee, has had its briefing and the intention then is to bring the matter to the board for a full briefing.
Q. Are you aware that Royal Rehab has not entered into a service level agreement since 2012?
A. As of a month or so ago, yes, I am aware of that. I mean, I'm also aware that it doesn't - look, that is, you know, unusual, abnormal and not how it should be. I don't want to - I don't know the history of it and I don't think there is any benefit in trawling through the history, but I would like to see it normalised. I mean, equally I am aware that there has been work done. I think consulting actuaries have been engaged to look at the level of service that they provide and whether they can operate within the
budget allocated. So I am aware that Anthony has been speaking with his counterpart at Royal Rehab.
Q. As an AHO, you would accept, wouldn't you, that Royal Rehab should be reimbursed sufficiently to enable the delivery of the services which are required under the service agreement?
A. I would have to qualify that statement to say depending on what their cost structure is. They are clearly providing a very important service and it ties in with North Shore being the state spinal service. I've been to Royal Rehab probably two or three times, I have met their chairman and their chief exec and I've walked around. It is a very impressive facility. But I don't think the intention is for us to have some sort of cost-plus contract with them. That's not the nature of our service agreement with the ministry and, therefore, it wouldn't be the nature of any flow-down service agreement. So --
Q. My question was more directed at you don't suggest
that it should be a cost-minus agreement?
A. A?
Q. A cost-minus agreement?
A. A cost-minus?
Q. You said you don't want a cost-plus agreement. I'm not sure that there is any complaint about that from Royal Rehab. I think what Royal Rehab is saying at the moment is that the sum being proffered under the service level agreement requires them to deliver the services contemplated by that agreement at less than cost.
A. I can't comment on that beyond saying that I'm aware of the issue. I'm aware that there has been some external accounting and actuarial advice to look at their books. I think they've said we can look at them transparently. I would have to - that issue would have to come to some resolution before there could be a final determination made.

But the Ministry of Health, through the districts, has arrangements with a whole number of AHOs, plus a whole number of NGOs who are not AHOs who provide very valuable services to the people who live within the district. But we're not there to guarantee the solvency or the financial profitability of any third party contractor. We're there to have a fair bargain with them.
Q. To the extent that an AHO like Royal Rehab is providing services across the state, albeit predominantly from a footprint within your LHD, do you see there might be some utility in Royal Rehab having its service level agreement direct with the ministry rather than with your LHD?
A. We11, the structure of health is to devolve health services down through the LHDs and to have the governance within the LHD, the administration of contracts within the LHD. So I think the current structure is the right structure. I can't explain, as I said, why this anomaly with Royal Rehab is what it is, but I don't know that having the contract with the ministry would necessarily improve things. At the end of the day, it is the district who is dealing with the very sad cases of people who are in the spinal unit who need to be moved to Royal Rehab. They are much more on the ground and aware of those circumstances. I used the word "localised" the other day. They have the local knowledge. I think the local knowledge is very important and you wouldn't have that local knowledge if the contract were administered through the ministry.
Q. Can we turn over to the next page, page 0003, which is 3 of 5 I think in your copy?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see there at point 7 "CORE values and culture"?
A. Yes.
Q. Then the very last point you make at $L$ :

Revitalise the district's medical staff councils and the medical staff executive counci 7.

In writing that, was it your view that those bodies had become stale in some way?
A. Yes. You know, the - as the board, we get the minutes of the medical staff executive council, and I can't remember - and I don't say that with any drama - you know, the last time there was a meeting of the medical staff executive counci1. So there is some - one could be sympathetic and say trying to get busy doctors and leading doctors together from four or five sites to meet and discuss things - but it is a great opportunity for us as
a board to hear directly from the medical staff executive council. The chair of the medical staff executive council has, in effect, de facto board status and can - and attends all the board meetings. For so long as I've been chair, we've never excluded that person for any agenda item, except where we're discussing the chief executive performance and an employee shouldn't be in the room. So I think - yes, I think it is - I'd love to see the medical staff executive council contribute to the full capacity that it can, and I want - we as a board were keen for the chief executive to have that on his work slate.
Q. So to the extent that the medical staff council and the medical staff executive council might be seen as a bridge between clinicians and hospital administration or LHD administration, at least as at the date of this letter, it is a bridge that was not working particularly effectively; would that be right?
A. Look, there are many bridges. Medical staff are not shy in picking up the phone to me or the chief executive or knocking on our door if they've got something to raise.
But this is meant to be the structure, the peak structure which brings up to it the concerns of all the medical staff within our hospitals and services, both surgical and medical, and there is an opportunity to right it and get it back to the vibrant council that it could be.
Q. And, finally, do you think that the delivery of health care to the community within your LHD would suffer if there was no board within the management structure?
A. I think it would.
Q. In what way?
A. Well, it's interesting how people - people regard the board - you know, when I go to events and the like, they seem to attach an importance to the board. I don't know whether that's because it's just seen as in parallel to how boards work in other parts of the economy and the community. I think it also gives them a line of a pathway of communication away from management. So we have - so I'm contacted regularly by staff, medical staff, non-medical staff, who want to talk about things. I think if there was no board, that line of communication would be lost. Of course, they could speak directly to Anthony and his team, but it is a slightly different conversation when you are speaking ultimately to your employer.

We have a number of events in the district where we celebrate success, our exceptional people awards. It seems to be incredibly well appreciated when members of the board come along and present at those things, speak at events, when we have Sorry day, and so on. People attach - seem to attach - quite a lot of significance to the board and their ability to communicate with the board. And, conversely, you would have seen reference in my statement to board breakfasts. So we have - we used to have them once a month and now once every six weeks or eight weeks, a breakfast where the board hosts the breakfast for staff. I think that's a great way of communicating.

What makes a great hospital I think is ultimately culture and staff engagement and I think the board, a good board, contributes to significantly improve culture and a high level of staff engagement.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. How many hours a week, on average, are you spending on activities related to fulfilling your obligations as a board chair?
A. As a chair?
Q. Yes.
A. Somewhere between a day and a half and two days a week. It's - as I said, it's an enterprise, \$2 billion enterprise with a staff close to 14,000 , spread across six, seven or eight sites, so yes, I would say between a day and a half and two days.
Q. And making the assumption which I think I should, that your other board directors are efficient and diligent --
A. Yes.
Q. -- in fulfilling their obligations, do you know how long, on average, they are spending in their duties as board members?
A. Well, normally they are - they tend to self-allocate to a particular committee. So, given the focus on - two very heavy duty, heavy lifting committees are safety and quality, because we are constantly celebrating that, we're looking at reports; and then the consumer engagement committee. Consumer engagement committee, we've put out a lot of papers this year, we've got active consumer advisers, we've just started paying the consumer advisers for their attendance. We have an annual forum. There is cross-membership between the consumer committee and the
health care quality committee. So I would think for a committee chair it's probably three-quarters of a day to a day a week.
Q. That includes the reading that is done and --
A. That includes?
Q. That includes the reading that might have to be done, reading in for board meetings and those sort of things or is that --
A. Yes, our last board pack, which included our draft clinical services plan, ran to 700 pages. Now, we've started issuing our board members with iPads so they can read these things on screen and save the paper. But board members, by and large, are very diligent. Being involved in health administration ultimately becomes quite addictive, I think. You feel that you can make a difference.
Q. And you have meetings with chairs of other LHD boards? A. So once a quarter we have a body called the Council of Board Chairs.
Q. And based on anything that is said to you, is the time that you spend as a board chair consistent with what your colleagues and other chairs --
A. Yes, so there was a paper that we tendered to the Commission.
Q. A submission?
A. A submission, which four of the board chairs signed off on that.
Q. Yes.
A. Yes. What I'm saying - look, it takes a bit of time when you become a board chair to get into the routine and it is a big job, but yes, I think - well, they were happy to put their name to it and within that paper we said it is a day and a half to two days for a board chair.

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay, thank you.
MR MUSTON: Q. One last question. I asked you last week about ways in which you as a board monitor the extent to which health services being offered by the LHD were continuing to meet the needs of the population, and in your answer you referred to reports from the chief executive.

Could I show you a document?
A. So is that the monthly report from the chief executive?
Q. I wil1 hand it to you and you can have a look at it.
A. Yes.
Q. When you were referring to reports from the chief executive, is that the document you had in mind?
A. Yes. Well, over and above, you know, any verbal communication, that's the formal monthly report that we get from the chief executive.

MR MUSTON: Might that be marked? I think we're up to MFI 8.

THE COMMISSIONER: Shal1 we describe it? What is it cal 1 ed?

MR MUSTON: It's a chief executive's board report dated Apri1 2024

MFI \#8 CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S BOARD REPORT DATED APRIL 2024

MR MUSTON: I have no further questions for this witness.

THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Chiu, do you have any questions?

MR CHIU: No questions.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much for your time, Mr Danos, we're very grateful. Sorry you've been messed around.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
<THE WITNESS WITHDREW
THE COMMISSIONER: Is that it for these hearings?
MR MUSTON: I think we have a list of documents to tender, but otherwise --

MR GLOVER: Commissioner, in the usual way, I hand up a list of documents to tender, which will become, once marked, exhibit $D$ and they've all had exhibit numbers notionally assigned to them.

THE COMMISSIONER: A11 right. They are admitted into evidence with the exhibit numbers that are allocated.

MR GLOVER: Thank you, Commissioner. That completes the evidence for this hearing.

THE COMMISSIONER: That was your role for today?
MR GLOVER: That was it. That's why I'm here, to add value.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very good. And do we adjourn to Monday, 13 May? Is that the next - someone is nodding at the back.

MR GLOVER: Yes, in Dubbo.
THE COMMISSIONER: So we wi11 adjourn unti1 Monday, 13 May, at 10am in Dubbo - somewhere in Dubbo.

MR GLOVER: Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Very good. Thank you. We'11 adjourn to then.

AT 3.27PM THE COMMISSION WAS ADJOURNED TO MONDAY, 13 MAY 2024 AT 10AM IN DUBBO
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