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THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, good morning.
MR MUSTON: I think the proposal is that this morning, Commissioner, we will hear from Professor Donald MacLellan first, we will see how long that takes and determine whether it's an appropriate time to take perhaps a shorter luncheon adjournment, say 45 minutes, but perhaps we can assess whether or not the end of this witness's evidence is the best time to take that when we get there.

THE COMMISSIONER: Sure.
<DONALD MacLELLAN, sworn:
[12.05pm]
MR MUSTON: Q. Could you state your full name for the record, please?
A. Donald Gerald MacLellan?
Q. You are the chair of the Central Coast LHD board?
A. Yes, indeed.
Q. You have held that role since July 2021?
A. Yes.
Q. And prior to that, I think you were a member of the board of the Central Coast LHD from January 2019 ?
A. That's correct.
Q. You have prepared a document, as we understand it, to assist the Commission, which is styled "Statement", but I'm not sure that a copy of it was signed.
A. I haven't signed a copy.
Q. Do you have a copy of that document with you?
A. I'm happy to sign a copy once I've corrected one mistake in the statement.
Q. We probably won't need you to physically sign it, but let's go to the correction first. Which paragraph?
A. It is paragraph 6, the first line, where I state that the board has eight members, in fact, it has nine members.
Q. Other than that correction, have you had an opportunity to read the statement?
A. Yes.
Q. And are you satisfied that its contents are true and
correct?
A. Yes.

MR MUSTON: Thank you. That statement will form part of the bulk tender in due course.
Q. Professor, could you just give us some indication of what your professional background is prior to your commencing as a member of the board, or perhaps contemporaneous with commencing as a member of the board of the LHD?
A. Look, my professional background is I'm a general surgeon, academic surgeon, so Professor of Surgery in Melbourne and then Professor of Surgery in Canberra, before moving into health administration in the Hunter New England Area Health Service, in various positions there. Then I moved to the Ministry of Health as the program director of surgery for a period of five or six years, then to the Agency for Clinical Innovation for five or six years before retiring, and then joining the board in 2019.
Q. You tell us in - perhaps we could bring up

Professor MacLe1lan's statement, it's [MOH.9999.0769.0001].
That will be brought up for you on the screen. You can look at the big one over there or the one closer to you, whichever is convenient. If it were me with my eyesight, I would opt for the closer one.
A. I can see this one.
Q. You tell us in paragraph 3 that you have two main functions as the board chair. The first is as part of the group that interviews and chooses the chief executive of the LHD, and in that sense, is it the case that the chief executive of the LHD is accountable to the board?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. Do you think this is a useful structure with the CE being accountable to the board?
A. Yes, I do. Choosing the chief executive is a really important part of the board chair's function. The board chair brings to that group, who are choosing the chief executive, the local content that is required by the community that the LHD serves. He or she is a member of the group, includes either the secretary of health or delegate, and an independent member. So there are three people, at least, and gender balanced, of course, that chooses chief executive. That's number 1.

Number 2 is the board chair is responsible for feeding in to the performance of the chief executive and that's an important function that's done in conjunction with the secretary of health. Again, it gives the secretary of health the local content, how he and his team are performing. So I think it is an important component of the work.
Q. In a practical sense, what do you think would be lost if the CE had a direct line of accountability to the secretary?
A. One of the things that in undertaking the performance of the chief executive, you're also undertaking a performance - his performance and his team's performance about the general KPIs that are set in the service level agreement. They go hand in glove.

If the service level agreement KPIs are being well served, community's input is being taken care of, usually that determines pretty good performance on the behalf of the chief executive.
Q. Just looking at that, and we'll come back perhaps to look at the KPIs in a little bit more detail, but an assessment of the extent to which the KPIs are being met by the LHD is something which is capable of being made by the secretary or within the ministry, is it not?
A. Okay, so taking any single KPI from the ministry's viewpoint, they would look at the local health district's KPI. From the LHD's viewpoint and the board's viewpoint, we will look not just at the LHD's KPI but drill down to our four hospitals, two major, two sub-acute, and find out, even if the KPI is appropriate to the target, perhaps one of these hospitals is not achieving its KPI. So they again - the local input is a really important component of performance, both of the LHD and indeed the chief executive.
Q. You tell us in paragraph 4 of your statement what you understand to be the primary purposes of the LHD as set out in section 9 of the Health Services Act. Now, is it correct that those primary purposes are advanced through the Caring for the Coast Strategy that you go on to tell us about in your statement?
A. Yes, yes. Indeed, this strategy is very important in achieving these goals.
Q. Could we go to paragraph $9(a)$ of the statement. You see there you tell us about the implementation of the Caring for the Coast Strategy 2019-2024?
A. Yes.
Q. You were obviously not the board chair at the time that that strategy was prepared?
A. That's correct.
Q. But you were a member of the board whilst it was being prepared?
A. No, sir. I was a member of the board after it had been prepared. Largely it was prepared in 2019-2018, I should say. I joined the board in January, as you know, 2019. So the Caring for the Coast Strategy was essentially set at that time.

We did have a planning meeting around about February/March, which is one or two months after I joined the board, where we looked over the ELT and the board looked over the strategy and had further discussion about its potential effectiveness, et cetera. There were one or two word changes, but essentially it was unchanged. So I participated in that planning day.
Q. So that plan is set to expire in this year?
A. Yes.
Q. Presumably, there is another plan in the pipeline?
A. Absolutely. Yes.
Q. What has been the board's role in the preparation of that plan?
A. Towards the end of January of this year, we had a planning day, which was the - it had all board members and the ELT with a facilitator sitting down to determine what the next strategic plan would consist of, at least in the high level and, then, subsequently, there's been ongoing discussion at board level by the chief executive about how the plan is being finessed, and that has followed a considerable amount of consultations, both within the LHD with the clinicians and, indeed, with the larger community.

We are now getting to the stage where we're pretty well ready to launch the plan, probably by the end of this financial year, so by the end of June, ready for its uptake
next year.
Q. So what has been the nature of the consultation with clinicians and the community?
A. The chief executive and his ELT meets with the senior medical staff, and the initial outline of the strategic plan was put to them and feedback sought, and changes occurred as a result of that - probably not in the significant high-level parts of the plan, but the details or subsequent details.

There's also been input from the community, the nature of which I really can't let you know about, I'm not familiar with it.
Q. What about the board itself? Is there a process whereby the board delivers input into the formulation of that strategic document?
A. Yes. So subsequent to the planning day, the board has had the strategic plan updated on almost every board meeting, but perhaps without one. So we're in April now, so we certainly had one in March, an update, and I think we're scheduled to either have one this month - in fact I think I'm pretty sure we're going to be updated in April. So the update provides the board with where the plan has evolved. There is some wordsmithing that is required in order to have a solid plan, and some of the subsections within the plan, and it gives the board a chance to ask questions or make comments.
Q. In terms of the making of comments, has it been your observation through that process that the board has, in any material way, changed the strategic objectives that are set out in the draft plan which is currently being formulated?
A. In the planning day we had a very solid input to the plan. You start off with more than three or four potential big-ticket items, shall we put it that way, and through a discussion with the ELT and the board, they will be narrowed down, or have been narrowed down, to the three essential ones. So there is a lot of input that the board members individually as well as collectively provide to the ELT. It's a very open discussion. It's not a one-way street in any shape or form.

When the strategic plan comes back to the board once again, we spend quite a lot of time discussing the strategic plan, making sure that this isn't pie in the sky,
that it's actually achievable, the time frame that's achievable, and if there is any words that are a bit ambiguous or whatever, the board will point that out.
Q. So when you talk about the big-ticket items that formed a longer list that was whittled down, what sort of items, to the best you can recall, were on that list initially?
A. I'm sorry?
Q. What sort of items?
A. Are on the list?
Q. You have given an indication there was a process whereby a list of big-ticket items was initially presented, there was a process of discussion and whittling down. What sort of items are we talking about on the original list?
A. The current plan wishes to achieve alternatives to ED attendance for the community, in other words, to provide non-hospital alternates that give as good care as is possible.

The wording might start off by saying, "ED avoidance", for instance. Well, it's not ED avoidance. What we're trying to do is provide care not required in ED and ensure that the care that is given in the community or non-hospital setting is efficient and appropriate to that patient's requirement. So you can see that, you know, in a discussion, you say, "No, no, that's not the right way. I know what you are trying to say, but it's not the right way to say it. It doesn't carry the full gambit of what we're trying to achieve."
Q. That's a changing of the wording. The initial list might have included ED avoidance as one of the big-ticket items and that was, through a process of discussion, rendered to something like, "Delivery of non-hospital care", or, "Delivery of care in a non-ED setting", or something like that?
A. Sorry, the wording is "Providing alternative care to ED". See, moving it from just a narrow stopping them coming to the ED - it's actually saying more that that, it's saying not only do they not need to come, but we're going provide an alternative. It broadens what we're trying to achieve.
Q. That's an adjustment of one of the items that was on
the initial list but has survived the whittling-down process. Were there other big-ticket items on the initial list that you referred to earlier that were, after a process of discussion with the board, taken off the list or deprioritised?
A. I can't - no, I can't recall any that were particularly taken off the list, but if I could give a flavour of what might be discussed?
Q. Yes.
A. There was a considerable interest in ensuring our carbon footprint is as low as we can possibly get it and sustainable practice to reduce that carbon print. If that's put - if that was put up, and I can't quite recall if it was but it was certainly a hot topic, shall we say, as a priority statement in the strategic plan, it really isn't what we want to achieve as a priority. It can be achieved, and should be achieved, as a subsection of what we're doing, but not as the top-level, or at least that's the sort of discussion we've had. So that would be an example of one that's actually not sitting as a top priority, it's not disappeared, it's not - it is still being enacted and I've given one example in the statement about the use of gloves. So it's still active but it's not right at the very top of the strat plan.
Q. In reviewing the list of the big-ticket items as part of the formulation of this next strategy plan, does the board go back to the primary purposes that you have told us about in paragraph 4 , including to promote, protect and maintain the health of the community?
A. Essentially, that's what guides the board. We have, in the last couple of years, certainly in the last year, always put the strategic plan at the very top of our board papers, so you're always focused on the strategic plan. The strategic plan actually achieves what that - the service Act says you have to achieve.
Q. So just looking at paragraph 4 there, so paragraph 4 subparagraph (a) seems to neatly capture the delivery of acute care to sick and injured patients who are brought in to a hospital in an acute setting.
A. Yes.
Q. Would you agree that subparagraph (b), "Promote, protect and maintain the health of the community" involves a wider level of operations than the delivery of acute care
within the acute setting?
A. Yes, it's wider than just the acute care, yes.
Q. It potentially extends into the delivery of primary care, would you agree?
A. Yes.
Q. Preventative health?
A. Yes. Yes, indeed.
Q. Any other particular types of care that are delivered within your LHD that you think fall within that wider concept of promotion, protection and maintenance of health in the community?
A. There is a large amount of community health services that are delivered by the LHD, from looking after bubs and mums immediately postnatal, for kids between 1 and 5 , making sure their vaccinations are up, these are all matters you might call primary care type action. We have community health services all through the LHD delivering the sorts of care that are outlined there.

The challenge that we currently have, as many LHDs have, is reaching into these really vulnerable groups. They are not necessarily on the internet, they are not necessarily easy to communicate with or find, and so some of the challenges we are now facing, and we always have faced but are now facing, is how do we actually access that group of people.
Q. So when you say it's a challenge, what is the real source of that challenge? Is it that they are intrinsically hard to find, or is it that the resources available to the LHD mean that the sort of personnel levels that you would need to provide that or make that discovery and provide the wrap-around care to those individuals is not financially --
A. It's a little bit of both, to be truthful. One of the ways you know that you have got problems in that area is they wil1 present to the emergency department, often when they are really, really unwell, delayed coming to see a general practitioner or, if they don't have one, seeing anyone, in fact. So the question then arises, well, how can we get to those patients, that cohort of patients, before they come to ED so that their general health is better, so they are less likely to require a trip to ED. So that's one of the biggest challenges.

Of course, one has to ensure that the resources and the staff are available to look after them. We believe we do have capacity in community health. We believe, as part of the strategic planning that we've just talked about, that some of the resources that sit just now in hospital, if we're successful in getting alternate things, then those resources should follow those alternate pathways to look after the community. I hope that gives you a sort of broad answer.
Q. In relation to the strategic plan, you tell us once it's been settled upon, the board's role is one of overview. I think if we go forward to paragraph 17 - I'm sorry, I think "oversight" is the word you used. In doing that, you tell us in that paragraph, which scrolls down to the next page, that you rely very heavily on information provided to the board by the chief executive and the executive leadership team?
A. Yes.
Q. You tell us in the paragraph about some of the conduits through which that information flows. Can I ask, does the board engage in any of its own independent investigation or fact-finding with a view to assessing or providing that oversight in relation to the achievement of the strategic objectives?
A. There are independent sources of information about KPIs, independent in that one is from the Ministry of Health, which is a quarterly overview of the KPIs, largely from the service level agreement, and it is of benefit because it gives you not just your KPIs, although they lie behind our monthly meetings, but they also provide comparative information about how other LHDs are faring. So you can see how well you are faring against others, and if you are struggling with a particular KPI, for instance, falls would be a good example, there is some reassurance that we're not the only ones who are struggling with this. Not that that gives you any complacency, it just gives you some reassurance that others are struggling.

If you are the only one that is struggling and everyone else is not, then you really have a problem and you have to look into it. So that's one source of information which you can call independent.

The second is there is a number of clinical registries
that will give you information about your major hospital or hospitals that are completely independent of the Ministry of Health. One example would be the Australian New Zealand hip fracture registry, which not only gives you the information about the number of hip fractures but how quickly they get to theatre, how many of those patients were tested for delirium, et cetera, et cetera. So it is a really rich source of information, quite independent from what the ELT produces. Not that they couldn't produce it, but we don't get that as a regular thing.

There are a number of other registries. There is the renal dialysis registry; NSQIP, which is a North American surgical quality improvement program, of which we are - sorry, Gosford is a member. And there are about 600 -odd hospitals that participate in that, mostly American, but they give you data that allows you to compare like with like, the same size hospital as Gosford, the same sort of role it has.
Q. I might come back to the KPIs in a moment. But in terms of the registries that you have told us about, it sounds as though they have as their principal focus an assessment of the way in which care is being delivered in the acute setting.
A. Yes.
Q. Falls, for example, is a measure of care being delivered in hospitals?
A. Yes, in general terms, you are correct.
Q. Does the board make any independent assessment or have any ability to obtain information about the extent to which some of those wider strategic objectives - that is, those that are not involved in the direct delivery of acute care - are being achieved?
A. One of the things that we've instigated at least a couple of years ago is the board executive walkabouts. So we - one board member and one of the executive team visit either a ward or a facility in community and basically it's a sort of fact-finding piece of work. We ask about, always, safety and quality. If we have - for instance, just as an example, if we have instituted new huddles where multidisciplinary teams get together, et cetera, et cetera, and it's been implemented, one of the questions that I would ask is "How are the huddles? Are they working? Who attends?" So you can get an
actual flavour as to whether or not something that is supposed to have been implemented well, either happening or not.

They all have safety and quality boards and each board is different, because it depends on the patients they are seeing. We inspect them and ask questions about them and ask if there is any difficulty in achieving that safety and quality.

We ask whether or not they've got enough equipment for instance, bariatric beds, that's beds for people who are over about 250K. If there is not enough, then we feed all that back, or the executive is there, but we feed it back to the executive and notes are taken.

Then, finally, we ask are there any staff who you would like to name who are above and beyond what they are supposed to do, they really go the extra mile, because we like to recognise them as well. So that's an independent way of determining whether or not the wards and facilities are acting in the way that you are anticipating from the board meeting, and there are about 45 of those - 45 meetings per year.
Q. So those 45 meetings per year give you a sense of the extent to which - or give you some sense of the quality and safety --
A. Absolutely.
Q. -- of the services which are being delivered.
A. Yes, and they are - they tell us what the issues they have in delivering whatever part of the service. So it is an independent way. And some of these discussions are brought up at the board and discussed with that broader group.
Q. But do you sense that those 45 visits give you a read on whether the particular service mix which is being delivered through those services is adequate or appropriate to promote, protect and maintain the health of the community within the Central Coast?
A. To the extent that a one-hour visit can do that. We must be a little bit cautious of overplaying it. But the staff are prepared for the visit. They are astoundingly honest and up-front. If something's not working very well, they are very quick to tell you that that's occurring. So
it gives you a flavour.
Q. But, in that sense, are they saying to you things like "This service is not being delivered in the best way that it could be", or "There is an issue with this service that needs to be adjusted in order for it to be a better service", as opposed to, say, giving you insights on whether or not the service is the right service to be offering at all?
A. It gives you - it gives the executive an opportunity to reflect on what's been said and if the service needs to be adjusted, then one would anticipate that the ELT - the chief executive and the ELT will do that. If it is a really crucial piece, that becomes something that the board would discuss with the ELT, and it may then become an action item with a date set for it to be - whatever the problem is, mitigated or resolved.
Q. Could I ask you to go forward to paragraph 18 of your statement, which hopefully will be brought up for you. You see there again you tell us that the bored continually monitors and oversees the performance of the LHD in relation to the strategic plan. You then tell us that on occasions where the LHD is not performing well, that the board would work with the chief executive and ELT to rectify the issue. Firstly, what do you mean by the term "not performing well" there?
A. The example I gave there is that the budget overrun was very significant, $\$ 30 \mathrm{million}$.
Q. So the example you give there is a budget overrun, which of course has an impact from the point of view of one of the KPIs set in the service level agreement. Do you have any other examples of instances in which you, as a board, have concluded that the LHD was not performing well?
A. At the same time as the $\$ 30 \mathrm{million}$, of the 14 hospital-acquired complications, if my memory serves me right, only 7 were performing. So you have a double whammy. Not only are you not performing with patient care, you are not performing within the budget. So it was a double whammy. It was a very serious situation that led us into what's called by the ministry level 3. I had a vested interest to not get to level 4 , because at level 4 the chief executive is sacked and the board is sacked, so there was a reason for the board to take this very seriously.
Q. So that related to compliance with KPIs?
A. (Witness nods).
Q. And just to explore that, the consequence of noncompliance with those KPIs, should it reach a particular level, is removal of the CE and the board?
A. If it goes to the second - sorry, if it goes to
level 4 and nothing has been done to correct or mitigate the problem, then that's an option for the health secretary. It is an enormous option, obviously.

What happens, though, is that the ministry does put in a considerable amount of effort to assist the LHD to correct the problem. So in terms of $\$ 30 \mathrm{million}$, we had one of the senior deputy secretaries in health come out and provide a report on what needed to change in order to get the $\$ 30$ million disappear over three years and back to on budget, and that recommendation was very useful.

There was a higher focus by the board and the HCQC on those patient care KPIs. A lot of work was done at the HC, the subcommittee group, to work with the ELT to try and make the changes that were required to get those KPIs back to the target. And that involved not only just asking questions or responding to their plans, but really ensuring that those plans were actually going to achieve what the ELT said they would, as well as --
Q. When you refer to the plans achieving what the ELT said they would, that's, do we take it, a reference to the plans bringing the LHD into compliance with its KPIs as set under the service level agreement?
A. That's correct. But the biggest driver is patient care. So one of the more stubborn KPIs for quite some time was falls - the rate of falls. Many attempts were made by the ELT then all the way through to the wards and facilities to try and mitigate falls, what's happening, and one of the questions when we do the walkaround is "What are you doing about falls?", et cetera, et cetera. But not only do we do that, but the board also provides input, additional information, to the ELT if they don't have it.

So in falls, for instance, I saw an article, and I'm pretty sure it was from North Sydney, that described - it was either falls or pressure injuries, I can't remember now which one, from the next LHD, and gave some ways that they
mitigated the problem. So I photocopied that and sent it off to the chief nursing and midwifery officer saying "This is a really interesting article. See what they are saying. Are we doing it? And perhaps we should visit
North Sydney". So it's also using someone like myself and some of the other clinicians on the board to provide other ideas for the ELT when there's been a really tough, stubborn KPI that is not moving.
Q. And these are ideas which the clinicians who are involved in the day-to-day care of patients within the hospitals have not come up with; is that right?
A. If they have come up with - even if they have come up with plans, one doesn't want to sit waiting for them to come to fruition. If there is a publication saying "You should try X or $\mathrm{Y} "$, and we're not doing it, then that's a consideration from the ELT and a discussion with either the board or the board subcommittee.
Q. While we're on the KPIs, perhaps if we could go quickly to the service level agreement, which is [MOH.9999.0859.0001]. You recognise that as the current service agreement for the Central Coast LHD?
A. (Witness nods).
Q. If we could jump forward, then, to page 0021. Do you see there, these are the KPIs that you have referred to in the answers that you have given and, just so we're clear, it's non-performance as against these KPIs which are the issues that you are referring to in paragraphs 18 and 19 of your statement when you talk about not performing well and leading to a level 3 rating?
A. Yes, correct.
Q. Just in relation to them, if I could ask you to try and maintain that distinction between providing relief to sick injured people through the provision of care and treatment that is, as I think we've already discussed, something geared clearly to the delivery of acute care in the hospital setting, focus your mind on that second primary purpose, the promotion, protection and maintenance of health in the community, in relation to that second primary purpose, is it your view that the KPIs set out in the document that is on the screen there and, to the extent necessary, please ask that we scroll through them - is it your view that those KPIs are an adequate measure of the LHD's performance of that second primary purpose - that is,
the promotion, protection and maintenance of the health of the community?
A. These KPIs appear to be mostly hospital-centric KPIs.
Q. So do we take from that that it would be your answer that those - on your assessment, those KPIs are not really an adequate measure of the extent to which the LHD is promoting, protecting and maintaining the health of the community to the extent it exists outside of the hospital setting?
A. Perhaps with the exception of the mental health consumer experience - that's divided into hospital-based mental health consumer experience and community-based, so it does give the community-based mental health consumer input.
Q. In fairness, we should probably roll forward to page 0024. Do you see there under the heading "People are healthy and well"?
A. Yes.
Q. Would it be right that those KPIs maybe touch on or hover around the edge of the promotion, protection and maintenance of health of the community?
A. Yes .
Q. But would you agree that they are by no means a comprehensive measure of the LHD's performance of that second primary purpose?
A. These would be considered, I think in general terms, to provide a major outlook of what is happening in community health with the assistance of the LHD. So these are a cohort of KPIs that are very specific to very specific care conditions and, clearly, other aspects of community health are not contained in these, with the exception of attendance at ED, which is a reflection of community care not being given and those patients coming in to the emergency department.
Q. Could we perhaps go to the Caring for the Coast plan, which is [MOH.9999.0866.0001]. That's the strategic plan, I take it, that we've been speaking about?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. That is, when I say that, that's the current version, not the one which is currently in the pipeline?
A. No, this is the one that's coming to an end this
financial year.
Q. If we turn over to page 0008, you see there is a heading "Strategic priorities", and then there are set out five particular priorities.
A. Yes.
Q. Would you accept that those priorities are cast at a very, very high level?
A. Yes, they are.
Q. But the extent to which they are to be achieved as part of the strategy is dealt with further on in the document. So going on, dealing with the first of them, "Patients, exceptional patient experience and outcomes", if we turn over to page 0010, that's the extent to which the document, this strategy document, sets out the objectives and the means by which those objectives are to be met and measured?
A. Yes.
Q. Again, very, very high level.
A. Sorry, I missed that.
Q. Again, it is cast at a very, very high level?
A. It's cast at high level, yes.
Q. If we look at the objectives on that page there, do you see the heading "Objectives" in the yellow box, second col umn?
A. Yes.
Q.

To provide high quality care that delivers good clinical outcomes and ensures that our patients and consumers are treated with compassion and respect and have a positive experience of care.

That, as I read it, seems to be again focused on the delivery of care predominantly within the acute setting. Would that be right, or do you disagree?
A. Well, it could be interpreted both ways, can't it? It doesn't necessarily define which area they are working towards. But having said that, at the time, 2018/19, I think there was - I believe there was a much more - more
emphasis on the hospital-centric side of things, which is why you are asking that question.

When I did arrive as a board member in 2019, and there was pressure on ED, as there still is, I did ask about the hospital in the home health care setting, you know, did we have hospital in the home beds, equivalent beds, and my understanding at that time, and please take this with a pinch of salt, was that we had about four. Four hospital in the home beds? That's really quite inadequate for offloading care in the ED and providing the appropriate or even better care at home.

When I was in Canberra, I was fortunate in setting up the hospital in the home system in Canberra, and we at least started - we started with at least 15 beds and we were about 10 years behind the Alfred hospital in Melbourne in setting up a HITH service. We come to 2019, I joined the board, and there are four beds. Totally inadequate. So I think there is, correctly, a sense that the objective is probably more - probably more directed at the acute side of things rather than the community side of things. But I didn't participate in that formation and, you know, at that time, as I said, the non-hospital community care was not really adequate, even for that time.
Q. Can I ask, in your role as overseeing the compliance with or the achievement by the LHD of its objectives, how have you, as the board chair, interpreted the term "good clinical outcomes", where it appears under the heading "Objectives" there? So you see:

## To provide high quality care that delivers

 good clinical outcomes ...A. Well, many of the KPIs that we've just discussed have described the quality of care.
Q. Those KPIs as we have just discussed are very hospital-centric, so that is good - in that sense, would I be right in understanding that a good clinical outcome is you leave the hospital better than you arrived?
A. Yes, I think - I think, again, it's likely that the focus was more on hospital care than on non-hospital care, particularly when you are talking about four hospital in the home care beds.

Now, that's not at all to say that community health services weren't well developed, it was just some particular aspects of community health services or non-hospital care weren't well developed.
Q. But in providing the monitoring and oversight, how has the board approached that concept of a good clinical outcome where dealing with the caring for patients arm of the strategic plan?
A. Okay, in community, delivery of community health, there are a number of KPIs that the executive leadership team are responsible for, the community health services and allied health. They have KPIs that are specific to the service that is being delivered.
Q. Where do they arise? Where does one find them?
A. Some of them are associated - and I'm just getting a little bit out of my depth now. Some of them are associated with the funding model. Some of the community health funding comes as a specific package with its own KPIs, not just financial. So they would be with that ELT member.

One of the things that we have focused on in the HCQC in the last - while the strategic plan's been being formulated, is that we need to know, I think it goes to your question - we need to know far more about what's happening in the community in safety and quality.

THE COMMISSIONER: The supporting documents are slightly broader than acute care, aren't they - the supporting documents that are referred to on that page?

MR MUSTON: They are.
THE COMMISSIONER: I don't know whether that means anything or not.

MR MUSTON: We will find out.
THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
MR MUSTON: Q. Does the board have a role in setting these additional KPIs - that is, those that hover outside of the service level agreement?
A. So in the - we're talking about patient care. A lot of the work is done by the subcommittee, which is the
health care quality committee, and currently, we are doing exactly that. So you have the board's - sorry, you have the strategic plan, the high-level strategic plan. The question at the HCQC and indeed the other subcommittees is what is our priority to deliver that aspect of the strategic plan. So we would have that - that is, the HCQC will have maybe three or four specific priorities. Within those priorities, the question about how you know that you are actually achieving, ie, KPIs or other measures, are in fact discussed, and those ones, once we've finished that process, will go back to the board, either as part of the deep dive that is in my statement, or as part of the regular reports from HCQC to the board.
Q. Coming back to the caring for our patients portion of the Caring for the Coast Strategy, you see there in the far right-hand column there is a "Measures of success" heading? A. Yes.
Q. Which sets out in a number of bullet points what would appear to be the way in which success, or the successful achievement of the objective, is to be assessed. Take a chance to read through them, but is it your view that those matters identified in the bullet points are really an adequate measure of the extent to which the objective that we've been talking about - that is, the delivery of good clinical outcomes - is to be measured?
A. I think in very general terms they are fine at one level, but they are not granular enough in order to be sure that you are achieving the outcome. In terms of the PREMs and PROMs, we do get that specific information, and that's fine.

The elimination of unwarranted clinical variation, that's a very, very large part of the clinical output. You have to do a considerable amount of work to determine what exactly you mean by that. I mean, in very general terms we understand what we mean by that. And if I may take you back to the work when I was working with ACI, they had a subcommittee that, within ACI, looked at unwarranted clinical variation. It struggled to come up with specific targets that the LHDs could take up and, subsequently, that committee doesn't exist anymore. So as a criticism of anything that our strategic plan does is we are very keen, ensuring that if you are going to put an objective like that, that it is measurable and it is reportable, it's not just a little bit of pie in the sky.

So for something like the unwarranted clinical variation or something like value care that we talk about, our objective is to be very specific, what condition are we talking about, what's the number that's there, how do we know that if - that we'll get the clinicians to work to reduce the unwarranted clinical variation or poor value care, rather than just having that as a very broad topic, because it's very hard to achieve these things if you don't know what specifically is being - so it is a criticism of the previous plan that we're recognising and ensuring doesn't happen in the new strategic plans.
Q. Very hard to achieve them if you don't know what they really mean but equally it's very hard to ascertain whether or not --
A. Which one, sir?
Q. Looking at them, using your example of unwarranted clinical variation, as I understand your point, you say it is a term so loaded with ambiguity that it makes it very hard to achieve it as a target.
A. I think, yes, as an objective, everyone would say that's a really good objective. The question then is how are you achieving and what are you achieving.
Q. But, equally, it would be right, would it not, that the ambiguity that is embodied in that as a concept means it's almost impossible to ascertain whether or not you have failed to achieve it?
A. Yes. May I just make one caveat, though?
Q. Yes.
A. In the middle of this, there were two years of COVID, which blew everything apart in terms of trying to achieve that strategic plan, not that it was ignored, but the focus changes enormously to the COVID responses. So it's really only in the last year, it's not even post COVID yet, but in the last year when the worst of COVID has moved, that our attention has gone to the strategic plan, not so much this strategic plan, because we are better aware now of the significant challenges we have at the LHD - if you just take the emergency department - and that's why we've come up with alternative care to the emergency department. In value care, we are exploring that, but being very specific. We wouldn't have that as a title, we would have something that's very specific about what we're trying to reduce.

I will give one example?
Q. Yes, please do.
A. Which is knee arthroscopy for osteoarthritis of the knee. There are plenty of articles that show that it actually does not provide with any benefit to an osteoarthritic knee. So that's one example where we would work with the orthopaedic surgeons and reduce that procedure, if it occurs in the LHD, and I can't tell you --
Q. That would be an instance, though, of targeting something which has already been identified as low value care?
A. Yes, absolutely.
Q. And seeking to reduce the instances of that within the LHD?
A. Correct.
Q. What about the other side of the coin, which is where you have issues which might not have been explicitly identified as low value care, is there anything being done as part of the assessment of your measure of success as against the strategic objectives to determine the value which is in fact delivered by the care provided and, if so, what is it?
A. We would be initially almost certainly relying on well-known conditions - sorry, procedures that are well known to be questionable about their value care. If I may, there is a publication that - you know, when this occurred at the board, there was a publication sent to me by one of the board members that is from the British Medical Journal, 2011, with a list of I think 150 conditions that would go into that low value care category. So we have got plenty of information to direct us, if we go down this path, without having to think of other procedures.

What we would do is look at what has the biggest impact on patient care - ie, numbers and effects - and choose those ones initially, a spread of them, possibly, that are achievable - have the clinicians with us, et cetera, et cetera. So that's the broad plan but it's not a specific plan as yet.
Q. Could I ask you to go back to the measures of success there and do you see the final two bullet points, "Elimination of avoidable delays in accessing services",
and "Care is accessible and available in the 'right place'", et cetera. Do you see those two?
A. I can see those, yes.
Q. As part of the board's monitoring and oversight, to what extent is the board assessing those things?
A. We have a good example of delays, elimination of avoidable delays - maybe it is not the right words, but anyway, during COVID, surgery was virtually ceased for a considerable amount of time, so there was an enormous backlog of surgical procedures, and the LHD, with the support of the board, worked feverishly to get those overdues down, and my understanding is that we were the first LHD to achieve that, ie, to get back.

At the same time, our dental lists blew out as well for the same reason, and particularly for children, and so there has been an enormous amount of work done to get those delays reduced, and they are moving in the right direction. So that's a couple of examples for the first one.
Q. Those two examples, that is an elimination of avoidable delays in accessing surgical services?
A. Yes.
Q. We were talking about elective surgery, presumably?
A. Yes, we are talking about elective surgery in the main, but I did mention the Australian New Zealand hip fracture registry just recently. In there, there was a target of 48 hours between patient being seen in the ED and getting to theatre. We have achieved that. So there is an instance where it is not planned surgery, it's trauma surgery.

As a result of that information and the information coming from the ELT about the volume of trauma that hospitals get, particularly Gosford - it's one of the biggest trauma numbers, which is quite unusual, you wouldn't expect that in Gosford - there is currently a review being done by the ELT to look at how we can better balance elective, ie planned, surgery and reduce the delays, for instance, in any trauma, particularly hip fracture trauma, and getting to theatre.
Q. So that's surgical services. You have told us about dental services, which I assume is a reference to waiting lists for public dental clinics.
A. (Witness nods).
Q. What about outpatient clinics delivered by specialists, is that something that an assessment is made of the extent to which unavoidable or, sorry, avoidable delays in accessing --
A. Yes.
Q. -- those sort of services is made?
A. One of our areas that occasionally causes problems is the readmission within 28 days, and that's particularly for cohorts of respiratory problems and chronic heart failure.

One of the ways of assisting patients getting appropriate treatment if they present to ED is having clinics available to them, not the following, but the next day, for instance, and setting those up so there is no delay in getting into a clinic, so they don't bounce back into ED. So that has stimulated a review of our outpatient clinics by the chief executive. That review has a number of recommendations to the chief executive. The board hasn't discussed those yet. But it is along the lines of what you are talking about. It is an example of delays that are in outpatient clinic patients getting there, and then you bounce back into ED, as one of the ways of ensuring that they are dealt with in ED appropriately but then followed up appropriately either in the outpatient clinic or then, of course, with the community health services.
Q. What about patients who - let me take it back a step. The objective of that review was to seek to minimise re-presentations within the emergency department within I think you said a 28 -day window?
A. Oh, sorry, the readmission within 28 days, yes, we're monitoring that, yes.
Q. What about patients who might be out there within the community who have been referred to a specialist by their general practitioner, is there any assessment made of the extent to which those sort of services are accessible to patients within the LHD and, if so, the length of any delay?
A. I wouldn't be able to give you a very specific answer to that. What I would say is that as part of the outpatient review, one of the areas that we are wanting to ensure is that the access to specialist care, outpatient or
otherwise, is available to the PHN. So that's one of the areas where the chief executive works with the PHN, he will take their advice. I mean, if there is inordinate delay in seeing a cardiologist, then what can we, the LHD, do to mitigate that or reduce it. So that's part of the discussions that would happen with the chief executive and the PHN.
Q. And what about general practice - access to general practice within the community of the Central Coast, is that something which is assessed by the board?
A. The board is well aware of the problems we have in the number of general practitioners in the LHD, particularly in the north of the LHD.

Part of the work with the PHN and part of the work we're talking about in alternative care, alternative pathways to care from ED, does involve private health care. So you have to - sorry, the private sector, the GP sector. So you have to work, and should work, with the GPs to see what parts of those pathways will assist them as well as assisting the LHD. I'm not sure if I've answered your question accurately.
Q. I think so. So you've mentioned an awareness on the part of the board of problems with GP numbers in the northern part of the LHD in particular. How are those problems manifesting themselves for patients within the LHD or for members of the community within the LHD?
A. There are two ways. One is the members of community are not shy in coming forward and explaining just how difficult it is to find a GP. There are plenty of ways they can do that.

The second way is of course you look at the volume of patients appearing in emergency departments. The volume of emergency department presentations are far greater by a factor of two or three, I believe, in terms of numbers, in comparison to the population growth, and hospitals like Wyong, which is a major hospital, are probably seeing almost as many ED presentations as any other hospital in New South Wales. It's quite extraordinary. And part of that is a reflection of the lack of general practitioners in that particular area.
Q. So insofar as the lack of general practitioners in those areas are concerned, it's right, is it, that care is
neither accessible nor available in the right place for the patients who happen to live in those areas?
A. A lot of them have closed their books, as it were, to new patients, and where we do see new general practitioners starting, it tends not to be in the north of the local health district. So there is a - I can tell you there is a GP clinic just opened in Terrigal. Terrigal is pretty well okay for GPs, so if you are in Terrigal, that's fine, but if you are in the environs of Wyong, they really would benefit considerably from additional GPs.
Q. We might come back to that.
A. We're not sitting on that. May I say that, as you are probably aware, in the southern part of New South Wales they've started a joint piece of work with the Commonwealth to train - jointly train the GP registrars, it's an attraction and it's being rolled out I think in Tasmania.
Q. This is the single employer model?
A. That's correct, yes. While the ministry at this stage is looking at that model closely with I think it's
Tasmania, we - that is the chief executive is exploring other ways that we might be able to work with the general practitioners, particularly the registrars, to do something similar but not necessarily exactly the same way. So we're not just bemoaning the fact that it is a problem, we're trying to actually do something about it.
Q. Is consideration being given to standing up primary health clinics delivered by the LHD in those areas where there is insufficient supply of GPs?
A. Absolutely. Yes, one of the areas that are being explored just now with surveying the community is exactly that: where do we place our community hubs in the north? What's the best place? It has to have public transport, accessibility and all these sorts of things, and it may indeed not be a hub, it may be a number of satellite areas. So that question is being asked just now and getting the community input.

One way where it was very successful just recently is Long Jetty. Long Jetty covered a lot of the COVID patients during the worst part of the pandemic. When you came out of that, we asked - sorry, the LHD asked the community what they wanted in Long Jetty, what sort of services do they want. It is a very large survey and, as a result of that, urgent care services have been put in Long Jetty, so
responding to the community need. So I think in answer to your question, yes, we are asking the community, we are trying to put the services where the community most needs them.
Q. The urgent care facilities, though, are they - is it contemplated that they will provide stable care with continuity of the type required for good primary health care?
A. Yes. The urgent care centres also have GPs in there and the benefit for those GPs is that they've got allied health, radiology, all sitting there at their fingertips, as it were.
Q. So when you spoke earlier about the decisions about where to locate community hubs, is it contemplated that these community hubs might have GPs, salaried GPs delivering primary health care to the community through them?
A. Some of them will have. Not all of them. It depends, obviously, on the services that are offered there. And it is important to emphasise that it is being done with the PHN. You've got to obviously work with them to ensure that they are supportive of it and giving advice about that. But some of them may very well have GPs in there, yes.
Q. Can we scroll down to page 0011 in that document. You see there the heading "Caring for the community", which is next of the high-level strategic objectives.
A. Yes.
Q. Again, would you accept that, at least as it appears on that page, it is cast at a fairly high level?
A. Yes, the objectives are fairly high level.
Q. So looking at the first of those objectives "Improved health and health literacy within the community", how is it anticipated that the achievement of those objectives might be measured?
A. One of the committees that existed during the pre-COVID part of this strategy is the community and consumer engagement committee, and one of their - one of the objectives of that committee was to improve health literacy through the community.

The members of that committee had been on that committee for up to 10 years. In fact, their tenure was up
in 2020 or 2021, something like that. And so - and the reason why that committee didn't really make inroads into things like health literacy was it became very narrow based. The consumers all had some - either they all had their own chronic problem or one of the partners or family had, and so it tended to bring a very narrow focus to that committee and it was very hard to broaden that focus into "But what does the community want?" They weren't laterally becoming the voice of the community.

So when their tenure was up, we have restructured that committee and, again, health literacy will be one of the areas that will be focused on.
Q. So as part of the current monitoring and oversight by the board of the LHD's achievement of that objective, what is being measured by the board?
A. The committee is now called the consumer and community committee - we've just dropped the word "engagement" - and it is in its formative phase. I think I mentioned that in the statement. So we haven't got down to that level of granularity about what exactly is going to be measured. So I would imagine that by the time the end of this financial year comes, by July, we will have the appropriate terms of reference, their priorities against the strategic plan, so it's aligned and, once again, an emphasis on specific measures that are real and are able to be provided.
Q. Recognising immediately that this is not a document of your creation, if you look at the measures of success on the far right-hand column there, would it be right to say that none of those things are a terrific measure of the extent to which the objective of improving the health of the community within the LHD has been achieved?
A. To some degree some of these actually have been achieved - to some degree. If you take the second one, which is individuals and communities have more informed choice about the care they receive, we have started a home birthing service and that home birthing service was instigated by a survey that went to both pregnant women and those who had recently been delivered, and asked them, if you had a choice for home birthing, would you wish that option, and about 15 per cent, I think the figure was, said yes, we would want that option. So that home birthing service has now started and it has had its first 30 to 40 patients. So to the extent that that second dot point talks about choice, that's one example that I can give you.

The second one is smoking, risky drinking and obesity decreasing. You will remember in the Ministry of Health in the service level agreement, those were KPIs. We have successfully reduced the amount of smoking in pregnant women, more successful in the non-Aboriginal than in the Aboriginal groups, but both groups have responded to that. Obviously some of these objectives, like obesity is decreasing, you have to be very specific about what you are talking there. It is a very large --
Q. What about smoking cessation in the wider population? The KPIs that I think you have referred to were targeted to smoking cessation within a cohort of pregnant women.
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Divided up between Indigenous and non-Indigenous?
A. Correct.
Q. What about smoking cessation in the wider community, is that something that's assessed?
A. The information would be gained more from sort of national or state level information about smoking. I'm sure our preventive health group within the LHD would have more specific information about the breadth of LHD smoking. I couldn't give you an answer to what it is.
Q. But to what extent, looking at the noble objective there of improved health - I mean, it is understandable. A. Sorry?
Q. Looking at the objectives there on the page under the heading "Objectives", the first of them is "Improved health".
A. I would have to agree, it is a fairly broad statement. No-one would necessarily disagree with it, but I'm not sure how you manage --
Q. It is sound as an objective, you would agree?
A. Its breadth is concerning, I think.
Q. And when one then tracks across to "measures of success", those items which are identified as the measures of success are a pretty poor indication, aren't they, of the extent to which that broad objective has been achieved? A. Look, I would say to you that the lessons learned from this strategic plan, albeit with two years of interruption,
the lessons learned are that if we're putting up strategic plans and priorities, that the measures are very specific and not as broad as those ones.

May I say that even with that as - those as measures of success, each year you should come up with an implementation plan, so you should take that very broad objective and run it into very specific areas about what you want to achieve that first year, second year, and that's what the board will follow as well.
Q. So is that something that the board has done to date?
A. This is what the board is forming, its strategic plan, and I just mentioned the HCQC forming its priorities to achieve one of the elements of the strategic plan, absolutely, and you did ask about KPIs. Yes, there will be KPIs associated with it, so you will know at the end of the year whether you have achieved or not achieved in a very specific way.
Q. So going forward, that's the board's plan, is to take what might be relatively high level strategic objectives and break them down into key measures that are to be taken in order to achieve those objectives in a calendar year; is that right?
A. That's correct, and it will be done through the subcommittees.
Q. And associated with that will be a set of KPIs which the board will need to satisfy itself, actually measure in some way achievement of those objectives?
A. Exactly. Quite, yes.
Q. But that currently doesn't exist - that is to say, that structure is the way the board intends to proceed? A. Is proceeding. I did mention the HCQC has already had this discussion. We're right in the middle of the priorities for HCQC based on the main objectives of the strategic plan, so we're bang in the middle of doing exactly that, and we hope to have all that, certainly we'11 be finished by the new financial year.
Q. So there is an overlap between that process and clinical service planning; is that right?
A. Yes, the strategic $p l a n$ has to reflect the clinical
services plan, and the clinical services plan has to reflect the major challenges in the LHD. So they are all
connected.
Q. To what extent is the board involved in the preparation of the clinical services plan?
A. The clinical services plan was basically undertaken by the ELT in conjunction with the clinicians. So when it was in an early phase of being adopted, the board had at least two meetings, as far as $I$ can recall, about the clinical service plan, where the major objectives are.

Now, the clinical services plan again is worked in two phases. One is the sort of high level, this is what we want to achieve, so it doesn't actually say that we will we have two physicians in that specialty and we'11 now make it three. We have an objective, a broad objective, and then how that is delivered is worked out on an annual basis and the board wil1 be involved in overseeing it, asking questions how that fits in with the strategic plan, all the sorts of questions that you would expect so that we're confident that the CSP and the strategic plan are both being enacted.
Q. So coming back to your description of what the clinical services plan might involve, would it be right to say that it might involve a proposal that there be an endocrinology outpatients clinic, a public outpatients clinic, but wouldn't descend into the detail of how many endocrinologists would be on hand to staff it at any given time?
A. It certainly doesn't name the number of endocrinologists in that clinic. If there was no endocrinology clinic, maybe at a high level, it would say establish an endocrinology outpatient clinic, or whatever, or establish an outpatient clinic that will see urgent cases presented to ED rather than sort of waiting a week or so. So it might define that very broad. But then you have to go into very specific planning about establishing the clinic, if it doesn't exist, expanding the clinic, deciding how many endocrinologists you have and would that be adequate, because it's going to take some pressure off the ED as well as responding to the GP needs in the community.

So you can see it is sort of high level and then you become more granular as the plan starts being looked at in a prioritised way on an annual basis.
Q. But as considered even at that high level, it involves
in making decisions about what services to offer in the face of what is a limited budgetary envelope; is that right ?
A. I missed the question, sorry.
Q. Even at that high level, it involves the making of relatively high level decisions about which particular services to offer in the face of what is a limited budgetary envelope.
A. Sure. But every time you consider offering a service, the question is always asked about what - how we're particularly providing that service currently, is there any benefit in moving to a new service that (a) provides better care for the patients and, (b), provides the resources to allow you to do that. It's always an ongoing question.
Q. The starting point for that analysis is information about the clinical needs of the community.
A. Absolutely, yes.
Q. What information does the board have available to it on that topic?
A. Yes, so the clinical - the needs assessment of the LHD was undertaken one or two years ago in a very detailed manner and that - some of that information we see in the clinical service plan as the sort of burning platform. These are the problems we have, here is all the various cohorts of diseases that we've got in our LHD.
Q. Who undertook that?
A. Sorry?
Q. Who undertook that assessment?
A. It was undertaken by the LHD in conjunction with the ministry, as far as I can recall.
Q. And insofar as you understand it, was it an assessment made of the specific needs of the population of the Central Coast or was it a more general population-based estimate? A. It was specifically Central Coast that we're talking about, the LHD, and looking at both cohorts in terms of age, disease profile, et cetera, et cetera, and location, north, south, east, west of the LHD. So there was a lot of information provided in that needs analysis, and that information has been used as part of the strategic planning process, and yes, the board did see that and it is - in the early phase of writing up the strategic plan, you will find
in the first few pages all the graphs about what happens if we don't do anything and what happens if we reduce this, that and the next thing. So it actually sets up what our main objective has to be for the strategic plan.
Q. What information does the board have available to it with respect to the extent to which the needs of the population are being met by the provision of services external to the LHD - so, for example, through market-based delivery of primary health care or specialist care?
A. I think your starting point would be that - I did mention vulnerable groups. We are aware that we have a requirement to improve the services in the community to meet these cohorts who are appearing in the emergency department who could otherwise be treated in a non-hospital setting or a non-ED setting. Again, it's work you do with the general practitioner. But, clearly, when you have a relative paucity of general practitioners providing primary care, it does put the pressure on the LHD to provide those services where that paucity exists.

I wouldn't be able to say we're confident that we're delivering all the services that the LHD needs currently, because the needs assessment tells you precisely what you need to do and part of the strategic plan is to achieve that, and it is certainly the burning platform that $I$ did mention that we really need to change the way we're doing things.
Q. But you mentioned earlier an understanding by the board of a shortage of GPs within the northern part of the LHD. So that, I gather, means the board has available to it some information about the extent to which the primary health care needs of the community are capable of being met by GPs practising privately within the LHD. What about other ways in which the primary - what about other ways in which the care needs of the community, say specialist care, are being dealt with other than through the LHD? Is an assessment made of what is out there already meeting those needs and, if so, what is it?
A. It is a very difficult question, actually, in many parts. We don't have - at board level the information we would rely on is from the LHD's preventive health group, as well as the needs analysis I have just mentioned, as well as information that comes from the association with the PHN and the work that is done with the GP collaboratives. So that's information that is able to be fed to the board,
usually through the chief executive's report, which is in every month.

Apart from that, again, what happens in ED presentations is also a reflection of what is happening in the community, so in Wyong, which as I have already said is an extraordinarily busy emergency department, a lot of the cases - or presentations, I should say - that are seen there are of the 4 s and 5 s , which are - some of which you would probably say are primary health, 4 s and 5 s , and really wouldn't be there if they had appropriate primary health care in the community. I hope that answers your question to some degree.
Q. To what extent is the board's involvement in ciinical service planning taking those sorts of issues into account and seeking to deal with them?
A. It would be extraordinary if those issues were not taken into account and presented to the board. The board wouldn't accept that at all. The board has a very good relationship with the ELT in terms of allowing a robust discussion - we don't always agree with what the ELT plans are - or you might not agree initially with the CSP, aspects of the CSP, but the explanation that is provided by the chief executive or his team will allow you to accept the direction, but we'11 keep a weather eye on whether or not that comes to fruition.

I think it is important that even in this plan, five years is a heck of a long time. You can't predict what's going to happen in five years, and bang in the middle of this, two years of COVID, which was entirely unpredictable. So you have to split it up into what is a reasonable time frame, and I think monthly - sorry, annual review of the plan and prioritising the aspects of the plan that you particularly wish to occur, which reflects what's happened in the health sector. Health moves very quickly, as you are well aware.
Q. Just picking up on your example of the shortage of GPs in the northern part of the LHD, is that a new problem or is it a problem which is of longstanding?
A. Are you talking about GPs?
Q. A GP shortage in the northern part of your LHD?
A. I do recall it being talked about in 2019. I don't think it's a short-term issue. Certainly - so that's as
best I can answer that.
Q. So you would agree, would you not, that the accessibility of adequate primary care to community members is central to the promotion, protection and maintenance of the health of the community within those areas?
A. Primary care is - are you asking if primary care is important to the community?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes, absolutely, of course.
Q. Important to the community, but particularly important to the promotion, protection and maintenance of the health of the community?
A. It certainly - with the LHD, it certainly is an important component of that, absolutely.
Q. So in seeking to ensure that the LHD has been achieving that primary purpose, has the board raised with the chief executive the need to deliver those - deliver primary care in those areas where the GP market is too thin to deliver that care adequately to all of the community who live there?
A. It's a discussion that occurs very, very frequently at board level.
Q. And what has been the result of those discussions? A. Well, the expansion of the non-hospital care and improved health care in the community. These are two parts of the new strategic plan. So the whole focus there is twofold. One is to protect hospital beds for those who absolutely require it, protect the ED for those who require it, but importantly, as I said at the very start, provide community-based care, even when there is no GPs, you know, some of which will, or a lot of which, might be primary care. So expansion of that has - you know, the LHD and the board are very supportive of that.
Q. So to the extent that this problem has existed since at least 2019, is the board satisfied that the expansion of primary care or urgent care has occurred to an extent which is now adequately meeting the primary health care needs of members of the community in those northern areas?
A. The best way to describe what has happened is we have accelerated the non-hospital care quite enormously since the new chief executive arrived. I think the number of -
and correct me, I may require correcting but we've got now about 30 to 40 , I think it's 40 , HITH beds, for instance, from four. The community services are being expanded, and will continue to be expanded with the new strategic plan. To the extent that it covers all the services that are required, vis-à-vis the needs assessment, I don't think I could be able to say that that's occurred, and I suspect that we've still much more work to do, but I think we've made enormous strides in the correct direction.
Q. In terms of the delivery of primary care through those expanded services, is that delivered through salaried employees of the LHD?
A. Some of them are done in conjunction with GPs, but yes, of course, most - a lot of the officers are indeed employees of the LHD.
Q. And you may not be aware, but are you aware of whether any discussions or negotiations have occurred between the ministry and the Commonwealth about recovering MBS payments for the services, primary health care services, delivered by those salaried employees?
A. I think that is a focus for the ministry. I'm pretty sure that our chief executive and other chief executives, when they have their combined meetings, raise very similar questions, very similar points to the secretary, who then has the ability to take it to the Commonwealth.

While we're on the cusp of Sydney, the further away from the Sydney Basin, the larger the problem is in trying to recruit GPs and appropriate staff, so that means half the chief executives will be really pushing very hard. I can't tell you what the response of the Commonwealth would be, but certainly from the New South Wales state viewpoint, I know directly from the secretary, when she has meetings with the board chairs, mostly virtual these days, she expands on what discussions are happening with the Commonwealth.
Q. And insofar as she has done that, what's your understanding of the status of those discussions?
A. The services of?
Q. Insofar as she has expanded on her negotiations with the Commonwealth around those issues, to what extent - what is your understanding of the point that they have reached, insofar as she has told you?
A. I wouldn't be able to say very much about it. I know that there are some moves in the aged care sector to have a closer liaison with the state in delivery of aged care services. I think Western Australia is one example where that has occurred to a greater rather than lesser extent.

MR MUSTON: I note the time, Commissioner. I will be relatively brief. We can perhaps then take the adjournment, unless you would like to --

THE COMMISSIONER: What does "relatively brief" mean?
MR MUSTON: 15 minutes.
THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Keep going.
MR MUSTON: Q. Could I take you to paragraph 37 of your statement, which hopefully will be brought up on your screen for you. You point out some opportunities. Can I just ask, in relation to the first of them - see 37(a) if you could just read that to yourself and then I will just invite you to expand on what you mean by that. A. One of the challenges in any large organisation - we have a very large organisation - is to ensure that all the staff are singing from the same hymn sheet. So we have a very broad strategic plan, we've done the consultations, and now we have to ensure that whatever we're prioritising from that, those strategic plans are able to be taken by management, middle management and frontline managers and translated into what they can do to achieve that strategic plan.
Q. What do you see as the board's role there? What can the board add to that?
A. Well, the board - one of the - one of the ways that the board understands what is happening at ward level or facility level is the walkabouts that I mentioned already.

Secondly, if you find that some of the KPIs are not being achieved, questions are then asked. Of course, the ELT usually has already undertaken an understanding of why that occurs, and sometimes it's because there has been a less than ideal response from some managers, for instance, who either mistake what is being asked of them, and so there is - we will call it a re-education of them to try and get them over the line to ensure that the objectives in the strategic pl an are there.

This is an issue in any large organisation, as you might anticipate, and we certainly, at the LHD level - the ELT works hard to ensure that that doesn't occur.
Q. The next point you make in $37(b)$ - you tell us there about some work that has been done. To what extent does that present as an opportunity going forward? Is it your point that that work should be expanded and continued in a way that would be opportune?
A. Yes. This path to excellence has been around for most of this year, if not a little longer than that, and it's been rolled out gradually, unit by unit or directorate by directorate, so that it's in a managed manner, and it basically gives the staff the ability to inform the staff and teach the staff how to improve services and kind of gives them permission to do that in line with the strategic plan.

It's been very well received in the units that have undertaken it, to the extent that they are then able to undertake their own projects within the directorate or the ward, and there are some very, very positive outcomes as a result of that.

So you have an empowered staff, or more empowered than they were before they did the course, and that helps considerably, as you might imagine. If you have got frontline staff working as a group with the managers and the ELT, you are on a very strong wicket to make changes that are required. And there are changes, and the best ones who know what the changes are are the frontline staff and frontline clinicians.
Q. Turning over to the final point you make there, subparagraph (c), at the very end of that paragraph, having identified changes in models of care and the potential benefits and costs associated with those changing models of care, you refer to a recognition by the CCLHD of the value and, in order to retain it, the need to redistribute parts of the budget. Can I just ask, the first question, what involvement, if any, does the board have in discussions around the service level agreement and the amount of money which is delivered to the LHD as part of its budget? A. The negotiations with the ministry for the SLA is in the chief executive's responsibility.
Q. So do I take from that that the board does not see it as part of its function to seek a particular budgetary allocation through the SLA?
A. It's not responsible or involved in the budgetary bottom line, no. But what it does over the year is that if we are having a model of care, for instance, that is new and would wish to have some seeding funding from the ministry, we would be - that would be part of the discussion at board level saying, you know "I hope that's going to be part and parcel of the negotiations", and part of the response from the chief executive back to the board would be how successful that is.

So not the discussion at dollar level, but we certainly would be having - the board would have its input as to the sorts of areas where we believe that if the ministry provides seeding or adjusts what it's doing, then the board would see that as a benefit. It's a discussion that would have been informed, of course, with the chief executive and the ELT.
Q. I gather from the example you give us in subparagraph (c) of the elderly and frail model of care, that some seed funding, to use your term, was provided by the ministry to --
A. I believe there was some seed funding, but the cost of the service was higher than that, and we are in tight fiscal times, as you are aware.

In order to see the benefits of that come through, it's going to take longer than the time frame that we had.

So at one point the board did get a little anxious about that elderly and frail model of care. Not that we didn't support it, as we did, but because there were the financial problems where - were evident, there was discussion about whether or not this is time to drop it completely, or adjust it, or amend it in some way, and so it has been amended so that the costs are much reduced, some more efficient project - which is fine, you have to try some new things, and if it doesn't work, then you change them.
Q. In relation to that particular project, was it your view as the board chair that the project wasn't working or that, rather, it was just not capable of being funded from the budgetary envelope available?
A. No, it's - the advice from the chief executive was that there were some parts of that project weren't working as well as it was anticipated it would, and so those parts have been adjusted so that that doesn't exist anymore. But of course, the monetary side of things plays a part as
well. We've got to keep in budget.
Q. So to the extent that to keep in budget, but potentially deliver new services or introduce new models of care, you tell us there is a need to redistribute parts of the budget. Is the word "redistribution" just a nice way of saying a contraction or cutting of other services to fund a new service?
A. I think that is maybe a bit overstating it.

Redistribution sometimes is a very positive thing. If you
are not seeing patients with major problems in ED and you are managing to provide them in a non-ED setting, often there is a benefit from a dollar viewpoint and certainly, as I said already, all the way through, there are benefits from a patient care viewpoint. So it is not always contraction.
Q. Can I just explore that. Contraction - I gather what you are telling us is a contraction of service might not necessarily be a bad thing, if the needs are being adequately met by the provision of a different service. A. It is the word "contraction" that I'm struggling with. If you are having less people attend ED, okay, that's a contraction in numbers but it's not a contraction in the service. The service is still being delivered by ED, so I prefer the "redistribution of funds when they become available".
Q. But there is a timing issue, isn't there, so the delivery of a new model of care might, as I think you have told us, have the potential to produce fiscal benefits, but those fiscal benefits won't be produced immediately?
A. May be?
Q. They won't be produced immediately.
A. It depends on the - but you are right. But for elderly and frail, for a new model of care, it takes some funding. If you are not going to see the benefits in terms of the monetary side of things, then you are under a little bit of pressure to do something to make it more viable, and that's what's happened.
Q. Being entirely frank, is it your view, as the chair of the board, that the budgetary envelope which is provided or has been provided through the current service level agreement is sufficient to achieve the purposes that you have - the primary purposes of the LHD that you have identified in paragraph 4, that is, providing relief to sick and injured people through the provision of care and treatment and promoting, protecting and maintaining the health of the community?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. You think the amount of money which is currently provided to the LHD through the SLA is sufficient to achieve those purposes?
A. I agree - I say yes to that, and just add a comment that there is considerable emphasis in improving the way we deliver our services that within that budgetary envelope will see, I believe, some services expanded, because, you know, other aspects have freed up the financial side of things. So I think we're on a very positive journey in terms of changing the way we deliver care, and we've talked a lot about the health care in the community. There is a balance always about how much you can use the budget for these new models of care - and the elderly and frail was a good example of that - where you can't go over budget, just even if it is a good idea. So there is a balance. But I think there is enough in the budget with the emphasis that the ELT - the chief executive, ELT and the board has, that will see us delivering better care very broadly within that budget envelope.
Q. What about meeting the objectives of the strategic plan? Do we gather from the answer that you have given that you are satisfied that the current size of the funding envelope made available through the service level agreement is adequate to meet and achieve all of the objectives of the strategic plan?
A. Yes, with a little caveat that sometimes, as the elderly and frail demonstrated, that assistance from the ministry is required, whether it's in seeding money or some other way, and certainly the chief executive is not shy about asking for that when it is appropriate. The ministry, equally, wants to ensure that whatever you are putting up, they see will have the benefit that you are aiming at.

There is confidence in the ministry, I think now, with
this LHD, given the last three years of how we've changed the way the financial problems have disappeared, how our performance has improved immeasurably from three years ago. There is confidence that if we do put something up, the ministry will generally support, but the ministry obviously is under the same pressures from treasury about available funds.

So within the caveat that I've just given you, that we wouldn't be backward about asking for seeding money if it's appropriate. I think the budget is available - is satisfactory.

MR MUSTON: I've got no further questions for this witness, Commissioner.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. Is the answer you have just given, Professor, that you think your funding is adequate - does that take into account the problems in the provision of primary care in the north of your LHD?
A. Yes, I think these new models of care that include community health care, some of that's got to be primary health care type of work, so it's - I anticipate that - in
answer to your question, the answer is yes, I think there is enough there, Commissioner, for us to provide health care if it is required by the community, achieve the strategic plan that we've just alluded to, but again, if there is a requirement for seed funding, we will be happy to ask.

THE COMMISSIONER: Sure. Thank you. Did anything - do you want to ask any questions, Mr Cheney?

MR CHENEY: No, Commissioner.
THE COMMISSIONER: All right. First of all, thank you very much for your time. We're very grateful and you are excused, Professor.
<THE WITNESS WITHDREW
THE COMMISSIONER: What time would you like to - what time works?

MR MUSTON: 45 minutes?
THE COMMISSIONER: Shall we make it 2.30 ?

MR MUSTON: 2.30 it is.
THE COMMISSIONER: A11 right. Let's adjourn unti1 2.30.

## LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, Dr Waterhouse.
DR WATERHOUSE: Commissioner, I call Pamela Rutledge.
<PAMELA ANN RUTLEDGE, sworn:
[2.31. pm]
<EXAMINATION BY DR WATERHOUSE:
DR WATERHOUSE: Q. Can you state your full name, please?
A. Pamela Ann Rutledge.
Q. You are a community member on the Nepean Blue Mountains Local Health District board?
A. I'm a member of the board. It's never been formally designated as a community member. I suppose all the board members are there as part of the overall governance.
Q. And you have been a member since Apri1 2022?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you describe your professional background and the current roles that you have apart from the board membership?
A. Certainly. Thank you. My basic background is as a social worker, but then I have worked in the health system for many years, in senior executive roles and then the latter part of my formal career, full -time career, was as the CEO of a not for profit working in community mental health called Flourish Australia, and at the moment I'm also a part-time member of the Mental Health Review
Tribuna1. I've recently been appointed as a community member to the Guardianship Division of NCAT and I'm also a part-time mental health commissioner, deputy mental health commissioner for the Mental Health Commission, and also on the board of Aspect Autism Australia.
Q. Those are roles that you hold currently?
A. Yes.
Q. And you have concluded the Flourish Australia one?
A. Yes.
Q. Why did you apply to join the board?
A. I've always had a strong commitment to the role of health services in people's lives and to the need for high-quality, safe and effective health care, and the opportunity arose when the positions were advertised soon after I retired.
Q. Do you have a copy of a document there titled "Outline of evidence of Pamela Rutledge AM"?
A. I do, thank you.
Q. Have you had a chance to review that document before giving evidence today?
A. I have, yes.
Q. To the best of your knowledge, is the content of the document true and correct?
A. Yes.

DR WATERHOUSE: Commissioner, I will ask for the witness outline to be included in the tender bundle and we might bring it up on the screen. It's [MOH.9999.1061.0001].
Q. Now, if we have a look at the second half of paragraph 4 of that document, you say:

Health care needs to be closely linked with other service sectors in its planning and delivery. This requires decentralised management of the health system which I believe is the core rationale for LHDs.

Do you see that there?
A. I do.
Q. I just want to separate out some of the elements of those sentences. Firstly, what other service sectors do you have in mind need to be integrated and linked to health care?
A. Well, health is a huge part of our community service sector, so I'm also thinking of community services in general, services that are provided by the NDIS, for example, disability services, the aged care sector and community services that are providing information and support to members of the public. So there is a vast
network of other services out there that health really needs to rely on and work with.
Q. How do you think they should be linked for the purposes of planning and delivery?
A. Well, partly through roles such as I have on the board, so bringing people on to the board who have different areas of expertise, who can comment from different perspectives, and then at every level I think we need to make sure that the health service providers are engaging with other parts of the service system and not trying to do it all themselves. So it's part of planning, part of delivery. So having interagency connections around every part of the health system is very important.
Q. Again you mentioned the NDIS. Can you give an example of how that works with the NDIS in terms of the linkage at the moment?
A. Yes. Well, the NDIS of course has been - is very complex and has its own issues, but, for example, part of what we monitor at board level in the LHD is the extent to which NDIS delays and challenges are impacting the costs and patient care in the LHD. So we have - in the reports that we receive every month, we see the number of patients that are still in hospital waiting for an NDIS package to be approved or waiting for services to be provided. So they are very important measures. And then we also need to then make sure that we're getting back to the NDIS about the need for them to understand the impact on the cost in the health system that their system is having.
Q. So that's a challenge that the district experiences.
A. Yes.
Q. But what about in terms of the linkage of the planning, et cetera?
A. Yes. Well, in the - let me pause for a second, rather than burst out. In the operational planning, for example, for any part of our LHD, we would want to understand what services would be available for, for example, older people leaving hospital, and it is often through people with backgrounds such as mine, through the social workers in the hospitals, that those services are engaged and brought into the picture. But because much of what we do in the health system these days is about chronic care, and often people need a lot of support in their home community and especially to try and enable them not to be admitted to
hospital, so getting those other services engaged at the individual patient level and at the planning level is really important. I'm not sure if I'm fully answering your question.
Q. That's okay. Is it something that you feel is happening well, a sort of close linkage between the district and planning for NDIS services currently? A. I don't think the NDIS is yet fully at the point where it is - the NDIS is still very much reactive. It is market driven, and so the extent to which services can engage in planning about what is needed is a bit outside the remit of the NDIS at the moment, I think. So I think that is an ongoing challenge for us.
Q. Why do you feel that decentralised management is required for there to be close links with other service sectors?
A. Well, for my sins, I was a part of, many years ago, the early planning, I was working in health planning in 1986 when we did the early work on the creation of area health services. So the precursor, of course, was local hospitals having their own boards, and that was too decentralised, really. It meant that hospitals became sort of individual advocates for very, very local services and for very expensive services. So there was a need to bring services together to create economies of scale, and I'm not saying that every part of health should be decentralised, of course, I'm talking about the individual patient experience and the management of those interactions needs to be as localised as possible to ensure that it can respond to the particular needs of that community.

So, for example, in Nepean Blue Mountains, we have a high proportion of Aboriginal people, 4.7 per cent of our population is Aboriginal. We need to have a lot of focus on liaising with our Aboriginal community and with Aboriginal services. So that can really only be done by the people who are delivering the services in health having that sort of local liaison.
Q. Could a counter argument be made that for some service linkages, it would be better to centralise it so that there was consistent planning across the state between - at those high levels?
A. Yes, of course, for high-level and specialist
services, there is no doubt that we do need to have the
pillars and have services that are specialised services that are provided for a much larger population and you can't deliver them effectively and at a high quality without it being at that sort of level. So I suppose I'm talking at the other end of the spectrum.
Q. And what do you mean by "decentralised management is the core rationale for local health districts"?
A. Well, just harking back to my experience of working in health planning and knowing how really important it was to have the planning delivered close to the community so that there can be consultation, so that we can nuance the delivery arrangements to that local community and the people who are getting the service. It is much harder to do that if you are operating at a much more centralised level.
Q. In your next paragraph you note that you are the chair of two board subcommittees, the Aboriginal health subcommittee and the joint integrated health and wellbeing subcommittee. If I take the first one, the Aboriginal health subcommittee, do you identify as Aboriginal yourself?
A. No, I don't.
Q. Do you have expertise, knowledge or experience of Aboriginal health?
A. I have worked - across my whole career, I have worked with Aboriginal communities in every area of my work, but I am sort of reluctantly in that role. We would greatly appreciate having an Aboriginal board member.
Q. So there is no-one who identifies as Aboriginal on the board?
A. No, not at the moment.
Q. Is there anyone on the board who identifies as having expertise in Aboriginal health specifically?
A. No, other than - I think I would probably be the most - the one who had the most experience.
Q. And in your roles, when you say you have dealt with
it, I mean, what particular roles have you had that have had involvement at an Aboriginal health setting?
A. Well, I've worked - from my experience as a frontline social worker and delivering services, I've worked with Aboriginal people and communities; I've worked - in
housing, I was responsible for the establishment of our Reconciliation Action Plan and working with our Aboriginal staff. So I've worked in many settings with Aboriginal staff and been part of growing the Aboriginal workforce and understanding the background, and of course in mental health, as part of being a deputy commissioner, I've been very involved in the drafting of the mental health strategic plans for New South Wales, and Aboriginal mental health is a major focus, and an area where we know we can learn so much for the rest of the population about Indigenous concepts of spiritual wellbeing and physical and emotional wellbeing.
Q. Going to the other committee, the joint integrated health and wellbeing subcommittee, now, am I correct in understanding that this is a board subcommittee for Nepean Blue Mountains Local Health District?
A. Yes.
Q. But it is also a joint subcommittee with the PHN?
A. Yes.
Q. The primary health network?
A. Yes.
Q. And you co-chair it with a board member from the PHN;
is that right?
A. Of the PHN, that's right, yes.
Q. How does that work in terms of governance?
A. Well, it's supported by - well, it reports to both boards. We do a regular report after each meeting that goes to both boards, and we have a key staff member at a senior executive level in the LHD and in the PHN who work together on the workload of the committee.
Q. Who would have the ultimate say if there was a disagreement in terms of a decision to be made by that committee? Which board would have the ultimate sway?
A. I don't think we would let it get to that point. We would just keep talking.
Q. But there must be times when the agenda for each is different in terms of what you want to achieve?
A. There may be matters of emphasis, because the PHN has a major role around the support of primary care and they, of course, are funded by the Commonwealth and come in with
a strong agenda around primary care. I think it's about identifying opportunities for working together and I I mean, the two years that I've been involved, I haven't seen any areas of disagreement, because it is about - we're working on a long-term program, really, I think it is important to say, about integrated care, because we're working to try and bring primary care and acute and chronic health care really closer together.
Q. Given it is a subcommittee of the board that you are on, why was it set up to have a dual reporting function to another board as well?
A. That question precedes me. I would have to take that on notice.
Q. Are there other subcommittees of the Nepean Blue Mountains Local Health District board that also report to another board?
A. No. No.
Q. So it is unique in that regard?
A. It is unique and I think it is unique across the LHDs.

I'm not sure, but I get that impression.
Q. What is the scope of responsibility, if I can put it that way, for this subcommittee? What's the range of things that it gets involved in?
A. It's got a fairly broad remit. I think the terms of reference have been provided. I'm not sure. We are just working on a new structure and new terms of reference. But it's very broad and, as I say, long term, looking for opportunities. So we have some immediate projects and programs. For example, we have a joint regional suicide prevention, mental health and suicide prevention plan, that was worked up together between the two organisations, and so that is jointly monitored and is about making sure that services are integrated at the front end.

We are looking at a number of other program areas within the LHD. For example, diabetes management has been a focus, where the LHD has a number of roles, but the importance of primary care and of the GPs is just fundamental. So that's the sort of thing we're working on, trying to look for ways to integrate those services at the front end.
Q. And when you say that's what you are working on, how
does the committee get its work done?
A. Well, it's those two - the two executives, one in the LHD and one in the primary health network, and they have staff who will then again be working together.
Q. So it won't be a case of some projects are done by the PHN, some are done by the district; they will be joint projects in each case?
A. Mainly, yes. The ones that come to the committee would be. Of course, both those people would have a broader remit within their own organisation.
Q. If we can just scroll down to paragraph 7 , and in 7 and 8 there you refer to a restructure of the governance framework for the board and the subcommittees, and that this is now being done to align with the state's future health strategy; is that correct?
A. Mmm-hmm.
Q. So can I first ask you, what process was followed to change the - sorry, to create this restructure, to develop the new system?
A. The board was initially involved in getting feedback on the accreditation process, and the majority of the recommendations of that would have been about services and processes, but there was one recommendation that was about the board's processes and it was suggested that we could do more to align to health futures so that there was a really direct link between the KPIs in health futures and our KPIs and the work of our subcommittees. So that came to the board and then the --
Q. Sorry, that recommendation came to the board?
A. That recommendation came to the board, not high1y formally but as feedback from the accreditation process, and then the planners, the planning team and other executives did the work-up to think about how we could manage our committees in a different way.
Q. And just to clarify, that's what you refer to in paragraph 6, the accreditation against the national standards?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. Was that accreditation of a particular hospital?
A. No, that was of the whole LHD.
Q. The whole LHD?
A. Yes.
Q. So when was the new structure implemented?
A. Well, it's just - it's in the process of being implemented now, so I think the Commission may have seen some documents that refer to the old structure and mine that refers more to the new structure. We're just in the process of finalising all the terms of reference that match all the committees. But it's just - so it's very live now and it did involve some discussion at the board level. For example, we felt strongly, I think the recommendation was that Aboriginal health, for example, be absorbed into one of the other committees, but our board felt very strongly that given the size of our Aboriginal population and the importance of Aboriginal health in our community, that we should maintain that as a separate area of focus.
Q. So what is the time frame for completing the restructure implementation?
A. I think it's very current. It's probably next board meeting, we will have the final set of terms of reference.
Q. So is this new structure intended to assist the board to fulfil its statutory functions?
A. Well, everything we do is about fulfilling our statutory functions. So the subcommittees are about making sure that we have adequate coverage of all the areas of governance and, yes, that - and that we have the right information flow and, you know, at the moment we only have seven board members, so it's also about how we make best use of those people.
Q. How often does the board meet?
A. Monthly.
Q. And so will this new framework, in order to meet your statutory functions, ensure that there are effective frameworks to maintain and improve patient standards?
A. Well, again, one of the things that has - that is being done in the terms of reference is to tighten the link to the KPIs so that we have perhaps a greater clarity around which committee is responsible for which KPIs, that we're not reporting on the same KPI to more than one committee, and that then we can perhaps simplify or streamline the amount of work that the executive and the staff need to do to fully inform us about progress against

KPIs and the other work that's going on. So it's really a11 about patient safety. That's our - patient safety and quality of care and --
Q. I might come back to the KPIs if I can.
A. Okay, yes.
Q. I'm sort of more interested in understanding how this sort of new framework will support maintaining and improving standards in terms of not just ensuring quality but actually looking to the future for how standards will be enhanced over time. So will the committee structure that you have got now help you to do that as a board? A. I think it will because it really strengthens the focus of each committee and makes it clear that we're not duplicating.
Q. And how does the committee structure now, and the board, actually - or will it have systems, rather, when it is finally implemented, that ensure that you are allocating resources equitably across the community needs?
A. I don't think that is a particular focus of our committees.
Q. Sorry, of your meetings, did you say?
A. Of our committees, yes, yes.
Q. What about the board, is that a particular focus for the board, to ensure equitable distribution according to community needs?
A. Absolutely, yes.
Q. And how do you do that as a board?
A. Well, by our focus on patient outcomes at all our facilities and in all our services and ensuring that the staff - we've got the right staff allocation across the services. So that's - it's sort of a part - it's part of the budget management and the planning process.
Q. How wil1 the new board framework ensure that you have appropriate consultation with clinicians and consumers in service planning?
A. Well, all of our subcommittees have - or the vast majority of them have consumer representatives on them who come --
Q. The committees?
A. Yes, the committees do, yes.
Q. What about in service planning specifically?
A. Service planning is always very collaborative and inclusive of community representatives, depending on what the plan is about, but our strategic plan was developed through consultation with - community consultation and with consultation with the community and consumer advisory groups that we have.
Q. Are there clinicians on these subcommittees of the board?
A. Yes .
Q. On each subcommittee or just on --
A. Yes.
Q. -- select ones?
A. They are really - they are called board subcommittees, but they are effectively the board meeting with the relevant executives and clinicians in that area, yes.
Q. And how will the new framework for the board, from a governance perspective, actually enhance the board's ability to monitor the financial and operational performance roles of the district?
A. As I said, I think it will have a tighter focus for each committee on the relevant KPIs, so we have had a few meetings where we've worked through which KPIs are going to be reported to which committee, so that we can have again that really strong focus right through to the board meeting about the KPIs.
Q. Does the board direct the work of the subcommittees and tell them what they need to focus on, or do the subcommittees set their own agendas and just report through to the board?
A. It's a collaborative process, because there are only one or two board members on each subcommittee, so it's about us getting - working with the executive and the frontline staff, where appropriate, to support them, to ensure that they have got the right back-up and the right resources, and to complete the program that is part of the operational and strategic plans.
Q. So that's the board members' influence?
A. Yes.
Q. Coming through to the committees; is that right?
A. Yes, and that would also then be reflected in the board discussions.
Q. If we go to paragraph 10, you mention there that each of the subcommittees meets quarterly online?
A. $\mathrm{Mmm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. And then there are rolling reports of the subcommittees to the board?
A. Yes .
Q. And the director of clinical governance from your district last week, when he gave evidence, mentioned that after each safe care committee he prepares a report for the board.
A. Yes.
Q. Are you familiar with those reports?
A. Yes.
Q. What does the board do in response to a report from the safe care committee?
A. Well, each committee would really be reporting on what we have historically called a quadrant, which is what they have done in the last period, what's been achieved, progress made, what risks have been identified - new risks or emerging risks - what has not been achieved, and what are the challenges for the next period. So we get those reports and they are considered at the board meeting, and if there is anything of major note there that the board thinks is not being addressed, we might ask questions about it and, as I said, the executive are online as well in our board meetings, so we can always go straight to the director and get clarification.
Q. Would it be fair to say that the subcommittee reports that come to the board are mainly for noting?
A. They are primarily for noting, yes.
Q. Do they ever result in actions taken by the board?
A. Yes, they may, if there is a new or emerging risk identified that has not, for example, been put on our risk register or is not being given sufficient weight in our for example, in the audit and risk committee, we might raise that and ask that that be taken up.
Q. Can you give an example of a specific instance where that has happened, that you've taken something from either the safe care committee or one of the other subcommittees and it's flowed through to a board action or board decision?
A. Well, I have referred elsewhere in my outline to the professional governance committee that I also chair.
Q. We will come to that in a moment, if that's okay, but that is an example.
A. Yes, but that is an example of where the - you know, the risks around treatment of junior staff by more senior staff are - they are a big issue for the health system and so - yes.
Q. We will come back to that, thank you. So if I can just go to paragraph 16.
A. Yes.
Q. Now, it says there that - you give an outline of the fact that the board meetings rotate to different facilities in the district?
A. Yes.
Q. And that this is an opportunity to connect with some of the frontline staff, and you give an example there of meeting with the mental health team at one of the facilities and that you took that information to the board meeting?
A. Mmm.
Q. What does the board do with information that it hears from those sorts of consultations?
A. Well, it may be just a matter of noting it; it may be an issue that we want to then build a stronger
communication path around to address concerns raised by staff, or it may be something that is already on an action log but just needs to be expedited. So it varies a lot.
Q. Can you give an example of how it might have sort of guided board decision-making?
A. Well, I did mention earlier the - and one of the things that came up at the discussion with the mental health team in Lithgow was this issue, well, was an issue of recent experience in our mental health services of NDIS service providers - and this is highly contentious - but
service providers bringing - being unable to effectively provide a service to a person. They might be providing accommodation to a person with a very long-term mental health issue and they find that they don't have the skills or the resources to effectively manage that person, so we've had examples of those service providers bringing people in to our mental health centre, our triage service, and leaving them there and, essentially, relinquishing their care, which has huge implications, of course, for the patient and for the service.

That was one of the issues that was raised by the mental health team at Lithgow. It added to information that had been provided by the mental health director at a previous discussion, and so it now has added to a submission that has gone from the LHD to the ministry about the need to raise this with - through the NDIS channe1s.
Q. If we go to paragraph 18, over the page, you refer here to the KPIs for monitoring performance?
A. Yes.
Q. And you note that the board gets a dashboard. First of all, are these the KPIs that are in the service agreement between the district and the secretary?
A. Yes, largely, yes.
Q. When you say "largely", are there --
A. There may be other local - there are other local matters that are added in that might be to do with our local risk register or matters going through our audit and risk process that wouldn't necessarily be part of the dashboard that is constructed, as I understand it, by a combination of the ministry and local resources.
Q. Are those other KPIs set by the board in order to monitor the performance of the district?
A. Yes, and sometimes they are not KPIs, they are really just monitoring progress against completion of targets or project timelines, for example.
Q. Who provides the dashboard?
A. Well, the dashboard is - comes to us as part of our chief executive's report to the board each month, and as I must admit I'm not totally clear about how much comes from the ministry and how much is built locally, but it's
a11 shared data about performance against the KPIs that are in the service agreement.
Q. And is the board responsible for sort of identifying the trends, or are these identified by the executive and presented to the board?
A. They are identified in the data, but the board would then perhaps focus in on something that is of concern and we might ask the executive, the relevant executive who is online, to give us an update or clarify an issue.
Q. Now, in terms of the KPIs, the ones that are in the service agreement, do you consider those effective, based on your sort of long-term professional experience of KPIs and so on?
A. Oh, they are high level, but I think they are at that strategic level, and I think they need to be, because they are about, you know, whole of system. The real issue for us is the measures that sit underneath them, and the measures are highly specific.
Q. Highly?
A. Highly specific, and - yes. They are very demanding, very challenging measures of performance.
Q. When you say the "measures", are you talking about the targets that are set?
A. Yes, the targets and the measures in terms of monitoring, for example, our ED performance, the transfer of care between ambulances and ED, those sort of measures, yes.
Q. Is the number of KPIs about right, from your point of view? Are there too many or too few?
A. I think it's about right, yes.
Q. Would you change anything, if you could, about the KPIs?
A. Well, I'd change some things about the measures. The KPIs are statements of aspiration and intent and they are about what we all want our health service to do. The measures are, as I say, quite challenging and they are very - they are uniform across the state and so they are extra demanding for an LHD like ours that has special challenges.
Q. I'm going to take you now to a different document,
which will come up on the screen in front of you. This is [MOH.9999.0796.0001]. So this is the safety and quality account for reporting on 2022/2023 financial year, and then the future priorities for 2023/2024, and this was published in November last year. Are you familiar with this document?
A. I remember seeing it, yes.
Q. So if we could just go to page 15 of that document to start with. I don't know if we're able to make it a little bigger.
A. Thank you.
Q. That's fine, I think. So if we look at the left side of the columns there, that first column, and it talks about, the third and fourth items, the overall patient experience for ED patients and then the patient engagement index for ED patients not admitted to hospital - do you see those two lines there?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. And by the look of it, the status has a red cross, which indicates above that the performance is outside tolerance. Do you see that?
A. Mmm.
Q. And then underneath, there is a program, and I'm going to attempt to pronounce it, Yanabuni Budyarimana, something along those lines. So was this a response of the board or of the Aboriginal subcommittee to those results?
A. I think that they probably have arisen separately. I mean, we, in the Aboriginal governance committee, Aboriginal health committee, do look very carefully at that, at those KPIs, about Aboriginal people leaving ED before they have seen anyone and about readmission and re-attendance at ED, and they are issues that we would spend time in Aboriginal governance committee, health governance committee, talking to our Aboriginal - our director of Aboriginal services about her perceptions and the feedback she's getting about how well we're doing.

A lot of this goes to the question of how well all of our staff understand the importance of working respectfully with Aboriginal people, as with all people; how often they are prepared and how well they are prepared to ask the question about Aboriginality.
Q. If we just focus on this program.
A. Sure.
Q. It says for this program it looked at the reasons why people were leaving the emergency department at their own risk?
A. Yes.
Q. And they identified that there was a need for an Aboriginal health practitioner?
A. Yes.
Q. So how would that program have come about? Would that be through the director of Aboriginal health and her team?
A. Yes. Yes. But there would have been --
Q. What role?
A. Sorry.
Q. Sorry, no, you go on.
A. It would have been supported by discussion at

Aboriginal health subcommittee and, you know, I don't
remember - it may have preceded my active involvement, but
the usual process would be that if there was a need for
resources for that, we would support a case being made for
the resources for the Aboriginal health practitioner and any other resources that were needed.
Q. So when you said earlier that the KPIs are sort of divided up to avoid duplication and so on across the subcommittees, which subcommittee would be responsible for the patient experience and engagement index in the ED?
A. The health and safety - the safety and quality committee would be - the patient safety committee, I'm stumbling over the names, yes.
Q. Is it the one that is now called the safe care committee?
A. Yes, the safe care committee, yes.
Q. And also called the health care quality committee; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. So they would be responsible for this index or these KPIs?
A. (Witness nods).
Q. Somehow, it's been identified that there is more of an issue within the Aboriginal patients of leaving hospital early and not being engaged?
A. Mmm.
Q. And not being satisfied with their experience. Would that be then referred by the safe care committee to the director of Aboriginal health?
A. I would have to take that on notice. I think we have in the reframing of the committees been looking as well at how many committees the director of Aboriginal health participates in and making sure that we're not overburdening her. But there is agreement that while that committee would have primary responsibility for acting, Aboriginal health will also be informed about the performance on some of these KPIs, because we are working so closely with the director of Aboriginal health and her team.
Q. And at what point would you be informed for something like this? Would it be in the development of the program to address it, or would it be at the end once it was completed and you were just noting it?
A. In terms of the program, do you mean?
Q. This Yanabuni program?
A. Yes, I would - my memory is not very good about that, but any new program would normally come from the director of Aboriginal services to the board subcommittee through her director to seek resources and to describe the intent and to say what issue she is seeking to resolve, and it is a big issue with patients, Aboriginal people not feeling comfortable in ED and so not being able to tolerate having to wait, and so this is a really important initiative.
Q. If we just go across to the other side of the page.
A. Yes.
Q. If we look down to health care associated infections, which is the fifth item there.
A. Yes.
Q. And, again, its performance is outside tolerance. The target is 95.8 , although I'm not sure what that represents, and 120.6 is the result for the district. And underneath it says:

Multi-pronged approach with initiatives under way involving hand hygiene, vascular access techniques and sepsis.

So would that be something that your joint integrated health and wellbeing subcommittee was involved in?
A. I don't think that's an issue that we have particularly picked up. That's very much about in-hospital service delivery and education, staff education and compliance with training. So it's not something that we have particularly dealt with.
Q. What about the board? Would the board --

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. When you say you couldn't work out 95.8 and 120.6 , is that cases per 10,000 ?
A. I don't know. I wasn't able to tell. I think it's going to be like that.
Q. There's some indication about hospital-acquired complications being per 10,000 just at the top?
A. Yes. The way it is expressed, yes, rates expressed per 10,000.

DR WATERHOUSE: Q. So just looking at that, so the health care acquired complications are a significant issue for the board to take an interest in; is that right?
A. Mmm, yes.
Q. So is this something that you would have discussed at a board level, given the extent to which it is outside the tolerance level?
A. I don't think we have discussed - we would discuss the mitigating actions that are being taken. So particularly around training, we look at how - the level of compliance with training, and we would hear if the patient safety committee felt there was anything really significant that they needed board approval to do. Am I answering your question?
Q. So what role does the board take, then, in considering the health care acquired complications in particular?
A. Well, it's one of many where we would be asking what actions are being taken to address it, and not at every not necessarily at every meeting, but we look at the KPIs, and that subcommittee, the relevant subcommittee, would do
that in more detail and come to the board if they thought there was a major issue.
Q. Can we scroll down to the next page, please, in the same column at the top of the page there. So this is talking about mental health peer workforce employment, full-time equivalents, and it says below that again it's outside the tolerance level, it says:

Mental health are committed to supporting the peer workforce, we have established a professional lead for peer workers to support them and oversee the development of the peer workforce.

Would this be one that your committee, your joint committee with the PHN, is involved in?
A. Probably not, because it's about peer workers in the health services, in the health system itself, rather than in primary care, though there are emerging opportunities to draw on peer workers. I've had experience in one of my other roles about growing the peer workforce, and in fact that indicator was added in as a result of the work of the Mental Health Commission in our last strategic plan, saying we needed to have a stronger focus on accountability for growing the peer workforce.

It's a really important part of our mental health initiatives. But it's not so much about the interface with primary care, it's about mental health and in all our mental health and suicide prevention services that we do have peer workers, and the PHN would employ peer workers in their suicide prevention services as well.
Q. So when you said earlier that the subcommittee that you co-chair with the PHN has quite a broad remit --
A. Yes.
Q. -- is it actually limited only to things that involve the PHN? So it's not looking at community mental health care or things like that either?
A. Well, it's - yes, it would - it is looking at community mental health and --
Q. And would there be peer workers as part of that?
A. There are peer workers there, yes. But that accountability is one that sits fair and square on the
shoulders of the LHD, of the executive responsible for community care and mental health, that they have to look at every opportunity to grow the peer workforce.

In the primary health space, there is less a focus on that, though. As I say, they would be employing peer workers, but it's not an issue that requires that intense joint focus, if $I$ make myself clear.
Q. What about in relation to the suicide prevention plan that you are developing with the PHN?
A. Yes. It would be part of that, and it certainly is part of that, but it's again just a rolling responsibility and accountability of the services. It hasn't surfaced as a major issue requiring the focus of the committee.
Q. So where would a KPI like that sit if it's not going to sit with the committee that you co-chair?
A. Probably in the - in one of the workforce - in the workforce area as part of our recruitment and retention programs.
Q. And which KPIs would you be responsible for in your subcommittee, bearing in mind that it's got that dual reporting?
A. We have - it is a very good question. So, for example, as we looked at, the diabetes program, we also looked at the obesity program, and so there are KPIs around obesity. Any of the KPIs where there is a role, a strong role, for primary care to be involved in the person's care, then those are the ones that would come to that committee.
Q. Further down on the same page, in fact, there is one for childhood obesity.
A. Yes.
Q. That refers to children with height and weight recorded and it says underneath:

Initiatives are in place to improve the
recording of height and weight across
inpatient and community settings.
So is that one that would come under your subcommittee?
A. Yes, I expect so, yes.
Q. That seems to be more a measure of - not so much about
the level of childhood obesity, but - well, it is about measuring who has actually had their weight and height checked; is that correct?
A. Yes. I think so, yes.
Q. So it's not initiatives that are addressing childhood obesity; it is an initiative that is addressing whether children are weighed and their height is checked. Is that right?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. Do you think that KPI is helpful in evaluating whether an LHD has a problem with childhood obesity?
A. I think it is the fundamental. If we're not measuring it, then we can't be doing - we can't have the information and know whether it's an issue. So I think it is a core part of encouraging primary care practitioners and community health teams to be focusing on it.
Q. Is it enough?
A. I would have to take that on notice. I'm not sure what other KPIs there are around addressing childhood obesity. There should be some.
Q. Would they not come under your committee, whatever KPIs there are for childhood obesity?
A. They may but, as I say, we're just reframing which KPIs are going to which committees at the moment, as part of that revision of the terms of reference, so $I$ apologise, I'm not a hundred per cent clear about whether that would be there or not.
Q. But you would agree that purely measuring a child's height and weight is not enough to say that the district is actively addressing childhood obesity?
A. Yes, absolutely. I agree with that.
Q. We might go back to your statement, if that's okay.

So if we could go to paragraph 19 , and you note there that
the board is heavily involved with the district executive to address the budget, and you refer to that as being a major challenge for the district where you are on the board?
A. Mmm .
Q. What actions has the board taken in relation to this?
A. The board is - and the CE is currently finalising
a budget recovery plan to be submitted to the ministry, I think shortly.
Q. Are there other actions being taken with the district chief executive or the executive leadership team?
A. I think that's the primary focus at the moment on the budget, it's about how we address deficits.
Q. That sounds like it might be a final step after a lot of steps along the way; is that correct?
A. Yes, a lot of work happens in the organisation before things are submitted to the board.
Q. And what was the board's role for this point?
A. The board had at our last meeting a very active robust discussion about the items that are proposed for inclusion in that recovery plan and asked questions about what was in and what was out and - yes.
Q. If we just go down to the bottom of the page there, 21, you refer there to serious adverse incidents being reported to the board. What does the board do with that information?
A. Well, the board's role is always to make sure that correct action is being taken or has been taken. So a lot of the adverse incidents that we hear about are post hoc. I mean, the chair would normally be notified of anything major on the spot, but then the board is informed about what action is being taken and what mitigation is proposed to avoid further incidents.
Q. In the next paragraph, you refer to the People Matter Employment Survey, and I would like to suggest it might be the People Matter Employee Survey; does that sound correct?
A. It could well be correct.
Q. And you said that that identified a concerning level of bullying of junior staff and that this led to the establishment of the committee you mentioned before, the professional governance committee?
A. (Witness nods).
Q. And you chair that committee; is that correct?
A. I do.
Q. You said that it's inspired by the Vanderbilt mode1
from the USA. Can you briefly explain firstly what the

Vanderbilt model is?
A. Well, the Vanderbilt model is an approach to early intervention in matters where there is a behaviour of concern by a more senior staff member, and it's been evaluated and it is formally sponsored in Australia by I think the Cognitive Institute, which is based in Queensland, but our senior clinicians have read and been very concerned about this and so have brought a proposal to the board that we establish this arrangement to seek to get the same results.
Q. Do you know any of the steps in the Vanderbilt model that have been taken into this model you have used?
A. Yes. Well, it sits alongside formal policies around complaints and disciplinary matters, but it is - it has once a concern is identified, then it starts with a very informal, what is called a coffee chat, between an appropriate more senior clinician who has a good working relationship with the clinician whose behaviour has been identified. So it starts with a coffee chat, which really is just that and has no further implications. It's not documented, it doesn't go on anyone's record; it is about seeking to give the person immediate feedback, or as early as possible feedback, and to help them to reflect.

Then, if the behaviour continues, then it goes through the steps of a more formal complaint and can become eventually a disciplinary matter through the HR system.
Q. How does your professional governance committee become aware of a situation that requires intervention?
A. Well, we are in the process still of setting up the arrangement. What we're setting up is a place on the staff intranet where people can just press a button and a form appears and they can submit the basic details of the issue, and that will then come to the executive office of our director of clinical services, and then that person will then convene a meeting of our committee.
Q. The paragraph refers to intervention where a doctor's behaviour to another staff member is of concern. Is the committee limited to doctors' behaviour, or does it deal with concerning behaviour of other staff as well? A. No, this model is based around doctors. A similar model could work for other disciplines, but the Vanderbilt model and the work of the Cognitive Institute is initially around, at this stage, doctors. I think that it's - the
rationale for that is that our doctors are under extreme stress and pressure, as I'm sure you are hearing, and that their role is so crucial as the leader of multidisciplinary services across the health system, so getting in early and seeking to help them to adjust their behaviour can have huge ripple effects for a lot of people, and so that is where we think the intervention is best placed.
Q. When you say it fits alongside the policy --
A. Mmm.
Q. -- can you just explain that a bit further? How does it fit alongside the HR policy or whatever?
A. Well, it's made very clear in that the work of the committee is just about that very initial first step, and if the behaviour continues or the person is affected, is still unhappy and they don't get any feedback from the professional governance process, so if they then need to make a formal complaint, that would go through the formal complaint handling procedures, which is managed by - and grievance procedures, which is managed by the people and culture team in the LHD.
Q. You said this is being set up at the moment; is that correct?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. Is there a plan to evaluate its effectiveness?
A. Yes.
Q. In paragraph 23, if we move down to that, it says that the board is able to engage in planning process to the extent it wishes to, and then it says that its fundamental role is to provide oversight of CSP documents as a final step before they go to the ministry. Now, when you say that the board can be engaged to the extent it wishes to, does this mean that the board has limited involvement in some clinical services plans?
A. No, I may have misrepresented it in the way I've written that. No, what I'm meaning is that as the planning team goes through a community and staff consultation process, board members may be part of any of those processes, so that a board member who has a clinical role within the hospital may be part of a clinical consultation around a plan as well, and I was able, though I didn't I wasn't able to get to those meetings in the mountains, the planning team ran some sessions for community members,
and I was able to go to those, though I wasn't able to get there. But it was open to me to go or to any board member to go to those as well and hear what the community was saying. But, then, at the end, the process is that the final document or draft documents come to the board and the board has a responsibility to ensure that there are no outstanding questions from our point of view.
Q. If the attendance is variable, the engagement is variable, depending upon your availability and other factors such as that, how does the board fulfil its obligations to ensure that there is good community and clinician consultation in the planning process?
A. Well, the planning team keeps us informed about the amount of consultation they have done at different points in the process. So certainly they would tell us how many community meetings have been held and how many people attended and how many clinical or nursing or other staff meetings were held around a particular plan.
Q. Can you outline the board's actual involvement in the example you give for the Blue Mountains? So you were involved in that process?
A. Yes.
Q. What about other board members?
A. Well, all the board was involved in the discussion around the draft, and I think I refer to the value that was also gained from having the medical staff council involved, because they attend the beginning of our board meetings and do reports and they are able to advise the board of any concerns they have got about any issue, so they are the leaders of our medical services and so their input is really important.
Q. In paragraph 24 you mention a joint consumer advisory committee with the PHN?
A. $\mathrm{Mmm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. Can you just outline for me the advantage of having a joint model for the purposes of the consumer advisory committee, and if there are any disadvantages?
A. Well, that is just one part of our consumer engagement program and process. That's really about bringing together consumers to focus on that integration, that front of service space between primary care and other services. So having consumers there who are able to really focus on that
integration point is really valuable, and they then are open to provide feedback to either - well, they provide the same feedback or they might provide separate feedback to the PHN and the LHD about any issue they perceive about what we're doing.
Q. Are there any disadvantages of having it as a joint committee?
A. I don't think so. I think they are very intelligent, capable people. They are able to understand the dynamics of the two organisations.
Q. So there is no problem with the focus of the agenda or anything of that nature?
A. No, I don't think so.
Q. You mention in paragraph 26 that there is an

Aboriginal health governance committee.
A. That's --
Q. Is that the same as the board's --
A. Yes, that was the earlier name.
Q. And that has recently identified two elders to join the committee?
A. Mmm.
Q. They are consumers, are they?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. So are they the only two Aboriginal people that are on the committee?
A. No, the committee has a number of our Aboriginal staff. So the director of Aboriginal health is there and we have a number of other Aboriginal staff who would attend, either for every meeting or occasionally when they have got something to raise or to feed back on.
Q. And just one final question in paragraph 29, your last paragraph under "Waste and sustainability", you talk there about the board discussing its responsibilities and thinking about models of care involving care in the home and avoiding hospital admission. What actions have been taken by the board in relation to those discussions where you are thinking about models of care?
A. I don't have a specific example to give you.

I suppose it's - it is one of the continuing themes of
health service planning that being able to provide care in people's homes and to extend that and grow that, and to have patients go home as quickly as possible, all of those drivers and influences sit there in that discussion.

DR WATERHOUSE: Commissioner, I have no further questions.
THE COMMISSIONER: Mr Cheney?
MR CHENEY: No, Commissioner, thank you.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much for coming. We appreciate your time. You are excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.

## <THE WITNESS WITHDREW

MR FULLER: Thank you, Commissioner. The next witness is Dr Stuart Browne. I can indicate I will need about an hour with Dr Browne and unfortunately he is not available tomorrow, so if $I$ can just ask for an indulgence that you sit longer today.

THE COMMISSIONER: We wil1 try and finish, yes, that's fine, thanks. What time did we start, about 2.30?

MR FULLER: Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: I will ask if people need a break in about 45 minutes, just a short one.
<STUART MARTIN BROWNE, affirmed:
<EXAMINATION BY MR FULLER:
MR FULLER: Q. Can you state your full name, please?
A. Stuart Martin Browne.
Q. You are the clinical director of the NSW Brain Injury Rehabilitation Program?
A. That's correct.
Q. How long have you held that role?
A. Less than a year.
Q. Less than a year.
A. I work as a rehabilitation physician, and have done for more than 20 years in the Brain Injury Rehabilitation Service at Royal Rehab. So that's my clinical role, and I have become the clinical director of the New South Wales program for the past year or so.
Q. Those are both positions in the NSW Health service or appointments within --
A. Sorry, say that again.
Q. Those are both appointments within the NSW Health service; is that right?
A. Yes. So my role as a rehab physician is at Royal Rehab, which is an affiliated health organisation, so effectively, I'm within NSW Health, yes.
Q. Was there a clinical director of the Brain Injury Rehabilitation Program before you?
A. Yes.
Q. And who was that?
A. Dr Adeline Hodgkinson.
Q. Is there a particular fraction of your time that's dedicated to your clinical director role?
A. About 50 per cent.
Q. 50 , five-zero?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you hold any other appointments, other than the clinical director and the senior staff specialist appointment?
A. No.
Q. What does your role as clinical director of the program involve?
A. So the New South Wales Brain Injury Rehab Program is it's a group of approximately 15 services spread somewhat evenly around New South Wales, with a preponderance on the metropolitan areas, and those services - their responsibility is to provide rehabilitation, complex rehabilitation, multidisciplinary rehabilitation, for people who principally have had severe or very severe traumatic brain injuries, and that particular service includes inpatient units in adults and children and community-based rehabilitation programs spread around
various regions of New South Wales. There are also a couple of transitional living units which are sort of a transition process from inpatient rehabilitation into the community. So my role is really as the representative of that particular program and I act as that clinical director.
Q. You mentioned that traumatic brain injuries are predominantly dealt with in the program. Can you just explain what a traumatic brain injury is as opposed to another kind of brain injury?
A. Certainly. So traumatic brain injury is injury to the brain from any type of trauma. By far the most common types of trauma are those injuries that are sustained from motor vehicle accidents, pedestrians, assaults, falls and a small proportion of people who are hit by particular objects, for example. So they make up the bulk of the causes of traumatic brain injury.

When the brain receives the traumatic insult, there can be a wide range of pathologies that happen, there can be extensive bleeding, there can be fractures of the skull, there can be generalised neurological impairment throughout the body. People will generally lose consciousness for a period of time and, indeed, at its most severe, a traumatic brain injury can lead to very prolonged periods of loss of consciousness, and that contrasts with a non-traumatic brain injury, and perhaps the most common and well recognised is a stroke, which is due to vascular obstruction leading to death or damage to part of the brain.
Q. You mentioned that a wide range of pathologies may be involved in or result from a traumatic brain injury. Does that contrast with a non-traumatic brain injury like a stroke?
A. Yes - yes, I would say it does, the reason being that the pathophysiology of traumatic brain injury is that it is effectively - the moment of impact is the start of a long sequence of biological events that can happen over days and weeks. There can be a lot more complications that happen following trauma than we would typically see often after a stroke or another type of non-traumatic injury. So it is common that people require neurosurgery to remove blood from the brain; it might be that the person, for example, trapped in a vehicle, is being starved of oxygen due to multiple rib fractures leading to poor oxygenation, so
there can be hypoxic or impaired oxygen supply to the brain leading to additional injury on top of that trauma.

As bleeding occurs in the skull, there is an increase in intracranial pressure, so there is more pressure inside the skull, and that is potentially damaging the brain further, so that's another insult. There are all different types of electrolyte abnormalities that can occur. There can be seizure complications. People are on multiple medications which can have further complications. The surgery itself is a dangerous procedure and can lead to complications.
Q. And can we take it that those possible complications lead to some complexity in the rehabilitation process for traumatic brain injury?
A. Definitely. The reason the Brain Injury

Rehabilitation Program was set up about 30 years ago was after some recognition that the type of impairments and disabilities that people who experience traumatic brain injury were demonstrating didn't necessarily fit particularly well in the general rehabilitation wards that existed, and indeed still exist, and that's because of injury to the brain from trauma is quite widespread, typically it's not confined to one area, which is often the case after a stroke, and so we can get complex physical impairments, there can be principally cognitive impairments, difficulties with memory, with attention, with judgment, insight, language - so communication impairments.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. The seizure complications you mentioned, they can be a problem well after the original injury and well after the patient might have been discharged from hospital?
A. Exactly. Yes. They can be years.
Q. And that might be - that's just one example, I'm sure.
A. Mmm.

MR FULLER: Q. You mentioned earlier that the Brain Injury Rehabilitation Program provides services at various stages in the rehabilitation process, so inpatient, community, and then transitional living. Can I just go through each of them one at a time and ask you to describe at a high level the services that are provided through the program at each of them, so starting with inpatient services?
A. Yes, and perhaps I can give adults as an example.
Q. Yes.
A. There are three adult inpatient rehabilitation units in Sydney and, indeed, that covers New South Wales. There is the one I work at at Royal Rehab, there is a second one at Liverpool and another at Westmead. They are targeting what we would call working-age people, so people from sort of 16 to perhaps somewhere between 65 and 70 . We don't see people who are outside of that range. Those patients who come to us, in fact, I will switch between "patients" and "clients" a lot, because it's the typical word that we would use to describe our patients, our clients.

So someone would require emergency care in an acute hospital, a trauma hospital, for example. It may require neurosurgery, ICU admission and commonly quite a bit of time in the acute hospital waiting for their acute issues to start to stabilise before the person is safe enough to transfer to a rehabilitation unit, and that would typically happen after about a month inside the acute hospital.

The brain injury inpatient units, the three units have around about - well, there are 50 -odd beds throughout Sydney. That's the total. And each of those three services have multidisciplinary teams of doctors, nurses, many allied health - physiotherapists, occupational therapists, speech pathologists, neuropsychologists, clinical psychologists, recreational therapists, social workers, dieticians - it is quite a big multidisciplinary team, and that's because the person experiencing their injury has a wide range of problems. They have cognitive, physical, behavioural problems are very common, and for that reason, the service, the inpatient team, tends to be big and bigger than a lot of the general rehabilitation services have.

Following a period of inpatient rehabilitation which, on average, is a few months in length, but it can be much longer, it can be well over a year, the person will be safe enough to discharge into the community and that may or may not require extra support other than what family can provide, and it's usual that the person will transfer across to a community-based rehabilitation service.

I've worked in my role at Royal Rehab in the brain injury community team for the last 20 years or so, so
that's my role, and the area that we service is a third of Sydney. Each of those three adult services cover a third of community.

But if someone lives outside Sydney, then they would be seen by one of the other regional community rehabilitation services. And in the bigger centres, so in Sydney, for example, our community team is not unlike the inpatient team - it's multidisciplinary, it is not as big as the inpatient service but it has a wide spread of allied health clinicians, including doctors and those allied health professionals I mentioned before.

There are a few people, and it is quite a few people, again, especially in the regions, where the person isn't able to be discharged straight from perhaps an inpatient service to home, because they don't have the necessary supports or their recovery is necessary to continue with a lot more supervision than is able to be provided, and so there are a few transitional living units around New South Wales and they have that transitional role. So someone would be a patient, a client of the transitional living unit for a period of time where they get ongoing multidisciplinary therapy. So it's sort of a slower stream rehabilitation with the end role of getting the person home.
Q. Have we missed any aspect of the services that are provided through the program? Are there outpatient services, for example?
A. So outpatients is really a combination of community rehabilitation. So the service I am involved with, we can go to people, we can go to their workplaces if they've been able to get back to work, we can meet them in the community at their home, but also they can come to our hospital and we can see them in the outpatient department.
Q. How do the services provided through the program intersect with services that are funded by the NDIS, for example?
A. So the rehabilitation service within the Brain Injury Rehab Program is targeting especially the acute rehabilitation, so that's within the first couple of years after a traumatic brain injury. NDIS isn't really, in that situation, involved in the acute rehabilitation. They are not really involved in that at all. They are a care support organisation and there can be a focus where people
can improve their capacity over time and so NDIS do have that role. But the distinction between our brain injury program and NDIS is that we're at the acute end and we're focusing very much on improving impairments, reducing disability, increasing participation.
Q. Is it the case that part of the work done by the transitional living teams might include helping patients get access to NDIS services at the end of their rehabilitation?
A. Yes. Yes, I'm sure that could happen.
Q. That's not common, though?
A. I can't actually tell you what the number would be. So a few aspects. A proportion of patients going through the Brain Injury Rehabilitation Service who have had their accidents through some - via - or are funded via a compensation scheme, such as the lifetime care scheme falling under icare, if that person remains a permanent participant of icare, then they will have access to rehabilitation and support for the rest of their life. Some other compensation schemes, third-party compensation scheme or workers comp work on a similar basis.

NDIS is not really designed for people who have funding. There can be crossovers in a few examples, but typically, NDIS is for people who don't have funding but, nonetheless, require long-term, if not lifelong, support.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. What level of impairment is needed from a brain injury for you to qualify for the NDIS? I don't have any idea.
A. So it's someone requires support, and it has to be permanent, and there are a range of areas --
Q. What kind of support?
A. So it can be very obvious that someone might need physical support, they might need care for their daily activities. There might be problems with communication.
Q. So their motor function is so impaired that they need a carer is an example?
A. That's right. Absolutely. The thing --
Q. And this is obviously post rehab, they have reached -A. That's right.
Q. -- almost as much rehabilitation as is going to occur.

As a matter of obviousness, they've got permanent physical impairment that requires a carer, that might be someone that qualifies for the NDIS.
A. That's right. After someone has a period of rehabilitation, there is a gradual plateauing of that recovery and it would be great if that was where someone was completely independent, but it often is not the case.
Q. Could that be contrasted - say someone had a very serious brain injury but ultimately they may not have been quite what they were but they are able to get back to the job they had and adequately perform it - that's clearly someone that wouldn't fall within the NDIS, or would they? A. They generally wouldn't fall within the NDIS, and what I have noticed is that one of the - in fact, I mentioned earlier, the reason the Brain Injury Rehab Program was set up initially was because of the recognition that they are a different population to a lot of the patients who were undergoing general rehabilitation. They are younger. Cognitive impairment, behavioural impairments are much more frequent. The person, being younger, there is a greater so more than two-thirds of them are male, they are often at the prime of life in terms of family, work, et cetera. So if someone has a profound impairment that is going to impact their life, then they are going to need support for many, many years.

What I have noted is that NDIS seems to recognise physical impairment much more readily than cognitive impairment. So --
Q. Is that because it is harder to measure?
A. Well, it is harder, yes, but the principles of NDIS are "Does someone need support?" And if they need that support, then they ultimately should be eligible for NDIS. There are certainly examples I know where someone is physically reasonably capable, a person can walk and talk and communicate, but nonetheless, they have significant cognitive impairments which prevent them from returning to work. It might have behavioural issues as well, and so that is a difficult group, in my experience, for NDIS to clearly recognise.
Q. Is it complicated also - and please, I'm just asking the question, don't think I think I'm an expert, I don't with cognitive impairment, is it right also that people
that have had a serious brain injury, they have a good but not complete recovery, they can have good days and bad days - there are days when they seem nearly at what they were and other days where they are talking strangely, they might, as you said before, have a seizure, that sort of thing?
A. Mmm.
Q. Is that right?
A. Yes - yes and no, I guess. There are a constellation of symptoms that can impact on how well someone is performing on a particular day. There can be fatigue, so energy problems; there can be chronic pain; there can be depression and anxiety problems. So they can mean that people fluctuate on a day-to-day basis.
Q. And the behaviour problems you mentioned, are they inability to control emotions, or something different?
A. Yes, that can be one of them, definitely.
Q. What else?
A. So anxiety, depression, there can be - there is an increased risk of psychotic illness after traumatic brain injury, so I'm looking at very much the mental health area. There can be post-traumatic stress disorder. So there is a range of mental health concerns that people experience after traumatic brain injury.

THE COMMISSIONER: Sure. Sorry, go ahead.
MR FULLER: Q. The demographic features of the patients, or the typical patient that you mentioned earlier, for example, being younger, predominantly male in the prime of their life, is that another contrast with, for example, a typical stroke patient?
A. Yes. So the population characteristics of people in general rehabilitation units, they are older, there is a greater proportion of females, there are a much greater proportion of non-traumatic conditions. And perhaps this is the point to mention, that there are the three adult brain injury units for traumatic brain injury, but they are not able to provide traumatic brain injury rehabilitation for all people in New South Wales who experience traumatic brain injury, because there are not enough beds.
Q. So that's because there are not enough beds; is that right?
A. (Witness nods).
Q. Is it also that the three locations that you mentioned are all effectively metropolitan Sydney - does that have an impact as well?
A. Yes, it does have some impact. It is a long way for family members in far regional New South Wales to have to come to a Sydney-based Brain Injury Rehabilitation Service, especially for many months, as the case may be. So yes, that is an issue.

The importance, I guess, of why it happens in Sydney is that there needs to be a reasonable number of patients going, clients going through the units, to maintain that expertise, and brain injury rehabilitation is a low volume condition, thankfully, and so it would be great if there was perhaps a greater spread of inpatient expertise in units outside of Sydney or Newcastle, but the numbers of patients that they would be receiving probably wouldn't be high enough to maintain that service.
Q. About how many patients use the services provided by the program generally each year?
A. Each year? So about 130 to 150 adults go through the three adult brain injury inpatient units. Each year, there are about 800 or so people being admitted to the Brain Injury Rehabilitation Program. So of that 800, about 130 or so go through the inpatient service and then ultimately into community teams. But a lot of people - in fact, the majority - go straight from an acute hospital to
a community team, and that might be because their injury isn't as severe and they've got better supports at home, or it could be that the person doesn't - that there isn't a bed available and so the person hasn't been able to come through an inpatient rehabilitation service.
Q. You mentioned there being not enough beds, effectively, in the acute inpatient units to meet the patient need. Do you have a sense of how many more beds would be needed?
A. No, I could take that on notice and actually try and work it out, but there are a similar - so perhaps each year there is around about 300 people coming through New South Wales hospitals with serious traumatic brain injuries. So the brain injury specific inpatient teams are only looking after a half or so of those patients.

Now, again, there are reasons for that. Some people may be a long way from Sydney and actually don't want to come to Sydney because it's just - it just doesn't work for the family. It may be that the person doesn't meet the admission criteria, so their age might be outside of that range. So you could argue potentially the number could be double, but that would probably change the original goals of the service, which was working age, that the greatest benefit our rehab teams can have is for people to get back into the community, participating, however that may be, and work being a big component of that.
Q. In terms of those 670 or so patients who don't come through the acute inpatient facilities, how are they brought in to the Brain Injury Rehabilitation Program? A. So it would be on discharge from the acute hospital that there would be a referral - there would be a recognition that this person can actually go home, they don't need the inpatient support. So they would - we would receive a referral from the acute hospital to our community-based team and they would be assessed in an outpatient appointment and a program organised for them, a multidisciplinary rehabilitation program.
Q. Is there something about the nature of traumatic brain injury compared with other kinds of injuries that you think makes it appropriate to deal with at a statewide level?
A. So one important thing is that there are low numbers. So it is - well, low volume of clients each year. It costs a lot because a lot of the treatment is multidisciplinary therapy, and it's often happening, or certainly the population that we're targeting are young and so they have many, many years ahead of them with often profound disabilities, and there are similar groups that meet that same idea, and spinal cord injury is one of them, and severe burns is another one.
Q. The fact that the patients are often young, does that mean that they may have to engage with the program's services for a long period of time?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there any central decision-making about the nature or volume of services provided through the program?
A. No, not within NSW Health, for example. So the different brain injury rehabilitation services are really managed from a funding perspective from the local health
district where they are located. So there isn't any central decision-making capacity at all.
Q. No central decision-making capacity also in relation to where the services are provided?
A. That's right. And, really, it's historic as to where those services are located.
Q. Similarly with staffing - no central decision making about staffing of the services?
A. No.
Q. And is there any central monitoring or oversight of service provision, for example, evaluating service gaps?
A. No. The Brain Injury Rehab Program, of which I'm the clinical director, we do have a role in bringing those 15 services together and we are looking at data, we are hopefully trying to uncover gaps, but we don't have any say, ultimately, in the service provision. That's all up to the LHD.
Q. Is there consistency in the amount of funding that different LHDs allocate to the program relative to their needs, the needs of their population?
A. Yes. The inpatient services being located in Sydney are seeing a greater number of people, so not surprisingly, there is a greater funding amount there, but in some of the regions, there are different - the services have sort of changed over time and some of them have indeed moved away from a multidisciplinary rehabilitation team and have adopted what we would call a case management service where they are trying to find externally the rehabilitation supports that the person might need. So that's very much in the regions where we see that.
Q. And do you see that as a problem?
A. There is inequities, absolutely, because different regions have different make-ups of their team.
Q. And what are some of the consequences that you have observed of those inequities?
A. So I guess the obvious thing is that people receiving their community-based rehabilitation in one part of New South Wales don't get the same access to therapy teams as people in other parts. Now, there is obviously a big divide between metropolitan and region, but there is also a big - there are also variations within the regions as
well. So the teams are made up of different groups of allied health professionals.
Q. In terms of the funding that is provided by LHDs, is that typically calculated on an activity basis?
A. I'm not an expert in the funding models, so yes, absolutely, $A B F$ is a component. It doesn't necessarily work particularly well for aspects of community-based brain injury rehabilitation, and perhaps one of the most obvious issues is that a lot of brain injury rehabilitation in the community involves coordination, it involves planning and it doesn't necessarily, for part of it, involve face-to-face communication with the client, and the way funding models are based, they tend to focus on face-to-face therapy.
Q. Does that aspect of it, the lack - sometimes services being provided remotely, mean that there may be services provided from within one LHD to patients who are located in a different LHD?
A. Not routinely, no. It can happen with some - with sort of some agreements, but it's not routine, no.
Q. So often the situation you are describing where you have services being provided remotely is more in rural and regional areas where you might have patients living away from the centres; is that right?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. You mentioned earlier some insurance funding being available for compensable injuries - for example, lifetime care being available for - that's motor accident injuries; is that right?
A. That's right.
Q. Where does that funding go?
A. So it goes into the provision of the funding of allied health, to the funding of equipment that people may require, and to the funding of care that - so attendant care workers that someone may require.
Q. Does the Brain Injury Rehabilitation Program see any of that funding directly, or does it go via the LHDs?
A. Again, I'm not an expert there, but we don't tend to see it directly.
Q. Do you understand the LHDs to have any particular
obligation to fund the program's services, for example, in their service agreements with NSW Health?
A. I haven't seen their service agreements so I'm not sure what their obligations are, but I would think that equity is probably the starting point.
Q. What do you mean by that?
A. So it would be, I think, right that people in different parts of New South Wales have access to a similar service.
Q. And you are saying you think that would be the right way to do it?
A. Yes.
Q. Not the way that it is in fact done now?
A. Well, not the way that it in some circumstances has happened, yes.
Q. In some circumstances there is inequity that you have mentioned in terms of the funding of brain injury rehabilitation services?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. The program has been described as a networked service. You are familiar with that description?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you consider that to be an accurate description of the program?
A. It's a network in that the 15 brain injury programs that we do operate together, we communicate regularly, so we work in a network in that role. The three adult inpatient units have a responsibility for servicing a third of New South Wales each, and so there are links between the regions and the inpatient rehabilitation unit that provides services. So, for example, Westmead has a role in providing inpatient rehabilitation for people living in a third of New South Wales, so typically the middle third of New South Wales. Royal Rehab covers the northern third, and Liverpool covers the southern third.

So there is some connections, contact, between the community teams within those individual three areas that the inpatient teams are talking to. So there is some sort of networking going on there.
Q. Based on your description, are we right in thinking that that networking is more in the nature of information sharing?
A. Yes.
Q. And to what extent does that networking happen with the community and transitional living service providers out in the regional and rural locations?
A. So it would be the same. So they would be in contact with the acute hospitals that are servicing their area, but also there is cross - the regional community teams do communicate, they meet regularly to discuss the issues that they are experiencing.
Q. You mentioned earlier, I think, that at the level of the program - so at your level as clinical director, there is some monitoring of data relating to the services provided. Have I understood that correctly?
A. Yes. So we are looking at the number of clients who come through our service, for example, length of stay. There are outcomes, functional outcomes that we are measuring. So that sort of demographic and somewhat basic outcome numbers.
Q. Does that work rely on measurements that are done at the level of the individual service provider or LHD? A. Sorry, say that again.
Q. The monitoring that you are able to do at your level presumably relies on measurements and work that is done at the level of the individual service providers and LHDs; is that right?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. So does that work - does your oversight depend on the capacity and resources of the individual service providers and LHDs to conduct that sort of monitoring measurement?
A. Yes, I guess so. It happens. It is one of the roles that we want our teams to be doing.
Q. The program sits under the Agency for Clinical Innovation; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. What role does the ACI play in relation to the operation of the program?
A. So I'm employed and a number of other people are
employed by ACI to act in a coordination role. We have a manager, a full-time manager, we have a data manager as well, and we have an education officer. So they fall under ACI.
Q. Is it a fair characterisation of your evidence, though, that the coordination that happens between the services provided within the program is mainly at the level of information sharing rather than coordinating service provision or funding?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you observed the lack of central governance and funding of the program to have any consequences for the delivery of services by the program?
A. So I mentioned earlier about the fact that the teams are - the make-up of teams are different. I think perhaps one of the most important things is that in more than 20 years, there hasn't been an increase in the number of inpatient rehabilitation beds. If anything, there's been a slight reduction. So given the significant increase in population, that probably puts a lot of burden on the inpatient teams to be taking clients where they just are unable to, because they don't have bed availability. So that means that people undergo their rehabilitation in a different hospital that isn't a specialty traumatic brain injury service.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. What are the potential impacts what are the impacts of that, to your knowledge?
A. There is evidence that people undergoing their rehabilitation in specialist inpatient units have better outcomes than people who are having their rehabilitation in general units. It relates to experience. The more people you are seeing with similar conditions, the better you become at diagnosing the issues and managing those issues, so I think it's as simple as that.
Q. Tell me if this is wrong. I guess you are never going to get two people with exactly the same kind of traumatic brain injury, but for people with relatively equivalent brain injuries, is there a time factor in their rehabilitation if they are in a specialist place than if they are not?
A. So the rehabilitation happening earlier is certainly seen to be more beneficial. There is, as I had said, a plateauing of recovery. So it is not as though we can
delay excessively. So, yes, the earlier the better.
MR FULLER: Q. Do these issues that you have mentioned mean that, in your view, there are brain injury patients in New South Wales whose rehabilitation needs are not being adequately met at the moment?
A. Well, they are certainly receiving their rehabilitation in a facility that isn't a specialist brain injury rehabilitation facility.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. They might be getting adequate, but there is adequate and then there is above adequate, might be the --
A. Yes.

MR FULLER: Q. There is room for improvement, would you say?
A. I'm sure within our brain injury service there is room for improvement, yes.
Q. Firstly, are you aware that NSW Health designates some services as supra LHD services?
A. Yes, I'm aware of that.
Q. And the NSW Brain Injury Rehabilitation Program is not designated as a supra LHD service?
A. It is not.
Q. Do you know why not?
A. I don't know why not. That's well before my time.
Q. Is it your view that in reality, the program is providing a supra LHD service?
A. I think we are.
Q. Do you have a view, though, as to whether designating as a supra LHD service would be helpful?
A. Well, I do know that the spinal cord injury service, for example, which is a supra LHD service, has similar issues that we face in terms of they are low in number, they are high in cost and the conditions are long term, so I know that they have similar problems to us. So they have similar issues, I think, of governance.
Q. What do you mean by "similar issues of governance"?
A. So I think they have the same idea of - that we've been talking about, where it's the LHD that is managing the
particular service and making a lot of the financial decisions, employment of staff, et cetera.

THE COMMISSIONER: Q. In circumstances where they are also providing a statewide service?
A. Yes.

THE COMMISSIONER: By no means feel rushed, but I just want to check, given how long we've been going, whether any of the people assisting us would appreciate a 10-minute break, or anyone else would appreciate a 10-minute break. A five-minute break? Is that a convenient time to have a five-minute break?

MR FULLER: Yes.
THE COMMISSIONER: Let's have a break until 4.35, make it eight minutes. We'11 come back at 4.35.

## SHORT ADJOURNMENT

THE COMMISSIONER: Go ahead.
MR FULLER: Thank you, Commissioner.
Q. Dr Browne, if you were given the opportunity to redesign the governance structure for the NSW Brain Injury Rehabilitation Program, what would you do?
A. I would recommend that there is central oversight, where there is consistency in client - clinician to client ratios, where there is a similar ability to provide services in the regions as well as in the metropolitan areas. How that might happen would require some trials, I guess, of potentially having allied health professionals who are working across LHDs rather than what they are at the moment, being within an LHD. I haven't actually given thought to the actual way to do it, but it would be loosely around those goals, I guess.
Q. Why do you think central oversight would be helpful in achieving the other goals that you have mentioned?
A. Well, I think it would be - it seems to be an important - I think perhaps an important way of ensuring some degree of equity for a group who are low in number. I think that's one of the important things. There aren't very many people each year going through the service.
Q. In terms of funding, aside from needing more funding to fund additional beds, is there anything about the structure of the funding that you would do differently? A. I don't think I, at this stage, have the expertise to be able to answer that, I'm sorry.
Q. And you may not be able to answer this, but to the extent that funding for the program is determined on an activity basis, do you have a view about anything that could or should be done differently there?
A. I - look, I choose to not answer, I think. I need to think about that in more detail.
Q. I understand. In relation to technology, you
mentioned earlier the need for remote service provision in some situations. Is there anything in particular that you think the system should be looking at in terms of technology that might improve overall health outcomes for brain injury patients?
A. So a lot of brain injury rehabilitation focuses around education, building capacity within the client as well as families, and we've seen through COVID that a lot of that can be delivered through telehealth reasonably effectively. It is important, though, that there are face-to-face therapies, so a combination of the two.

But given cognition is a big problem, there are many impairments in areas of cognition within our clients, and a lot of the way you assess that is through communication, so telehealth across regions is probably the most obvious starting point, I think, for having technology improve the outcomes.
Q. And does that tie in with the idea you mentioned earlier of possibly having health professionals able to work across LHDs?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there anything else that we haven't mentioned that you think could be done to improve access to brain injury rehabilitation services in New South Wales?
A. I'm sorry, not off the top of my head, no.

MR FULLER: Thank you, those are my questions,
Commissioner
THE COMMISSIONER: Do you have any questions, Mr Cheney?

MR CHENEY: No, thank you.
THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you for coming in, Dr Browne, we appreciate your time. You are excused.
<THE WITNESS WITHDREW
THE COMMISSIONER: It is 9 o'clock tomorrow?
MR MUSTON: That's convenient, Commissioner. I'm pretty sure we can get to all we need to.

THE COMMISSIONER: A11 right. We'11 adjourn until 9 o'clock tomorrow.

AT 4.40PM THE COMMISSION WAS ADJOURNED TO WEDNESDAY, 24 APRIL 2024 AT 9AM
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